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SUMMARY. A survey of 105 patients selected from a single general practice was undertaken by the local community health council to determine patients’ opinions on the services provided. Although it had not originally been designed for studying individual practices the questionnaire produced much valuable information and complemented the ‘What sort of doctor?’ assessment.

Introduction

The ‘What sort of doctor?’ programme in which two general practitioners (who have already submitted to the procedure) inspect the practice of a third according to a detailed protocol is now quite well developed as a method of assessing a general practitioner within his or her practice. However, the opinions are those of fellow practitioners and no attempt is made to gain and evaluate the opinions of the patients. On the other hand, Cartwright and Anderson’s survey of the opinions of patients about the services they receive from general practitioners drew its sample from the population as a whole and thus the results were not applicable to a particular practice.

Early in 1984, in order to supplement the ‘What sort of doctor?’ assessment of his practice the author asked the Medway Community Health Council to organize a questionnaire survey of his patients and their opinions. The council responded with enthusiasm and after discussion with the Health Services Research Unit at the University of Kent, they produced a questionnaire based on that of Cartwright and Anderson, to which they had added a few questions of their own.

The project was discussed with the practice team. It was accepted that everyone would have to be prepared to accept criticism, but all were confident enough to give the survey their support.

The survey Questionnaire

The questionnaire consisted of 101 questions with 244 potential responses. In addition to personal data about each respondent, it covered the following areas with a mixture of open, closed and coded response questions: (1) personal attributes, responsiveness and professionalism of the doctor; (2) practice arrangements for accessibility and availability; (3) attitudes and functions of other members of the practice team and (4) special areas, for example, screening, minor operations and women’s problems.

Sample

A random sample of 150 patients were identified from the age–sex register by random number. The community health council then recruited a team of voluntary interviewers who were able to interview 105 out of the 150 patients. The interviews took on average an hour to complete. Everyone was seen at home by appointment made by telephone; those without telephones were visited twice and dropped from the survey if they could not be contacted or would not agree to be interviewed.

The age–sex structure of the sample interviewed was reasonably representative of the practice population although the proportion of females was 60% compared with 55% in the whole practice.

Patient satisfaction results

A large amount of information was generated by the survey and detailed analysis proved difficult. The results were compared with those reported by Cartwright and Anderson but, although there were similarities, the difference in the sampling method and the use of percentages rather than numbers in their published figures made valid statistical comparison impossible.

The Likert scale used for most of the questions (very dissatisfied – dissatisfied – satisfied – very satisfied) allowed an arbitrary minimum level of satisfaction to be set. Given the generally high level of satisfaction expressed by patients both in Cartwright and Anderson’s and the present study, it was decided that an 80% satisfaction level was the minimum acceptable for the various aspects of the practice studied. More than 80% of respondents were found to be ‘satisfied’ or ‘very satisfied’ with:

- The doctor
- The receptionists
- The appointments system
- Encounters with other professionals
- Willingness to visit
- Speed of referral

Conversely, if more than 20% of respondents expressed dissatisfaction this was taken as meaning that the area concerned should be carefully studied. More than 20% of respondents were ‘dissatisfied’ or ‘very dissatisfied’ with:

- Difficulty in seeing the doctor
- Delay before appointment available
- Amount of information requested by receptionists
- Time spent in waiting room
- Getting advice by telephone
- Doctor hurried and brusque

This list of problems is now being addressed.

Discussion

This study was an attempt to evaluate the efficiency and efficacy of a general practitioner in his practice by eliciting the opinions of the consumers in a systematic way. There is clearly scope for repeating the exercise with a questionnaire designed and validated for the purpose.

The Medway Community Health Council demonstrated their keenness to be involved in the assessment of general practitioners and the services they provide. Presumably other community health councils have a similar enthusiasm and as a major
link with consumers they should be encouraged to carry out such surveys. Certainly relationships with the community health council have greatly improved as a result of this exercise.

The survey demonstrates how a community health council can successfully be involved in obtaining patients' opinions on the performance of a general practitioner within his or her practice in a manner that usefully complements the 'What sort of doctor?' assessment.
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COMPUTER APPRECIATION COURSES FOR GENERAL PRACTITIONERS AND PRACTICE MANAGERS/SENIOR PRACTICE STAFF

The RCGP Information Technology Centre is pleased to offer a series of computer appreciation courses for general practitioners and their senior practice staff. These events are held at 14/15 Princes Gate, where overnight accommodation is available if required.

The course content and presentation assume that participants have either only superficial or no knowledge of computing. The principles, language and technology of computing are discussed in lay terms, with particular emphasis on the problems of, and potential solutions to, the introduction and management of the new technology in the practice.

The cost of the course for members and their staff is £160 (inclusive of Friday's residential accommodation) and for those not requiring overnight accommodation, the cost is £135. For non-members, the course fees are £180 inclusive of Friday's accommodation, and £155 exclusive. The fee includes all meals, refreshments and extensive course notes.

These courses are zero-rated under Section 63. Under paragraph 52.9(b) of the Statement of Fees and Allowances, practice staff attending the courses may be eligible for 70% reimbursement. Staff should confirm eligibility for reimbursement with their FPC.

The dates for 1987 include: 16–17 January, 13–14 February, 6–7 March.

Application forms and further details are available from: Course Administrator, Information Technology Centre, The Royal College of General Practitioners, 14 Princes Gate, London SW7 1PU. Telephone: 01-581 3232.

PARTNERS IN CARE
The Consultation in General Practice
Peter G Livesey

"Patients often complain that their doctors fail to listen or to understand what they are trying to say, and doctors frequently become irritated with patients who bother them with trivialities." The Author

* Develop your skill in consultation.
* Improve your techniques of interviewing and listening.

In PARTNERS IN CARE, Peter Livesey shows you, the GP or GP trainee, can improve diagnostic accuracy and the general efficiency in your practice through more effective consultation. He follows the structure of the consultation from the point of first establishing a relationship with the patient, considers different styles of consulting (with their advantages and pitfalls), suggests approaches to examining the patient, advises on how to provide a clear explanation of the diagnosis, and how to end the interview.

* Written by a practising GP and GP trainer.
* Free of jargon and unnecessary terminology.

196 x 129 mm limp illus 128 pp £7.50

TREATMENT AND PROGNOSIS SERIES

Edited by Richard L Hawkins

The first two volumes in the Treatment and Prognosis Series, Medicine and Surgery are designed to make your life easier by providing clinical information in a readily accessible and comprehensive way.

* Each illness is briefly described and followed by sections on Prognosis, Treatment and Follow-up.
* Succinct, accurate and up-to-date
* Key references for further reading and more detailed enquiry.
* Indispensable for all doctors involved in clinical decision-making.

"I believe that my colleagues will find these volumes as useful as I have." From the Foreword by Professor V W Michael Drury, President of the Royal College of General Practitioners

234 x 154 mm limp Medicine 336 pages £9.95
254 x 154 mm limp Surgery 304 pages each