The rapid access proctology clinic: an appraisal of one year's experience
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SUMMARY
A walk-in, once-a-week clinic was established in May 1993. In the first year, 1268 patients (954 new and 314 follow up) were seen with a reduction in waiting time, high patient and general practitioner satisfaction, and raised awareness of colorectal disease.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second most common cause of cancer death in the United Kingdom, with 27 000 new cases and 19 000 deaths annually. Ninety per cent of tumours progress with time from premalignant polyps. Survival is related to the Duke's stage of the tumour at presentation. Early detection and removal of polyps may therefore prevent progression to colorectal cancer.

The Rapid Access Proctology Clinic (RAPC) was set up, in addition to ordinary outpatient clinics, to reduce waiting time and improve public and professional awareness of the need for early detection and treatment of colorectal lesions. Pioneered by one of the authors (RJL), it is also the first clinic to offer patients immediate access to a consultant opinion and treatment without prior appointment. Initially financed from private funds, it was subsequently built into contracts.

Methods and patients

All 183 general practitioners (GPs) in the purchasing health authorities of St George's Hospital, London (catchment population 350 000) were circulated details of the clinic, including its aims and indications for referral (rectal bleeding, altered bowel habit, anorectal symptoms, or a family history of bowel cancer or polyps).

The clinic is held every Tuesday evening, and patients require only a referral letter from their GP in order to be seen. Examination included proctoscopy and rigid sigmoidoscopy, with all those aged over 45, or suspected of a more proximal disease, undergoing flexible sigmoidoscopy in the clinic. Further investigations (colonoscopy and referral to a consultant genetist) were organised for patients with a positive family history of colorectal cancer.

Results

In the first 12 months, 1268 patients were seen, of whom 954 (496 women and 458 men) were new referrals. Mean age was 48 years (range 6 months–96 years). Waiting time from GP referral to consultation fell from a median of 42 days for 'routine' and 28 days for 'soon' appointments in daytime colorectal clinics to 2 days in the RAPC. Very few patients had previously undergone proctoscopy or occult blood tests at their general practice. A definitive diagnosis was made at the first visit in 93.9% of patients. The mean time from the initial visit to further treatment when required was 13.8 days.

Neoplasms

In 954 patients, 23 cancers were detected (2.4%), one of them inoperable. Those patients who had a family history of colorectal cancer totalled 91 (10%), 14 of whom were asymptomatic. Two of the 91 patients (2.2%) had colorectal cancer and 19 had polyps (20.8%). All patients with polyps returned as day cases for full colonoscopy and polypectomy. Benign lesions (haemorrhoids and fissures) were the most common diagnoses encountered (Table 1). On an out-patient basis, 92.7% of haemorrhoid patients were successfully treated: 164 (42.8%) with rubber-band ligation, 68 (17.7%) with injection sclerotherapy, and 6 (1.6%) with infra-red coagulation. One hundred and seventeen (30.5%) patients were treated conservatively. The remaining 15 were admitted for surgery.

Of the 100 patients surveyed who completed a questionnaire, 98% liked the idea of an evening and walk-in clinic, and 99% approved of the shortened waiting time. Sixty GPs out of 100 returned completed questionnaires. Ninety-eight per cent of these GPs also approved of the evening and walk-in clinic. The patient feedback to the GP was very good in 95% of those surveyed and 88% of GPs were happy with the no-appointment system.

Discussion

Setting up an additional clinic, in which patients with colorectal symptoms have access to an immediate specialist consultation, has led to a reduction in the duration of suffering from uncomfortable colorectal and anal symptoms. It is unlikely that this modest reduction in time will improve the prognosis of patients with malignant disease, but, in the longer term, malignant disease will be prevented by the early recognition and treatment of colonic polyps. Only 1.5% of the new patients were asymptomatic relatives within a family history of cancer. There may be more patients within the catchment area with a positive family history. It is hoped that these patients will come forward in the impending years, as a result of easy access to the clinic and greater awareness of CRC by GPs and the lay population.
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Table 1. Main diagnostic groups from RAPC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic group</th>
<th>Percentage of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhoids</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fissure</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory bowel disease</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyp</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverticular disease</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perianal haematoma</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No diagnosis</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Does your practice feel over-whelmed?

Changes in the NHS have created new and demanding situations and issues that practices must face. Yet many - not surprisingly - find it impossible to stand back from the mountains of work. They are unable to assess, objectively, what they are doing and how they are doing it, in order to plan a better way forward.

If this applies to you, we are pleased to announce that the AMGP Consultancy Service can now help your practice do precisely that.

The AMGP Consultancy Service
Our skilled and experienced consultants can offer:

- A two-day Diagnostic Practice Visit and Report
- Personnel and Employment advice and help
- Teambuilding Facilitation
- Development and Business Planning

For more information, or a free confidential discussion, call 0181 500 1180.
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Psychology and Psychiatry journals from Cambridge

The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and allied disciplines

The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry is internationally recognised as the leading journal covering both child and adolescent psychology and psychiatry. Articles published include experimental and developmental studies especially in relation to developmental psychopathology and the developmental disorders. An important function of the journal is to bring together empirical research, clinical studies and reviews of high quality arising from different points of view. Each issue of the journal reflects fully the 'allied disciplines' referred to in the journal's full title - paediatric psychology; education; and other fields that advances our knowledge of the mental life and behaviour of children. A major feature of the journal is the Annual Research Review, the first issue of the journal published each year, which identifies current and future research trends.

Volume 38 in 1997: January, February, March, May, July, September, October and November. £232 for institutions; £80 for individuals; prices include delivery by air. ISSN 0021-9630

Child Psychology and Psychiatry Review

Launched in 1996, this new peer-reviewed journal was established to provide a forum for the exchange of clinical experience, ideas and research. Its principal aim is to foster good clinical practice. Papers published in the Review range from studies of new theoretical developments to clinical case studies, descriptions of innovative techniques and new service developments. In addition to original articles, the Review publishes commissioned reviews and includes special sections of broad general interest - Behaviour Measures, Points of Law, Personal Profile, Journal Monitor - as well as reviews of books and software of interest to clinical and developmental psychologists, child psychiatrists, child psychotherapists, social workers, paediatricians and other professionals working in related fields.

Volume 2 in 1997: February, May, September and November. £70 for institutions; £35 for individuals; prices include delivery by air. ISSN 1360-6147

A special joint subscription rate is available for subscribers to both the Journal and the Review: £272 for institutions; £103 for individuals.