Patient centredness and the outcome of primary care consultations with patients with depression in areas of high and low socioeconomic deprivation

INTRODUCTION
Depression is the most common mental health disorder in community settings and a major cause of disability.1–3 It is projected to be the second leading cause of disease burden globally by 2030.4 Due to the high prevalence of depression, it is mostly managed in primary care.5 Socioeconomic deprivation is associated with an increased prevalence of depression,6,7 and with poorer outcomes.8 The inverse care law states that the availability of good medical care tends to vary inversely with the need for it in the population served.9 More recently, the inverse care law in the NHS in the UK has been described in terms of the mismatch of the steep social gradient of need against the flat distribution of the GP workforce.10–12 GP encounters in deprived areas are characterised by greater complexity, less time, less patient enablement, and higher practitioner stress.13,14 GPs working in deprived areas can be reluctant to recognise and respond to depression.15

Patient centredness in GP consultations has been previously linked with improved patient trust,16 reduced diagnostic testing,17 and improved health outcomes.18 However, its influence and association with health outcomes for patients with depression in primary care has not been studied. It has been found that shared decision making in GP consultations with patients with depression improves participation and patient satisfaction but has no effect on clinical outcomes.19

The objectives of the current study, which was a secondary data analysis, were to compare the prevalence of depression in patients consulting GPs in affluent and deprived areas, to describe consultations for depression in these areas, and to assess the impact of consultation characteristics on clinical outcomes after 1 month.

METHOD
Practices were recruited from the upper and lower quartile of deprivation (Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation, SIMD 2006) in the Greater Glasgow and Clyde Area, Scotland, UK.20 The mean SIMD score for all practices in the upper quartile (68 practices) was 49 (range = 41–62) and in the lower quartile (68 practices) was 14 (range = 5–22).

Twenty practices, 47 GPs, and 659 patients participated (13 practices, 25 GPs, 356 patients in areas of high deprivation and seven practices, 22 GPs, 303 patients in areas of low deprivation). The mean practice size (number of registered patients) was 5108 and 7678 in the areas of high and low deprivation respectively (P<0.001). The mean deprivation score (SIMD 2006) of all patients in participating practices was 46 (range = 41–58) and 13 (range = 5–22) in the areas of high and low deprivation, respectively. The mean deprivation scores (SIMD 2006) of patients participating in this study were 49 (standard deviation (SD) = 20) and 14 (SD = 20).
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The association between deprivation, consultation quality, and outcomes for patients with depression treated in primary care has not been previously studied. In a prospective study of 659 consultations in areas of high or low deprivation, 1-month outcomes (as measured by the Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ-9]) were markedly worse in patients living in deprived areas compared with those living in more affluent areas. In this secondary analysis, patient-centredness in the consultation was an important predictor of early improvement in depressive symptoms and was lower in deprived areas compared with more affluent areas. Differences in GPs’ approaches to patients with depression in deprived and more affluent areas may relate to the inverse care law and the burden of greater multimorbidity.

How this fits in

The association between deprivation, consultation quality, and outcomes for patients with depression treated in primary care has not been previously studied. In a prospective study of 659 consultations in areas of high or low deprivation, 1-month outcomes (as measured by the Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ-9]) were markedly worse in patients living in deprived areas compared with those living in more affluent areas. In this secondary analysis, patient-centredness in the consultation was an important predictor of early improvement in depressive symptoms and was lower in deprived areas compared with more affluent areas. Differences in GPs’ approaches to patients with depression in deprived and more affluent areas may relate to the inverse care law and the burden of greater multimorbidity.

In the areas of high and low deprivation, respectively. The age and sex of participating GPs did not differ significantly between the two areas. All practices operated on a 10-minute booking schedule for patient appointments, which is standard in the UK. A total of 464 (70%) of the 659 patients responded to the 1-month follow-up questionnaire (78% versus 63% for areas of low and high deprivation, respectively; P < 0.001).

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the local research ethics committee and informed consent was gained from all participating GPs and patients.

Patient recruitment

Reception staff gave consecutive patients (aged > 17 years) an information sheet when they checked in, and the research assistant gained signed informed consent. There were no exclusion criteria other than the need to be able to give signed informed consent in English. Fifty-three per cent of patients approached agreed to take part in the study (51% in high deprivation settings and 55% in low deprivation). GP consultations were video recorded. The aim of the study was to measure verbal and non-verbal communication in unselected consultations and to assess their impact on outcomes in affluent and deprived areas. This article presents the findings for patients with depression.

Consultation video analysis

Verbal communication was assessed by the Measure of Patient-Centred Communication (MPCC), which consists of three components (exploring disease and illness experience, understanding the whole person, and finding common ground) that are added to give a total score. This validated and widely used measure from the US has also been used in the UK. Three researchers coded the videos and regular inter-rater reliability checks were conducted. The average intraclass correlation (ICC) was 0.86 [compared with 0.73 as observed in previous studies using MPCC].

Non-verbal communication was assessed with a modified version of Mehrabian’s schemata. Categories included: number and duration of smiles, number of positive facial expressions, number of head nods, number of supportive gestures, gaze towards patient (duration measured in seconds), set/object manipulation (duration in seconds), and use of computer and notes (duration in seconds). The length of time it took to rate each consultation made it impractical to carry out this schedule on a large number of videos within the time frame of the study.

Patient questionnaire at consultation and follow-up

Patients completed a questionnaire at the time of first attendance, which included questions on demographic factors, the number of patient-reported chronic health conditions, the number and the nature of patient-reported presenting problems, and symptom severity and wellbeing assessed with the Measure Yourself Medical Outcome Profile (MYMOP) and the Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ-9]. It also included patient ratings of GP empathy as measured by the CARE (Consultation and Relational Empathy) measure, and patient-perceived enablement at the end of consultation as measured by the PEI [Patient Enablement Instrument].

One-month follow-up on the MYMOP and PHQ-9 score was assessed by postal questionnaire (changes in MYMOP scores are being reported in a separate paper). A total of 464 (70%) of the 659 patients responded to the 1-month follow-up questionnaire (78% versus 63% for areas of low and high deprivation, respectively); 61.3% of patients with depression caseness (100/163) responded to the follow-up questionnaire; 58.9% [63/107] among depressed patients in deprived areas compared to 66.1% (37/56) among those living in affluent areas. Table 1 compares the baseline characteristics of patients with depression between responders and non-responders to the 1-month follow-up in affluent and deprived areas.
A meta-analysis of studies using thin-slice judgements found that there was no significant difference in predictive accuracy between ratings based on 30-seconds and 4–5-minute slices. For some variables, the first 30-second slice was not significantly different from the average scores for the last 2 minutes (results not shown). Thus, a measurement schedule was chosen with a single 30-second slice 1 minute into the consultation and a 30-second slice 1 minute from the end of the consultation. Two researchers coded the videos and two inter-rater reliability checks were conducted giving ICCs above 0.8.

The length of each consultation was recorded from the video recordings. All statistical analysis was carried out using the statistical software package SPSS (version 18).

## RESULTS

### Patient characteristics

The prevalence of depression was estimated using the PHQ-9 with a cut-off ≥ 10 to define caseness (moderate to severe depression). On this basis, 163 patients (24.6%) were classified as having depression, which was higher in patients in deprived areas compared with affluent areas; 30.1% (107/356) versus 18.5% (56/303) respectively ($P<0.001$).

The characteristics of the 163 patients with depression in the deprived and affluent areas are shown in Table 2. Multimorbidity (the coexistence of two or more chronic health conditions) was significantly more common in patients with depression living in deprived areas compared with the affluent areas; 65.4% (107/163) versus 48.2% (27/56) respectively ($P = 0.04$).

Of the depressed patients, 60.7% (65/107) living in deprived areas wished to discuss two or more problems with their GPs, compared to 52.7% of patients (29/55) living in affluent areas ($P = 0.10$).

Of the patients with depression living in deprived areas, 42.1% (45/107) reported that they had an ‘emotional problem’ to discuss with their GPs, compared with 47.3% (26/55) of patients living in affluent areas ($P = 0.528$).

Persistence of depression caseness at 1-month follow-up was 71.4% (45/63) in deprived areas, compared with 43.2% (16/37) in affluent areas ($P = 0.005$). The mean improvement in PHQ-9 in individuals with depression was 4.87 (14.55 to 9.68) in affluent areas, compared with 0.9 (15.4 to 14.5) in deprived areas ($P = 0.018$).

### Consultation characteristics

Five different measures of the consultation were employed to compare patients with PHQ-9 caseness in affluent and deprived areas (Table 3).

The patients’ perception of the GP’s empathy was assessed by the CARE measure. Mean CARE measure scores were significantly lower in patients with caseness living in deprived areas compared with those in affluent areas ($P = 0.003$).

Objective measurement of the verbal
patient centredness of the GPs using the MPCC showed a significantly lower mean global score in patients with caseness in deprived areas compared with affluent areas (P = 0.004). Mean scores for components one (exploring disease and illness experience) and three (finding common ground) were significantly lower in deprived areas as compared to those in affluent areas (Table 3).

Statistically significant differences between patients with caseness in deprived areas compared with affluent areas were found for three parameters of the GP’s non-verbal behaviour; GPs in deprived areas looked at the patients less, had fewer head nods, and had fewer positive facial expressions (Table 3).

Patient enablement (mean PEI score) and mean consultation length for patients with caseness living in deprived areas were not significantly different from those of patients living in affluent areas (Table 3).

Factors predictive of follow-up PHQ-9 score
Considering the hierarchical nature of data, linear mixed-model or multilevel modelling was used for regression analysis. The follow-up PHQ-9 score was used as the target predictor variable and the individual GP was used as a grouping variable to control clustering effects of patients around individual GPs.

The following variables were entered in the analysis: age of the patient, sex of the patient, deprivation score, and index PHQ-9 score. The effect of adding the individual measures of the consultation (CARE score, PEI, MPCC score, and the non-verbal variables) was then tested in a series of models. As shown in Table 4, the patient’s age, deprivation status, and initial PHQ-9 score were significantly associated with the follow-up PHQ-9 score. Overall MPCC score (overall verbal patient centredness) also had a significant effect on follow-up PHQ-9 score, whereas the CARE measure did not. Replacing the overall MPCC score by each of the individual three components did not show any significant effects in predicting follow-up PHQ-9 (results not shown).

To explore whether the predictive effect of observer-rated verbal patient centredness on follow-up PHQ-9 score was similar in deprived and affluent areas, an interaction effect between deprivation score and MPCC was added to the regression analysis. This showed an insignificant influence (P = 0.438), implying that the effect of overall MPCC score in predicting follow-up PHQ-9 was not influenced by the deprivation status of the patient.

DISCUSSION
Summary
This study compared GP consultations involving patients with depression living in affluent and deprived areas, and the early outcomes of these encounters. Depressive symptoms were significantly more common in patients from deprived areas, and outcomes at 1 month were significantly worse. Several aspects of observer-rated verbal and non-verbal patient-centred communication by the GPs, and patient-perceived empathy, were significantly lower in consultations in deprived areas. In multilevel multiregression modelling, the overall verbal patient centredness of the consultation was predictive of improvement in PHQ-9 score.

Strengths and limitations
The present study was large and applied a battery of validated observer-rated and patient-rated measures of the consultation. By comparing deprivation scores (SIMD) of practice populations and patients, it was possible to demonstrate that the patients

---

Table 3. Consultation characteristics of patients with depressive symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Deprived areas, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Affluent areas, mean (SD)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CARE</td>
<td>43.0 (6.80)</td>
<td>45.2 (6.80)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>3.57 (0.32)</td>
<td>4.19 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation length, minutes</td>
<td>9.16 (4.24)</td>
<td>10.25 (4.90)</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well the patient knows the GP, score</td>
<td>4.03 (1.02)</td>
<td>3.65 (1.21)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verbal skills</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPCC, global score</td>
<td>1.32 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.54 (0.43)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 1, score</td>
<td>0.258 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.321 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 2, score</td>
<td>0.327 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.426 (0.35)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 3, score</td>
<td>0.74 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-verbal skills</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of seconds looking at the patient</td>
<td>18.22 (10.02)</td>
<td>24.73 (7.35)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of head nods</td>
<td>4.03 (15.66)</td>
<td>6.71 (5.55)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of positive facial expressions</td>
<td>1.15 (1.39)</td>
<td>1.82 (1.67)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARE = Consultation And Relational Empathy. MPCC = Measure of Patient-Centred Communication. PEI = Patient Enablement Instrument. SD = standard deviation.

Table 4. Baseline factors predictive of outcome (PHQ-9 score) at 1 month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Effect estimate</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>1.21 to 7.52</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.20 to -0.10</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial PHQ-9</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.32 to 0.96</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPCC score</td>
<td>-3.10</td>
<td>-6.1 to -0.1</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>-2.32</td>
<td>-5.35 to 0.71</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARE score</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-1.42 to 2.58</td>
<td>0.824</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
who participated were representative of the registered patients in the participating practices, and that the participating practices were representative of the eligible practices in the sampling frame.

All of the practices in the study serving deprived areas are part of the Deep End Project, based on the 100 most deprived general practices in Scotland. The research findings support the views and experience of ‘Deep End’ GPs, in terms of both the high prevalence of psychological comorbidity within routine consultations, and the lack of time to address adequately the multimorbidity that many patients present.

The study was a secondary data analysis and hence study design and size were not conceived for depression alone. The follow-up duration was relatively short at 1 month; however, early patterns of treatment response in depression are known to be predictive of longer-term outcomes.

Although one-third of patients were lost to follow-up in both affluent and deprived areas, there was no significant different between responders and non-responders in the patient and consultation characteristics included in the regression analysis (Table 1). The study is also limited by the sensitivity and specificity of PHQ-9 as a measure of depressive symptoms. However, it remains a widely used scale in general practice research.

Comparison with existing literature
The management of patients with depression in primary care is an area of ongoing international research. In previous studies, the measure of observed patient centredness used in the present study (the MPCC) has been linked to patient satisfaction, reduced diagnostic testing, and improved patient health status.

There is substantial evidence of the beneficial effects of practitioner empathy on the outcomes of consultations with patients with mental health problems. Patient-perceived empathy as measured by the CARE measure has been linked to patient enablement and health outcomes.

In the present study, although there was a significant difference between CARE scores in patients with depression living in affluent and deprived areas, these scores were not related to follow-up PHQ-9 score. This may be an issue related to sample size, as the original study was not powered to detect the effect of empathy on outcomes in patients with depression.

Longer consultations in primary care are associated with higher accuracy of diagnosis of psychological problems. Increased consultation time for patients with complex problems in deprived areas has been associated with increased patient enablement and lower GP stress. Consultation length in the present study did not differ significantly between deprivation groups. However, in the authors’ previous work with a larger sample of over 3000 patients in the same setting, shorter consultation lengths were found in deprived areas.

Implications for practice and research
The importance of patient centredness to outcomes has important implications, as do the factors that limit this in areas of high deprivation, as described in recent reports on the challenges facing GPs working in the most deprived areas of Scotland (the ‘Deep End’). Reducing the inverse care law, by the provision of substantially larger numbers of GPs in deprived areas, may be challenging at this time of tight financial restraint in the NHS, yet ways of providing more time and support for practitioners in deprived areas are urgently needed, to stem the unmet needs of patients with depressive symptoms and other problems relating to multimorbidity.

For patients living in deprived areas, depressive symptoms are more common and early outcomes are poorer compared with patients consulting GPs in more affluent areas. Patient-centred consulting appears to improve early outcome but may be difficult to achieve in deprived areas because of the inverse care law, and higher need due to multimorbidity and the resultant time pressures on GPs. Reversing the inverse care law remains a key policy imperative.
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