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Introduction

The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) is a brief assessment of mental state that is widely used to assess cognitive function in relation to dementia. Guidelines recommend the MMSE as a screening instrument for identifying cognitive impairment in older people in primary care that is suitable for inclusion in the assessment for patients over the age of 75 years. However, some commentators have suggested that the MMSE is a poor screening test for dementia in primary care, particularly for early detection, and that scores are unduly influenced by age and educational level. This paper uses data from a larger study to evaluate the utility of the MMSE as a screening instrument for dementia in people over the age of 75 years in the primary care setting.

Method

Nine general practices in the former county of Gwynedd, North Wales, agreed to take part in a study conducted between January 1998 and July 1999. Members of the primary care team were asked to include the MMSE while undertaking the annual health screening for people over the age of 75 years, and a small honorarium was paid for each one completed. Training was given by the project researcher on the administration of the MMSE to all practice staff involved in the assessments; these included practice nurses and general practitioners (GPs). Those patients who scored at or below the cut-off point of 26/30, and who gave consent, were assessed further by a researcher, using the well-validated GMS–AGECAT diagnostic system. Eighty-four of these patients refused, 173 were identified as not having dementia, and 29 (14%) were identified as having dementia. These results, with an 86% false-positive rate, raise concerns regarding the utility of the MMSE as a screening instrument for dementia in primary care.
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Results

Seven hundred and nine MMSEs were completed. While reflecting the sex distribution of people over the age of 75 years in the study area in the 1991 Census, the screened sample was skewed towards the younger end of this age range (Table 1).

Two hundred and eighty-six (40.3%) people scored 26 or less on the MMSE. Of these, 84 refused the GMS assessment. The distribution of scores between those who refused and those who were assessed did not differ. Of the 202 patients who were assessed on the GMS, 29 (14%) were identified as having dementia. The median MMSE score of patients with dementia was 22, and for those without, it was 25, but some overlap was evident in distributions of scores. The interquartile ranges were 17 to 24, and 23 to 26, respectively.

The MMSE cut-off of 26 adopted in this study resulted in a false-positive rate of 86%. More stringent cut-off points of 24 and 21 lead to some increase in predictive value in this sample, resulting in false-positive rates of 78% and 59%, respectively.

SUMMARY

The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) is used worldwide to assess cognitive status and it has been recommended for use in primary care to detect dementia. In this study, the MMSE was administered during annual health checks for patients over 75 years of age in nine practices by a member of the primary care team. The mean age was 80 years. Of the 709 patients screened, 286 scored at or below the cut-off point, which was set at 26/30 on the MMSE, and they were invited to be assessed further by a researcher, using the well-validated GMS–AGECAT diagnostic system. Eighty-four of these patients refused, 173 were identified as not having dementia, and 29 (14%) were identified as having dementia. These results, with an 86% false-positive rate, raise concerns regarding the utility of the MMSE as a screening instrument for dementia in primary care.
**Discussion**

This study highlights the difficulty of attempting to detect early dementia in primary care through screening people over the age of 75, using an assessment tool such as the MMSE. With the development of the anti-dementia acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEIs), which are likely to have optimum benefit early on in the progress of the condition, early detection has taken on greater significance, and it is also thought to offer opportunities for adaptation and coping to many patients and families. Simply adding the MMSE to existing assessments of people aged over 75 is unlikely to be helpful, leading to a high rate of older people apparently requiring further assessment, and a high rate of false-positives. It is notable that the cut-off point of 26 used in this study is associated with mild dementia in the NICE guidelines on the use of the AChEIs.

As the prevalence of dementia rises greatly with advancing age, people aged over 75 have a relatively high risk. However, with a rate of about 6% of those living in the community, it is still low in absolute terms. Those patients aged over 75 years who took up the offer of a health check were at the lower end of this age range, so those most at risk were less likely to be screened. For any screening instrument to improve overall prediction at this low level of prevalence is a major challenge. The reduction of the false-positive rate to 59% achieved with a conservative cut-off point of 21 is at the expense of over half the cases identified in this study, whose scores exceeded 21, being missed.

Simply using a cognitive measure must inevitably be inadequate; diagnosis is also dependent on taking a history, the exclusion of other conditions, and assessment of impact on daily living. Our recommendation would be to carry out cognitive screening where there are indications from the patient or from their supporters, or where there is observation of changes in memory and new learning in the clinical consultation. In the primary care context, brief cognitive screening, including orientation and new learning; for example, recall of a name and address over five minutes, is as reliable as a full MMSE, and potentially less arduous for the patient and the assessor.
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**Table 1. Demographics of screened sample and median MMSE scores by age and educational level.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>1991 Census (%)</th>
<th>median MMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75 to 79</td>
<td>380 (54)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 to 84</td>
<td>199 (28)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>130 (18)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary (up to age 14)</td>
<td>338 (48)</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary (up to age 16)</td>
<td>171 (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further (up to age 19)</td>
<td>104 (15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher (diploma/degree level)</td>
<td>60 (8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education unknown</td>
<td>36 (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences in MMSE scores between age groups and education levels significant (Kruskal–Wallis test, P < 0.001). Differences in MMSE scores between males and females not significant (Mann–Whitney test, P = 0.432).