that is, good work is squeezed out. This undermines Dame Black’s central tenet. If getting clients back to work is to succeed the work has to be good or else it results in further dysfunction and ill health. The challenge of her work requires a revolution of workplace culture to humanise the workplace without compromising its viability.

This editorial reinforces my experience in general practice that change will not be achieved by indoctrinating/fencing GPs to fill in a different form (Med3) in the hope that employers will do what is right and good for the client.

Dennis Wilkes,
MRCGP, FFPH, Solihull.
E-mail: dennisw@doctors.org.uk

REFERENCES

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp13X663000

Audit awareness among practices

Ken McLean states that a ‘basic lack of awareness of basic audit methodology’ is ‘especially concerning as the ability to carry out a full audit once every 5 years is a requirement for revalidation’.1

As part of the Appraisal Team in Kent and Medway, closely involved in the preparation of GPs for revalidation, I would like to draw attention to the GMC Guidelines for supporting information for appraisal and revalidation. It uses a clinical audit as one of five examples of supporting information that can be used in the section ‘Quality Improvement Activity’. It may well be that certain members feel that a full audit cycle is the only way to demonstrate quality improvement but the requirements for revalidation can include various other methods of reviewing and assessing one’s practice and how one is improving year on year.

Lynne Wright,
Lydden Surgery, 114 Canterbury Road,
Lydden, Dover, CT15 7ET.
E-mail: lynne.wright2@nhs.net
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ME/CFS and Blastocystis spp or Dientamoeba fragilis, an in-house comparison

In their Global Health editorial BJGP (October) on exotic infections, Behrens and Coltart did not mention the more mundane high prevalence of protozoal infections in warmer climates.2 We caught the anaerobic protozoa Blastocystis spp and Dientamoeba fragilis in Burma, however they are already common in UK, but little known to practitioners.

Blastocystis was the most common enteric parasite (6.9%) found in routine stool samples in a study in Wales2 and D. fragilis was found in up to 16.9% of samples submitted to alternative practitioners.3 However, the detection of both parasites is difficult and requires specialist laboratories; even then they may not be found. Faeco-oral seems their likely mode of transmission.

The pathogenic nature of D. fragilis is now more accepted,2 but two forms are known;4 while nine different subtypes of Blastocystis have been reported, observed disorders appear to be subtype dependent.4 Both protozoa have been linked to IBS.5,6 Treatment of Blastocystis is varied and metronidazole has shown resistance.4 For D. fragilis, only secnidazole5 and paromomycin11 gave very low treatment failure rates. Although both medicines are old, and both are registered and used within the EU, neither is registered for any use in UK.

My wife and I presented common severely debilitating symptoms of chronic fatigue and inability to concentrate for extended periods. I initially had severe diarrhoea, followed by soreness in the lower bowel, while my wife showed almost no intestinal disturbance or discomfort, however when we both had the same diet, the symptoms were the same. Later, headaches became more prevalent. The addition of milk (2% fat) plus cereals, particularly wheat based, increased the bowel disturbance. We had many blood and stool tests, with essentially no adverse findings. After many months and over 10 stool samples from each, I was diagnosed with D. fragilis and my wife with Blastocystis. Both had been found by microscopic examination of preserved stained specimens.

The parasites were treated: D. fragilis with paromomycin (750 mg tid) for 10 days [28 mg/kg] and Blastocystis with nitazoxanide [500 mg bid] for 3 days. Bowel disturbance continued for several weeks in both patients.

Our experiences, although limited, do offer direct comparisons between the parasites and support the conclusion that both can be pathogenic and the effects of both organisms can be similar, giving the ME [myalgic encephalopathy] symptoms of chronic physical and mental fatigue, with bowel disturbances related to cereal/milk diet. However, for patients and practitioners, the biggest problem is lack of efficacious approved drugs in UK.

I wish to thank the laboratory staff in the Department of Medical Parasitology at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine for making the diagnoses.

David Dunwell,
Mayfly Cottage, Netton Island,
Bishopstone, Salisbury, SP5 4DD.
E-mail: DavidDunwell@btinternet.com
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The importance of considering sebaceous gland carcinoma in presumed chalazia in South Asian patients?

A 51-year-old Malaysian female was referred with a left lower eyelid lesion noticed 4 years ago. She consulted her GP a year ago and was diagnosed to have a chalazion. Her GP requested funding for treatment. The primary care trust (PCT) considered this a low-priority procedure and declined funding. One year later she approached her GP again and was referred to a hospital for management of this eyelid lesion (Figure 1). She underwent a biopsy and the histology was suspicious of a squamous cell carcinoma. She was referred to our unit. On examination, she had a left lower eyelid, firm 4mm nodule with thickening and distortion of tarsal conjunctiva. With a clinical suspicion of sebaceous gland carcinoma (SGC), a wedge excision of the lesion was performed. Paraffin section histology confirmed complete excision of SGC. Delayed repair required a Tenzel flap. She remains asymptomatic at 5-month follow-up.

Discussion

Eyelid SGC is known to masquerade as chalazion.1 Patients with longer duration of symptoms have been reported to have more advanced disease and higher rates of recurrence or metastasis. Delay in diagnosis and treatment of SGC by more than 6 months has been reported to be associated with increased incidence of recurrence.2 In this case of SGC the treatment was denied by a PCT, after mis-diagnosis as a chalazion, considering it as a low priority procedure.

Although criteria may vary, PCTs only fund excision of chalazion when the following criteria are met: the chalazion has been present for more than 6 months, it is situated on the upper eyelid, and it is causing blurring of vision.

SGC is more common in the upper eyelid than lower, with ratios varying from 1.3 to 3. The above PCT criteria could lead to a delay in diagnosis of a SGC masquerading as chalazion in the lower eyelids. SGC is rare in the white population, accounting for 1–5.5% of all eyelid malignancies.3,4 However, SGC appears to be much more common in the Asian population (South Asian and Indian), accounting for 27–40% of all eyelid malignancies.3,4 Hence, the possibility of SGC should be considered in Asian individuals presenting with persistent chalazion. Persistent or recurrent chalazion and increase in size of lesion particularly in individuals of Asian origin should justify early referral to the ophthalmologist.

Suresh Sagili,
FRCOphth, Corneo Plastic Unit, Queen Victoria Hospital, East Grinstead, West Sussex, RH19 3DZ.
Email: sureshsagili@yahoo.com

Raman Malhotra,
FRCOphth, Corneo Plastic Unit, Queen Victoria Hospital, East Grinstead.
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