Patterns of engagement between GPs and adolescents presenting with psychological difficulties: a qualitative study

INTRODUCTION

Mental disorders contribute the largest disease burden in young people globally, with estimated rates of one in four.1 Nationally, the data sets are both limited and aggregated and estimate that 10% of <18-year-olds have a clinically diagnosable mental health disorder.2 Regular surveys have been called for by the Chief Medical Officer to capture trends which are believed to be increasing.3 Definitions for ‘young people’ vary. This article focuses on adolescents who are defined as being between 10–19 years of age.4

While mental health problems in adolescence are common, they are often not detected in primary care. This is despite findings that adolescents presenting to GPs are a group found to have higher levels of psychological problems with a prevalence of 25–40%.5–7 Teenagers consult their GP on average twice a year, with rates increasing for older female teenagers.8,9

International data suggest delays of 5–15 years for diagnoses are typical for common mental health disorders manifesting in the teenage years.10 Undetected poor mental health has consequences that are wide ranging and detrimental, including negatively impacting on academic achievement and employment prospects, social, and familial relationships, and an increased risk of health-compromising behaviours.11

Investigating GPs’ low rate of detection of mental health problems has tended to focus on ‘GP psychiatry training’ as the sole strategy for improving recognition, a position which has been criticised for its limited perspective.11 Understanding how GPs view and respond to psychological difficulties in adolescence is critical if we are to promote greater involvement by GPs with young people who rarely present with an obvious ‘mental health problem’5 but typically present with messy scenarios often involving conflict (family or peers), problems in school, or risky behaviour. Quantitative studies report low self-ratings of GP confidence when talking to adolescents about these topics.12,13 Martinez et al14 report a reluctance to both identify and respond to signs of suspected psychological problems and Haller and colleagues suggest GPs are influenced by a young person’s own level of emotional literacy.7

The existing qualitative evidence is scant. Iilliffe and colleagues report GPs being ‘uncomfortable’ diagnosing depression,15 and not wanting ‘to medicalise psychological distress’.16

This article presents a qualitative study exploring GPs’ experiences and perceptions of consulting with adolescents who present with psychological difficulties. It builds on an earlier first level of analysis which concluded that professional anxiety and uncertainty about practice dominate engagement.14a

The second level of analysis presented here explores the factors that influence patterns of GP engagement.
How this fits in

Psychological problems are common in adolescents but not often detected by GPs. GPs are reluctant to medicalise emotional and behavioural problems and hesitant to initiate consultations to explore these areas. Anxiety and uncertainty dominate practice in this clinical area but GPs vary in their response to professional uncertainty. This research found that the GP participants collectively described a sense of their professional competence being challenged yet reacted with varying degrees of engagement. Three themes appeared to shape a GP’s response: performance in the clinical encounter; view of adolescents and their health needs; and the GP’s own preferred epistemological framework.

METHOD

Study design

The study took place in the north east of England in 18 general practices based in urban, rural, and semi-rural communities serving predominantly socioeconomically disadvantaged patients. Data were collected between January 2010 and May 2011.

Participants

Nineteen GPs were recruited using theoretical sampling, which identifies participants on the basis of their demographic characteristics and ability to contribute to disproving or confirming emerging themes, including inviting ‘outliers’ who might challenge the developing themes. For demographic details of the sample see Table 1. Further details of the recruitment process are reported elsewhere.17

Data collection and analysis

GPs were interviewed individually in a location of their choice by one author (a GP) using interview topic guides (based on the literature) (Appendix 1). The interviews lasted between 50–75 minutes and were audiorecorded and transcribed verbatim with consent.

The transcripts were coded and analysed using the constant comparative method of grounded theory,18,19 and iteratively commented on. To compliment grounded theory situational analysis was used.20 This is a cartographic approach which uses ‘maps’ to explore the contextual background, the key influences and current accepted ‘discourses’ in the topic of inquiry (maps are available on request from the authors).

Recruitment of GP participants continued until theoretical saturation had been reached.

The concept of ‘GP engagement’ emerged from the multilevel analysis, with GPs found occupying a spectrum from high to low levels of GP involvement with adolescent psychological difficulties.

RESULTS

Three main themes emerged which appear to explain differences in the level of GP engagement.

These three themes, presented in detail below, form the axes of a conceptual model which summarises the third and final level of analysis and is described in a companion article.21

The three themes were GP performance in the clinical encounter; GPs’ view of adolescents and perception of their health needs; and GPs’ own preferred epistemological framework.

GP performance in the clinical encounter

Clinical consultations in this arena present a number of challenges for GPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant ID</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Salaried or partner</th>
<th>Practice descriptor</th>
<th>Additional professional experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Semi-rural, deprived</td>
<td>GP Postgraduate education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Urban, deprived</td>
<td>Addiction medicine in primary care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Urban, deprived; wealthy student population</td>
<td>Former associate specialist in CAMHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Semi-rural, deprived</td>
<td>Mental health lead for a PCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Urban, deprived</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Semi-rural, largely affluent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Semi-rural, mixed</td>
<td>Child protection lead for a PCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Semi-rural, mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Semi-rural, mixed</td>
<td>GP lead for ‘teen drop-in’ clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Urban, deprived</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Urban, deprived</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Semi-rural, mixed: largely affluent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Urban, deprived</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Urban, deprived</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Semi-rural, mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Urban, deprived</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Urban, deprived</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Semi-rural, affluent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Semi-rural, mixed</td>
<td>Child health lead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = female. M = male.
Box 1. GPs’ performance in the clinical encounter (with adolescents)

I think they are a difficult group, ... partly because of the way I suppose things present and the way they access us and the way they relate to the problems, that can be difficult and all the issues about confidentiality and parents being present or not present comes into it. And, there is a feeling that because they’re, they are young people there should be lots of resources there for them and there aren’t. I think a lot of the time so not knowing what to do is a bit of a theme really. [10; M; 40–49; partner [P]]

... I suppose the reason you are doing this study is because it’s a funny area isn’t it — there a lot of black clouds hanging over it. It’s possibly one where people think ‘Oh here we go again, how am I going to do this? I know, I’ll send them to CAMHS.’ ... [11; F; 20–29; salaried [S]]

The triadic consult

... you tend to get the whole family in the room and it’s all quite tense and everyone’s quite erm, stressed basically ... and with an adult with depression I might start them on treatment and see them again in 2 weeks or something, but with teenagers, because we can’t prescribe or it is not advised to prescribe, it kind of feels to me like we have to take action now. [17; M; 30–39; S]

You have two people to mirror the body language and they have different agendas so its inherently difficult I think. The parents always have a fixed agenda, the child more often than not at that age is not very forthcoming and sometimes it is difficult to tease out what is important for them ... [12; M; 30–39; S]

When I was a younger GP, I used to feel quite irritated when a young person brought a friend in with them, like it was a kind of spectator sport. [10; M; 50–59; P]

I think I find it confusing to know what I am actually doing. I think often in that situation the parent wants to consult with me, with the youngster present and then the parents are interpreting for the young person what’s going on, and so then you’re deciding about what actually is going on — sometimes I feel I am put into a difficult position by a parent, with them sort of wanting to collude with me, almost against the person who is actually sitting in the chair — so that can be a really tricky path when everyone is in the room. [18; F; 40–49; P]

Box 2. Communicating with adolescents

Generally consulting with young people, I often find, if I’m being honest probably more difficult than I would expect to find it. I think I probably have this unrealistic view of myself as really sort of approachable and you know still being quite young myself compared to other GPs, you know being able to communicate fairly easily and fairly well with young people, then always very quickly, it becomes apparent that actually no, you are a million miles away from where they are and they don’t really relate to you very well at all ... [10; F; 30–39; S]

I think they are kind of almost quite enjoyable consultations because it is finding out a bit more about them, about the set up at home, or whatever; so I think, I am quite a nosey person actually ... I quite enjoy finding out those kind of, you know how are they getting on at school, what they enjoy doing, this kind of thing; erm, so I don’t find it difficult. [16; F; 20–29; S]

I really don’t feel I know the children ... and don’t know the family at all, but it’s come to a head if you like at the school and so then they feel quite pressured to get things done and get them done quickly or else they might get excluded or whatever, and so, erm, part of me doesn’t feel like there’s time to get to know them, like, ... I kind of feel I can’t kind of say ‘oh well come back in a month.’ [17; M; 30–39; S]

Data from the study suggested that GPs consulting with psychologically troubled teenagers often felt a sense of professional disempowerment: stemming from a lack of formal training and education about the clinical topic; problematic access to further help; and lack of clarity about what was expected of the GP.

The triadic consult. Adolescents typically present with a third party, usually a parent or guardian but can also include a friend or chosen family member. Both arrangements had the potential to create tension and were frequently described by participants as professionally challenging, especially when a young person attends unwillingly [Box 1, 11; F; 20–29; S].

Communicating with adolescents. A recurring theme among the participants was the challenge of talking with teenagers. A range of opinions were expressed, from a view that only services designed to work with younger patients were qualified to communicate effectively with adolescents to a view that life experiences, such as one’s own adolescence or being a parent, facilitated a more natural approach which made communication between GP and patient easier. No participant reported any formal educational preparation for talking to young people.

The variability and unpredictability of adolescent behaviour was cited as problematic, dissuading GPs from engaging as the situation could change from day to day.

Uncertainty about adolescent mental health and limited contact with secondary care colleagues compromised a desire to be more engaged with psychological presentations; a position made worse by often feeling direct action was expected by families [Box 2, 17; M; 30–39; S].

The potential that a presentation might suggest a history of abuse, either present or historical, was an additional source of professional anxiety.

Responding to cultural clashes. In the context of this study ‘cultural clashes’ refers to GPs, parents, and adolescents operating within different norms and expectations of social behaviour. The interviews revealed that consultations invited potential ‘clashes’ around norms for alcohol drinking, drug misuse, use of digital media, and relationships between teenagers and parents; with GPs trying to navigate their way through the cultural context in order to decide ‘what is normal’ in this situation and how best to proceed, without a clear steer [Box 3, 06; M; 40–49; P].

GPs’ views of adolescents and perception of their health needs

Adolescents as ‘different’ or seen to be on a life journey. A key influence on patterns of engagement centred on whether GPs saw teenagers as ‘a group apart’ or as patients on a life’s journey with particular needs and concerns, relevant to their stage in life. The view that adolescents are ‘different’ distanced GPs from viewing their health needs and concerns with compassion and was a barrier to engagement. In contrast
Adolescents as seen to be on a life journey

‘I think they go through a difficult time in their life in terms of hormones and family and are becoming more

I think it’s easier having kids of your own and knowing their own little foibles and knowing the significance

I suppose also, obviously, it is a difficult time being a teenager with all the life choices; do I want to go uni

I would say that they are a different group in that the communication might be more difficult because it’s not a

Adolescents as ‘different’

You might think asking somebody how many beers they have down the pub isn’t a problem whereas in

Adolescents as seen to be on a life journey

I think they are quite different because they are like in that transition phase from childhood to adulthood and it’s different for different people — what is normal for young people? Are they adults or are they a child, or neither, or both? [17; M; 30–39; S]

I think myself, and probably a lot of other doctors, find that a sullen, sulky, brooding teenager probably one of the most difficult patients to deal with. [15; M; 40–49; P]

I would say that they are a different group in that the communication might be more difficult because it’s not a group that’s always used to talking to adults about difficult issues, in particular I think for boys. [01; F; 50–59; S]

Even though the problem may not be a mental health problem that you would normally refer to a psychiatrist, but it’s the fact that it’s that particular type of age group that they need CAMHS. [15; M; 40–49; P]

Adolescents seen as a life journey

I suppose also, obviously, it is a difficult time being a teenager with all the life choices; do I want to go uni or don’t I? [17; M; 40–49; P] ... Relationships in their lives and just becoming independent. It’s a time when I think mental health problems are quite common aren’t they? Or at least stress is quite common.’ [11; F; 20–29; S]

I think it’s easier having kids of your own and knowing their own little foibles and knowing the significance of a yes and no answer that they don’t usually mean what they are actually saying. And their expectations are slightly different and time spans and demands tend to be much shorter, you know. They are wanting a response immediately to most things. And also the emotional toll that’s on it, you know; even something as simple as acne ... [14; M; 40–49; P]

I think they go through a difficult time in their life in terms of hormones and family and are becoming more independent and I can see all of that and those are difficult and challenging times for them as individuals and them as a member of the family and that makes them special. But that perhaps no different to a woman coming towards the end of her periods and going through menopause and the kids leaving home.’ [18; F; 40–49; P]

was the view that adolescents are on ‘a life journey’, a position which promoted interest in the young person as an individual and encouraged GP engagement (Box 4).

Understanding the developmental process of adolescence. GPs varied in their understanding of the asynchronous neurodevelopment in adolescence and of the typical presentations of common mental health conditions in this age group. GPs who displayed a broad understanding of the developmental changes young people experience were much more likely to adopt an empathic approach, and engage with the young person and their story.

Importance of trust in the doctor–patient relationship. An area of consensus concerned the importance of trust in therapeutic relationships between adolescents and their GP. This was considered to be qualitatively different when compared to older patients and stemmed from a position that older patients were more likely to uncritically trust their doctor; in contrast, GPs spoke of ‘earning the trust’ from teenagers who often needed to ‘test’ a GP before they were ready to disclose sensitive information.

A ‘duty of care’. GPs who viewed young people as being on a life journey were both more likely to speak of their responsibility to adolescents, contrasted with those who saw them as a group apart, and to recognise that their age conferred a certain vulnerability and duty of care which contrasted with older patients.

The importance of responding to need in a timely fashion was also seen as critical with a delayed or lack of response having potentially life-long consequences (Box 5, 08; F; 30–39; S)
Box 5. Importance of trust in the doctor–patient relationship

'I think confidentiality is an issue for young people, so trust. I think trust is different I almost feel I have to earn it more with young people, whereas with adults they give you that more freely.' [01; F; 50–59; S]

'The first time she came was about her acne. The latter, it was about 3 months after the death of Princess Diana, and she said “oh by the way, my mum has been saying I’m getting too concerned about the death of Princess Diana, I talk about it too much ... it’s always on my mind”... She told me she had been self-harming for a couple of years and she tried me out for 3 or 4 months before I found out about the self-harm.' [08; M; 40–49; P]

A ‘duty of care’

'I think with young people that’s even more important, ... I just have this fear with young people that if one person fails to listen that could be the end of it all and so if they need 30 minutes that’s absolutely fine, whereas if I spent 30 minutes with an adult I might feel a little bit more as though that wasn’t such a good use of my time perhaps ...' [06; F; 30–39; S]

Box 6. GPs’ preferred epistemological framework

Biomedical perspective

‘If it’s truly depression then it’s not really different [between younger and older patients]. I think there will be biological depression that has started early ...’ If I think its depression I think it’s the same illness, but perhaps sometime harder to tell whether it is depression or not in young people.’ [01; F; 50–59; S]

‘... oh what’s the word I am looking for, just sort of almost acceptable hopelessness that a lot of teenagers have or go through would they call them Emos wouldn’t they? And there is always, every class has a section of emos, and how many of these people are clinically depressed? Or you know, to quote a phrase, ‘going through a phase’, you know so it’s trying to make sure that you are not catching a few of them in the net when maybe it’s just a sort of reactive phase that they are going through and not true depression ...’ [15; M; 40–49; P]

Biographical–biological perspective

‘... she doesn’t need medication, she doesn’t need to keep seeing to doctors in a way, she just needs loads and loads of social support really, and someone to help her through it really because she hasn’t got that social network to do it really.’ [03; F; 50–59; P]

‘... and you’ve got dad who is violent with the partner, yeah. But that often comes out when you do your genogram, and I mean I will often go away if it’s complex case I will get out all the notes you know look at mam’s notes, and realise oh gosh she had mental health problems and she was a school refuser and so it’s about a big jigsaw ...’ So I take pride in my letters to CAMHS, so you know I have been known to send them genograms. And that is because I know the families so well.’ [10; M; 40–49; P]

Interpretative perspective

‘... and I am becoming more and more a believer in narrative medicine, that none of it actually exists, it’s a story and it’s an evolving story and I think if that evolving story can have a better ending then it’s always a good thing. And I think it’s very important how I end it when people leave the room.’ [04; M; 40–49; P]

‘I don’t think they have got a chemical imbalance ... I suppose my belief is you now, when you see young people with distress, its often trauma based, relationship based.' [10; M; 40–49; P]

of a definable and diagnosable disorders amenable to treatment (Box 6, 01; F; 50–59; S). Where they were unable to discern a discrete mental health difficulty they were inclined to dismiss signs of distress as ‘not a medical problem’ and disengage.

Doctors using a biographical–biological perspective considered psychological difficulties in adolescence as largely psychosocial in origin. They recognised the role of the family and drew on family history as important social information (Box 6, 03; F; 50–59; P). Those practitioners whose accounts resonated with an interpretative paradigm demonstrated a view that stories of conflict, anger, social withdrawal, sadness could not be distilled to a single ‘truth’ and acknowledged that uncertainty and complexity are fundamental to the human condition (Box 6, 06; M; 40–49; P). This was not to deny a biological reality, or refute risk but to see the role of the GP as codesigner of the story.

DISCUSSION

Summary

Managing young people who present in surgery with psychological difficulties can be a complex clinical practice. The participants collectively described a sense of their professional competence being challenged yet reacted with varying degrees of engagement. Three themes appeared to shape a GP’s response: performance in the clinical encounter; view of adolescents and their health needs; and the GP’s own preferred epistemological framework.

A GP’s ‘behaviour’ in the consultation, which can encourage or inhibit an exploration of psychological problems, included a doctor’s capacity to deal with a three-way consultation. Although not unique to adolescents, triadic consultations raise particular concerns with this age group because often the teenager is silent and it is the parent and GP who converse.

Seeing adolescents as occupying a separate social group, rather than patients on a life journey, was shown to distance the GPs from any meaningful involvement and lead to a prompt referral to secondary care.

A GP’s preferred epistemological framework shapes attitudes, as well as defining how psychological difficulties are understood, and led to varying patterns of engagement. Seeing psychological problems as indicative of definable disorders, resulted in less engagement with the individual; in contrast to seeing psychosocial stress as the root cause which triggered further exploration with the young person as to what was happening in their life. For those in the interpretive paradigm, psychological problems need to be disentangled and examined with the young person, mindful of external (societal) pressures which can undermine adolescent wellbeing.

How these three themes tri-directionally influence each other is explored in the third and final stage of analysis reported in the companion article in this issue.21

Strengths and limitations

Qualitative methodology allows unexplored areas to be examined from multiple perspectives. Theoretical sampling allows for emerging ideas to be tested and either
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reinforced or abandoned; such as the early theme that younger and female GPs might engage more with teenagers, which was later unsupported by the data. Having three researchers from different disciplinary backgrounds enriched the analysis. The data is derived from unobserved accounts and would be augmented by observational data, which can be problematic to gather in reality. Further triangulation of the data with other types of data might support or challenge the themes presented; for example investigating young people’s responses to the findings would offer greater insight regarding the robustness and generalisability of the results.

Theoretical sampling did not lead to identifying GPs from cultural minority groups, although cultural perspectives need to be examined.

Comparison with existing literature
This study contributes to a small but growing body of work examining how GPs respond to adolescent psychological difficulties. GPs do not rate their competence in this area highly12,13 and are reluctant to initiate probing conversations.14 Anxiety and uncertainty about practice were the first level (open coding) findings of this reported study.17 When faced with adult patients presenting with psychological problems GPs have been shown to respond to uncertainty with a diagnosis of depression and medication.22 When faced with adolescent patients Illiffe and colleagues conclude that GPs view adolescents as ‘qualitatively different’, and are wary of medicalising distress.15 Where GPs could acknowledge the emotional distress associated with psychological problems they were more flexible, both in their performance in the consultation and interpretation of the problem. Emotional distress is not a diagnostic entity used routinely in clinical practice, and in the literature is often conflated with depression23,24 yet it can offer a useful framework when considering adolescent difficulties. It reflects the messy reality of consultations where psychological distress may or may not be associated with a diagnosable mental disorder.25,26

Implications for research and practice
Preparing doctors to confidently consult with teenagers, individually and as part of a triadic consultation, is key to promoting better engagement. Medical education across the board needs to include opportunities to practise these skills with input and feedback from young people.

Presenting the science of adolescence to doctors is fundamental to facilitate their understanding of how and why teenagers may behave in ways which are challenging to respond to, exacerbated by time pressures and unclear expected outcomes. Knowing more about the neurobiological development occurring in puberty, and its relationship to earlier brain development will support GPs to recognise the importance of safeguarding in adolescence where vulnerability is not always apparent.

Greater engagement is also promoted by closer working relationships with secondary care colleagues, and understanding how other disciplines work, and this needs to be addressed in medical education. In addition, presenting a knowledge framework which supports GPs to see emotional distress when they hear accounts of psychological difficulties in adolescence will support patterns of greater engagement, and may lead to the earlier identification of common mental health problems before teenagers enter adulthood.
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### Appendix 1. Early topic guide

**Focused on five broad areas, derived from the literature available at the time, to promote open discussion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. I'd like to talk about your experiences of consulting with young people in general | • How do you find this age group (12–19 year olds)?  
• Is it very different to consulting with older patients?  
• What sort of problems do you see? Do they consult often? |
| 2. Can we talk more about consulting with younger patients who may have psychological/mental health problems? | • How do you find this clinical area?  
• What about seeing teenagers alone/with ‘another’?  
• Any areas particularly tricky to broach? |
| 3. How do you consider possible ‘mental health problems’ in adolescence? | • Do any examples come to mind?  
• What approach did you take?  
• What worked well? What was difficult?  
• Is it different with other age groups?  
• Who else might be involved? |
| 4. What are your thoughts on ‘depression’ and ‘anxiety’ in young people? | • Do you see much of it?  
• Does this differ from other age groups?  
• What options are there in primary care?  
• What do you tend to do? |
| 5. Do you think GPs have a role/or not in addressing/promoting emotional wellbeing in young people? Explore. | Subsequent refinement of topic guide included asking in addition about factors external to the consulting room. How do they impact? |