jive is such a fun and sociable form of exercise. It is not absolutely necessary to go with a (dance) partner, because partners switch around all evening and jive with everyone present. It is just as acceptable for the ladies to ask the guys for a dance, as vice versa.

As well as regular classes and dances, there are also dance weekends at some of the popular holiday camps. Modern jive is often described as a lifesaver, not only physically, but emotionally and mentally also, especially for those emerging from a ‘broken’ relationship. It boosts one’s confidence and enables socialising with a very friendly crowd.

A list of jive clubs can be found on http://www.uk-jive.co.uk/, or alternatively a Google search for ‘modern jive clubs’ will find others. Just one warning, modern jive can become addictive!

Sue Merriott
58 Dominion Road, Worthing
E-mail: Sue.Merriott@wash.nhs.uk

The God delusion

I could hardly disagree more with Simon Fraser’s criticisms of Richard Dawkins’ powerful book, The God Delusion, and his condescending comments on Simon Curtis’ review. Given the content of his letter, with its heavy reliance on the views of Alister McGrath, I wonder if he has actually read the book himself.

Professor (not Mr) Dawkins has produced a thoroughly argued case against the existence of ‘God’ as traditionally understood by the world’s major monotheistic religions: that is to say, a god who is responsible for the origin and evolution of life on this planet, who remains interested in the individual fates of its denizens, and who is responsive to intercessionary prayer. Dawkins has read widely and writes with lucidity and intellectual rigour, and entertainingly as well. To describe his book as being marred by ‘excessive reliance on bold assertion and rhetorical flourish’ seems to me a travesty.

It is not true that Dawkins asserts that ‘the elimination of religion would be a solution to the world’s ills’, but he clearly believes that it would help. Although I am an unashamed atheist, I’m not sure I agree with him. For what it’s worth, my own view is that Dawkins greatly underestimates the importance to many people of what might be called ‘religion as metaphor’: that is to say, the choice of many thoughtful people to follow a particular religious tradition (usually, of course, the one they happen to be born into) because they feel it gives them a practical moral framework to live by. I know many such people who would call themselves religious but do not believe in any literal sense in the creed that they espouse. At one point in his book Dawkins admits to failing to understand this stance: that, I would say, is his greatest weakness.

I shall keep a look out for Alister McGrath’s book The Dawkins Delusion, but hope that open-minded Christians among your readership will read Dawkins’ book as well and not rely on any second-hand critiques — whether by Simon Curtis, Simon Fraser or myself!

Dougal Jeffries
GP, The Health Centre, Isles of Scilly.
E-mail: djeffries@tonetel.com

The role of the primary care mental health worker

The two papers by Lester, et al. describe studies on the role of the new ‘graduate workers’ in primary care mental health. In Luton, for some years, we adopted a model of using a team of more experienced nurses and social workers to act as links between primary care practices and mental health services. The theory behind this model has been described elsewhere.

The role of these primary care mental health workers was conceived as acting as a liaison link with the CMHTs? and other secondary mental health services, and also to give advice to the GPs on the management of mental health problems, always referring to the consultant psychiatrist as necessary. They were also expected to offer CBT and other interventions to some of the patients in the GP’s surgery. They were expected to be seen as an integral part of the GP team, accessing the GP computer system. It was hoped that they would facilitate the rapid referral of early psychotic patients, seriously suicidal patients and other difficult cases to secondary services.

In practice, this team of workers were very effective in helping GPs manage depression in primary care. They attended CMHT meetings, and were seen as part of the CMHTs, as well as being primary care workers, therefore they served as a useful link between the GPs and the CMHTs, particularly the psychiatrists, ensuring rapid transfer of information between primary and secondary care about difficult cases, and implementing necessary action.

For early intervention in psychosis, this team did prove very effective. This was because the team members were very experienced, and could pick up relatively minor abnormalities in mental state. They were then able to contact the doctor in charge of the early intervention for psychosis service directly, in order to arrange an assessment within a few days.

Out of the first 86 referrals to the early intervention service, 12 came by this route. Of these, 11 entered the service as new psychotic patients. The success of this team, which is no longer extant, depended on the great experience of its staff. It remains unclear what forms of mental health problems in primary care are likely to receive effective treatment from the ‘graduate mental health workers’.

Mark Agius
Senior Research Fellow, Bedfordshire Centre for Mental Health Research
E-mail: Mark.Agius@blpt.nhs.uk
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