Motivation and satisfaction in GP training: a UK cross-sectional survey

INTRODUCTION
There have been many changes to postgraduate medical training in the UK over recent years, which have meant that many doctors have had to choose their specialty earlier in their careers than before.1 Many choose general practice as a career, but for various reasons.2,3 With the specialty being negatively portrayed, recruitment to general practice has, at times, been difficult. Choosing a career in general practice may occur for lifestyle, rather than professional aspirations.2,4 A survey of UK medical graduates of 2002 showed that only 22.7% expressed a preference for a long-term career in general practice, fewer than in previous years,4 despite the fact that around 50% of UK medical graduates will become GPs.5 Similar findings were shown in a recent survey of 1780 medical students in France, in which only 20% expressed an interest in general practice.6

Since these studies were performed there have been major reforms in postgraduate medical education in the UK, with the introduction of a 2-year foundation programme for all newly qualified doctors. General practice training in the UK has also changed, with the recent introduction of the Royal College of General Practitioners’ (RCGPs’) curriculum7 and compulsory MRCGP (Member of the RCGP) examination. The distribution of training placements has also changed, with an increasing amount of time spent in the general practice environment. As well as changes to training, there have been changes to general practice working patterns, including the new General Medical Services contract. Introduced in 2004, this allowed GPs to opt out of 24-hour care while, at the same time, increasing remuneration for most practitioners.

Surveys of GP trainee satisfaction and motivation for career choice have not been performed since these changes to working patterns and GP training were introduced. General practice specialty training in the UK currently has around two applicants to each vacancy,8 reasons for choosing general practice as a specialty among current trainees and newly-qualified GPs have not been explored. This is of particular relevance given that changes to the working practices of UK GPs are likely in the near future, following publication of the NHS White Paper, Equity and Excellence: Liberating the NHS.9 These changes may impact on GP recruitment and retention in the UK. The aim of this questionnaire was to explore:

• reasons for choosing general practice; and

• satisfaction with that choice among GP trainees and GPs within the first 5 years of practice after having completed their training.
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How this fits in

Recruitment to general practice has had periods of difficulty in the past. Previous studies looking at motivation revealed a perception that general practice had inferior clinical content but a superior lifestyle than hospital medicine, with the choice of general practice as a career often based on negative judgements. Current GP trainees and newly qualified GPs choose general practice primarily for its compatibility with family life. Levels of career satisfaction among trainee and newly-qualified GPs are high.

The questionnaire was performed as part of a Europe-wide Vasco da Gama Movement survey of GP training, which was distributed in seven European countries (Czech Republic, Denmark, Germany, Italy, Norway, Portugal, and the UK).10

METHOD

Participants

All GP associates in training (AiTs) and all GPs within the first 5 years of qualification (First5s) in the UK were approached to participate in the study.

Questionnaire design

The questionnaire was developed in English by the education and training theme group of the Vasco da Gama Movement in 2006. It was then modified in 2007, following discussion and feedback from members of the European Academy of Teachers in General Practice (EURACT) and European General Practice Research Network. In 2008, a panel test using structured feedback forms was performed by 30 trainees and young GPs from five European countries for further refinements; in the same year a feasibility pilot was performed with 204 GP trainees from 14 European countries.11 The UK group then made further minor linguistic modifications to the questionnaire, which had been originally developed by non-native English speakers. This UK version of the questionnaire was locally piloted using a focus group of 10 GP trainees from the Bristol GP specialty training programme.

The questionnaire was structured in two parts: the first focused on questions regarding motivation and satisfaction with GP training, the second looked at the satisfaction and coverage of the EURACT educational agenda within the GP training scheme.12 The survey materials used in this study are available from the authors.

Recruitment

Recruitment was carried out between May and July 2010. As of 2007, all GP trainees in the UK are registered with the RCGP as AiTs; all were invited to participate via email, as were all RCGP-registered First5s. A second reminder email was sent 2 months after the first. Other routes of publicity were used, including articles in general practice publications (RCGP News, InnovAiT, the AIT journal); electronic methods (Twitter, doctors.net postings, Google groups, and the RCGP Seven Days e-bulletin); and via a link on the RCGP ePortfolio login page. Members of the RCGP Junior International Committee and AIT local representatives also promoted publicity in their local areas. An incentive of RCGP bookstore vouchers to the value of £100 was offered as a prize to one randomly selected participant.

Analysis

Anonymous data were collected by researchers at the Department of General Practice, University Hospital Heidelberg, Germany. Analysis was performed using Stata (version 10).

RESULTS

Overall, there were 2178 responders to the questionnaire, giving a response rate of 12.4%. Table 1 shows the characteristics of these responders compared with the overall characteristics of the target population. The demographics of the sample are broadly representative of the AiT and First5s population.

Satisfaction with career choice was high,
Table 2. Motivators for choosing general practice as a specialty (n = 2178)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Men n = 825, n(%)</th>
<th>Women n = 1353, n(%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>AiTs n = 1345, n(%)</th>
<th>First5s n = 633, n(%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compatibility with family life</td>
<td>521 (63.2)</td>
<td>1007 (76.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1003 (74.6)</td>
<td>555 (66.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenging medically diverse discipline</td>
<td>510 (61.8)</td>
<td>809 (59.8)</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>781 (58.1)</td>
<td>538 (64.6)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The one-to-one care general practice offers</td>
<td>340 (41.2)</td>
<td>541 (40.0)</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>534 (39.7)</td>
<td>347 (41.7)</td>
<td>0.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holistic approach to patients</td>
<td>248 (30.1)</td>
<td>560 (41.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>472 (35.1)</td>
<td>336 (40.3)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy and independence</td>
<td>267 (34.8)</td>
<td>244 (18.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>320 (23.8)</td>
<td>211 (25.3)</td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasis on communication skills</td>
<td>101 (12.2)</td>
<td>279 (20.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>253 (18.6)</td>
<td>127 (15.2)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative experiences in hospital</td>
<td>81 (9.8)</td>
<td>173 (12.8)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>168 (12.5)</td>
<td>86 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good salary</td>
<td>123 (14.9)</td>
<td>105 (7.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>147 (10.9)</td>
<td>81 (9.7)</td>
<td>0.370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are absolute numbers (percentages). Reasons not shown (those chosen by <10% of respondents) were: ‘it was the specialty left after I ruled out other options’ (5.0%), ‘good chance to find work’ (4.7%), ‘role models (for example, parents)’ (3.7%), ‘private reasons (unemployment, pregnancy)’ (2.5%), ‘opportunity to get/inherit a practice’ (1.0%), ‘did not get specialty training because of my grades’ (0.3%), ‘other reasons’ (7.0%). No differences for sex or stage of training were seen for these options. AiT = GP associate in training. First5 = GPs within the first 5 years of practice after completing their qualification.

DISCUSSION

Summary

In this large survey into motivation and satisfaction with UK GP training, the most popular reason for choosing general practice as a career was its compatibility with family life. Although this reason was cited by more women than men (76.6% versus 63.2%), it was the most popular reason given by men. Of interest is the fact that more GP trainees were motivated by family compatibility than qualified GPs (74.6% versus 66.6%), perhaps suggesting that this motivator might be even more important to qualified GPs in the future.

Other common reasons for choosing general practice as a specialty included:

- the challenge and diversity it provides;
- the one-to-one care involved;
- holistic care;
- autonomy and independence; and
- communication aspects.

All these reasons are strongly ‘positive’ motivators for making this career choice; ‘negative’ reasons such as ‘did not get specialty training because of my grades’ and ‘it was the specialty left after I ruled out other options’ were chosen by few. Interestingly, only 7.8% of women and 9.7% of men were motivated to opt for a career in general practice because of a good salary; this challenges the notion that the rise in the number of applicants to general practice has been driven by increases in GP wages.

High levels of satisfaction were found with general practice as a career choice in all the groups studied.

Strengths and limitations

To the authors’ knowledge, this is the largest survey of GP trainees and newly-qualified GPs that looks at motivators for choosing general practice as a career, and satisfaction with it. The sample of over 2000 people is broadly representative of the demographics of the population of GP trainees and First5s in the UK. The response rate of 12.4% is reasonable for an email questionnaire, but it may well have given non-response bias, with both satisfied and disaffected groups more likely to respond than those who are neutral; it is, therefore, difficult to know whether any overall bias is likely to be towards positive or negative viewpoints.

A further limitation was having email addresses for RCGP-registered GPs only. Although other methods of recruitment
were used to include non-RCGP registered GPs, it is probable that they are under-represented in this sample. It is possible that RCGP members represent a group who, as a whole, are more supportive of general practice as a discipline; as such, the under-representation of non-RCGP members introduces a possible source of bias to the current results.

Comparison with existing literature

Previous studies looking at the motivators for choosing general practice as a career have been mostly qualitative. Interviews with junior doctors in 1997 revealed a perception that general practice had inferior clinical content but a superior lifestyle to hospital medicine; as such, choosing general practice as a career was often based on negative judgements. This finding differs from the current results, which show that negative reasons for choosing general practice are relevant to only a minority of current trainees and newly qualified GPs.

The importance of lifestyle factors has been highlighted previously but not as the main motivator for this career choice. A 1995 survey of GP registrars found that 91% considered having time for leisure activities to be an important factor when choosing a future career, and that 72% would have been glad to remove the requirement for 24-hour patient cover. The recent changes in general practice working patterns that allow GPs to opt out of 24-hour cover may, therefore, have played an important role in the current relative popularity of general practice as a career choice.

Satisfaction levels were high in this survey, contrasting with a questionnaire from 2000, which showed that 70% of GP registrars expressed regret about choosing a career in medicine.

Implications for future research

There are currently some two applicants to each vacancy for GP specialty training in the UK. These data suggest that any politically driven changes to UK primary care that decrease the family compatibility of general practice, such as an increase in GPs’ out-of-hours commitments, have the potential to impact negatively on GP recruitment. Further qualitative studies to explore this may aid workforce planning.
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