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SUMMARY

An appraisal of published, peer-reviewed guidelines, in terms of their development methodologies and clinical effectiveness, was undertaken using a published and validated appraisal tool. Electronic databases revealed 13 guidelines on heart failure but only seven of these referred to diagnosis. The quality of the published guidelines was variable but there was consensus over the main symptoms and diagnostic tests, although only two symptoms were mentioned in all guidelines. Only two guidelines scored greater than 50% for rigour of development.
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Introduction

HEART failure is a malignant condition with high rates of morbidity and mortality even in mild cases.1 Left ventricular systolic dysfunction is difficult to identify solely on the basis of signs and symptoms and there is evidence that patients with heart failure are often either missed or misdiagnosed and/or inappropriately treated.1–3 Thus, many organisations have chosen to produce guidelines with the objective of improving diagnosis and treatment. This report describes an appraisal of published, peer reviewed guidelines in terms of their development methodologies and clinical effectiveness using an appraisal tool developed by Cluzeau et al.4 This tool examines rigour of development, context, content, and application. Attributes such as transparency of development process and authorship, mechanisms for peer review and updating, presence of descriptions of costs and benefits of implementation, clarity of presentation, and the authors’ intentions and recommendations with respect to dissemination and monitoring of the performance of the guidelines are assessed.

Method

MedLine was searched for guidelines on heart failure published between 1993 and 1999. The abstracts produced by this search were screened for articles either referring to published guidelines or describing new guidelines. Where the search referred to letters to editors these letters were read, seeking additional information. The Cochrane Database and citations in the selected articles were also screened for reference to other published guidelines. In addition, inquiries were made of local experts and the relevant Royal Colleges for anecdotal evidence or knowledge of guidelines due for publication. Only guidelines that made any reference to diagnosis were entered into the second round of appraisal. All included guidelines were assessed for quality by two reviewers. A simple scoring system was used whereby every positive answer was awarded a score of one and all other answers, including ‘not sure’ and in some cases ‘not applicable’, were scored as zero.

Results

Literature searches revealed 62 references to guidelines, of which 55 were in English. From these, 13 guidelines on heart failure were identified5-17 of which seven made some mention of diagnosis. Table 1 gives the percentage score achieved by each guideline in each dimension. Only two guidelines attained greater than 50% of the possible score for rigour of development. The top five guidelines were independently reviewed before publication but only the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) and Agency for...
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HOW THIS FITS IN
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Table 1. Results of appraisal using tool developed by Cluzeau et al. Table showing percentage of possible total score achieved by each

guideline in each dimension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Rigour of development (20)</th>
<th>Context and content (12)</th>
<th>Application (5)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHA11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHCPR7</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGN19</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Number of items/questions within each dimension given in brackets.
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