Language — Wittgenstein and representation

We cannot directly know the world as it is in itself, we only know our perception of it. This gap is one of the most important ideas of philosophy. Ludwig Wittgenstein famously opens his brief and enigmatic masterpiece the *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus* with the statement ‘the world is the totality of facts, not of things’. He dismisses the very possibility of talking about the world as it is in itself. We can only talk of ‘facts’, that is, our own statements of how we find the world to be.

Wittgenstein felt that he had solved the problems of philosophy by discovering that they were in fact just problems with the way we use language. He stated ‘A proposition is a model of reality as we imagine it.’ So what did he mean?

We make sense of our world by making models. A model is like a personal map. Consider the analogy of actual maps. A map is not the territory it represents, but if accurate, has a structure that gives me useful information about the territory. A map represents the aspects of that territory that interest the map reader. Each of us makes maps that are suited to our own needs. A cabbys’ map of London will be different from a London Underground map and different again from a geologists’ map. None are wrong. They represent the same world from different perspectives, and they have different uses. These models are therefore not only personal, but they are designed to be biased: both to our needs and also to our comfort.

But how do we create our models of the world? We make them with language, whether spoken, written or just in our thinking. Internal language is the tool with which we generate our models of reality. Our models of reality are the only hold we have on the world. It follows that language is an immensely important determinant of our understanding of the world. Our world and our language are, in a sense, the same thing.

The 20th century saw an explosion in the study of language. Language (language as such, not English or French etcetera), is an inborn tool for cognitive modelling in the human mind. Language as a communication device is secondary to this, and is partly an arbitrary system built on top of our innate cognitive modelling language. Probably only humans have language. Animals communicate, but they do not innately have this cognitive modelling tool, only a menu of messages. The inbuilt facility for language enables us to be human. It also projects us into a world where we can perceive and create meaning.

Wittgenstein points out that language functions by rules in the same way as a game of chess, but that many different games can be played if the same pieces follow different sets of rules. Thus our belief systems are determined by our ‘language games’. Wittgenstein sees language systems as determining the way we live and think rather than primarily serving the function of representation.
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