

## LETTERS

## Cervical Smears

Sir,  
I feel I must reply to your editorial on cervical cancer (*February Journal*, pp. 69-72). It comments that the starting point for cervical smear recall in general practice "must be the age/sex register. The cards can easily be marked to indicate the year in which the next smear should be taken." Unfortunately there is no further explanation of how to organize an adequate system. Using the age/sex register alone would not allow for "repeat in three months" reports and would require all the cards to be reviewed regularly. I would therefore like to describe a system which allows easy recall of all patients as and when necessary.

A second card index, the cervical cytology index, (CCI) is required, having cards for all female patients to be screened (e.g. over 35 years). The patient's name, address and date of birth are recorded on the card and the date and result of any previous smear. The card is then placed into a section within the index corresponding to the month that the patient is due for a recall. Their age/sex card is also tagged. Those patients who have not had a smear within the maximum recall time (e.g. five years) are recalled and again their age/sex cards are tagged, but their CCI cards are placed in a section marked "Sent for". If a patient responds positively to the recall their card is moved to a section "awaiting results" and then on to the appropriate 'monthly' section. Non-responding patients are recalled annually three times, after which their cards are placed in a section "not wishing to have smear", as are patients who respond negatively. The cards of patients who have had a hysterectomy other than for malignancy are placed in a section marked 'hysterectomy' and are not recalled.

When all the chosen group have been entered into the index, periodic review of the age/sex index to identify those newly registered and those now 35 years is required. (These have untagged cards). Those who have had three pregnancies or those of low socio-economic group could also be included.

The patients in each monthly group are recalled at the beginning of the corresponding month by means of a standard letter.

The system runs smoothly and I believe has more chance of being accu-

rate than any operated via a distant impersonal family practitioner committee computer.

N. J. WARING

3 Buttermere Drive  
Basingstoke  
Hants RG22 5LD.

Sir,  
Professor Alwyn Smith (*April Journal* p. 256) suggests a practical solution to the dilemma of cervical cancer screening.

In 1970-1971 we attempted to screen 100 women aged 35 to 55, selected from our practice age-sex register, who had not had a hysterectomy and had no record of a cervical smear in the preceding 5 years. The response to a letter offering an appointment was 67 per cent. When we examined the records of those who did not reply, four had never seen a doctor since the introduction of the NHS in 1948. Attempts to visit and persuade the non-responders proved fruitless. Six had left the area, others could not be contacted or simply refused to discuss the matter. Most of the 67 women who were screened were in social classes I and II. The detection rate was one positive smear (carcinoma in-situ) and three patients with pelvic pathology (two fibroids, one sarcoma).

After this I worked for three years from 1972-1975 in a local authority cytology clinic which depended on a system of self-referral by the patients. The bulk of the work was carried out with highly educated middle-class patients. Although some working-class women attended the clinic and found it helpful and convenient, many were too busy with families or jobs, or too hard up, or too frightened to come. The community physician discussed this and we agreed that the screening programme was not reaching those in greatest need—parous women in social classes III, IV and V. We considered an attempt to contact them through the schools, or even bingo halls, but could not devise any practical method of doing this.

Perhaps Professor Alwyn Smith's suggestion of a single test for each woman at the age of 40, identified by her general practitioner from the age-sex register, will prove economical and fruitful. I intend to give this a trial in our own practice in the near future.

JEAN COOPE

The Waterhouse  
Bollington  
Macclesfield SK10 5JL.

## Looking for the Fragile-X

Sir,  
The College's (1981) report on health and prevention in primary care listed fourteen pre-natal 'target' conditions in which prevention, early detection or health education are, or may be, worthwhile.

Until very recently Down's syndrome has been the only chromosomal abnormality detectable by amniocentesis, but recent work in New York (Jenkins *et al.*, 1981; Shapiro *et al.*, 1982), Adelaide (Sutherland and Jaky, 1982), Bristol (Gardner *et al.*, 1982) and other centres now promises to add a second chromosomal abnormality of major importance to the list. This is the fragile-X syndrome, first described by Lubs (1969).

The disorder probably results from a defect in very few genes, or possibly even one. Affected individuals, who have mild to moderate mental retardation, will generally be found in ESN schools, sheltered work, subnormality hospitals or institutions. Female carriers may not be noticeably abnormal, but as in other X-linked abnormalities, half of their offspring are likely to be affected: 25 per cent normal boys, and 25 per cent affected; 25 per cent normal girls and 25 per cent carriers.

Apart from mental retardation, affected males are characterized by long faces, (high foreheads and prominent chins), often large ears, sometimes unusually blue irides and, after puberty, increased testicular size (macro-orchidism). In some there may be behavioural abnormalities, either psychotic-like or autistic. Generally they seem good pupils in special schools and good workers in workshops and have easy happy personalities. A particular quality of speech termed 'litany' speech has been described (Brown *et al.*, 1982).

Knowledge of this condition is now advancing rapidly. Professor J. Lejeune has postulated that the biochemical abnormalities resulting from the abnormal gene(s) may be correctable by the administration of folic acid, 5-formyl tetrahydrofolate or other donors of monocarbonyls (Turner and Frost, 1980). This suggestion is based on the observed reduction in the chromosome fragility *in vitro* in folic-acid-enriched cell-culture medium.

Eight patients in Lejeune's series of 16 have shown improvement in behavioural pattern following folic acid and adjuvant therapy. A further interesting possibility is the treatment of pregnant fragile-X women. A European co-operative study has been suggested (Lejeune, 1981).

Meanwhile there is an urgent need to

identify families in which affected males have been born so that the carrier females may be offered counselling and amniocentesis at the appropriate stage.

I would be interested to hear from practitioners who have affected families in their care.

R. A. YORKE

Maghull Health Centre  
Westway  
Maghull  
Merseyside L31 ODJ.

#### References

- Brown, W. T., Friedman, E., Jenkins, E. C. et al. (1982). Association of fragile X syndrome with autism. *Lancet*, **1**, 100.
- Gardner, A. P., Howell, R. T. & McDermott, A. (1982). Fragile X chromosome: consistent demonstration of fragile site in fibroblast cultures. *Lancet*, **1**, 101.
- Jenkins, E. C., Brown, W. T., Duncan, C. J. et al. (1981). Feasibility of fragile X chromosome prenatal diagnosis demonstrated. *Lancet*, **2**, 1292.
- Lejeune, J. (1982). Is the fragile X syndrome amenable to treatment? *Lancet*, **1**, 273-274.
- Lejeune, J. (1981) *Métabolisme des monocabones et le syndrome de l'X fragile. Bulletin de l'Académie Nationale de Médecine*, **165**, (session of Dec. 1 1981).
- Lubs, H. A. (1969). A marker X chromosome. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, **21**, 231-244.
- Royal College of General Practitioners. (1981). *Health and Prevention in Primary Care. Report from General Practice 18. London Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners*.
- Shapiro, L. R., Wilmot, P. L., Brenholz, P. et al. (1982). Prenatal diagnosis of fragile X chromosome. *Lancet*, **1**, 99-100.
- Sutherland, G. R. & Jacky, P. B. (1982). Prenatal diagnosis of fragile X chromosome. *Lancet*, **1**, 100.
- Turner, G., Daniel, A. & Frost, M. (1980). X-linked mental retardation, macro-orchidism and the Xq 27 fragile site. *Journal of Pediatrics*, **96**, 837-841.

### Smoking and Health

Sir,  
Since I retired from the DHSS I have involved myself in the counselling activities of the National Society of Non-Smokers and have joined its committee. During the first twelve months of operation of the Society's walk-in Advisory Centre at Latimer House, we have been approached, either personally, by telephone or by letter, by over 10,000 people wishing to give up smoking. Many did not look upon their family doctor as a likely source of assistance; others, although often motivated to stop smoking by medical advice, received little help in doing so. I doubt that the proportion of general practitioners in London who feel that they should have a major

involvement in efforts to curb smoking approaches the 74 per cent found in Oxfordshire (March *Journal*, pp. 179-183), although their number may have been increased by the recent distribution of 'GUS' kits prepared by the Health Education Council.

To quote the College's Working Party on Prevention, "Smoking may require the taking of a careful history, like any other complex and important disorder whose course one wished to change." (RCGP, 1981). Our clients vary widely in the amount of support they need. The General Household Survey in 1980 found 28 per cent of men and 14 per cent of women to be "irregular smokers" (OPCS, 1981); many must have stopped without outside help. For some a 30-minute interview and one of the HEC's excellent booklets have sufficed but others have relapsed even after a structured course such as the "5-Day Plan" first developed in the UK by the British Temperance Society. Our experience convinces us of the need not only for weekly smoking advisory clinics of the kind so far run by a minority of Health Authorities but also for walk-in centres, manned by volunteer ex-smokers and open during normal working hours, such as we are pioneering here.

More research is needed into methods of helping people to give up smoking and on how to match the method to the person. The Society is planning a 12-month follow-up of its clients in co-operation with a research team from a London teaching hospital; dare I hope that a College Faculty will turn its attention to a similar investigation?

THOMAS E. A. CARR

National Society of Non-Smokers  
Latimer House  
40/48 Hanson Street  
London W1P 7DE

#### References

- Office of Population Censuses and Surveys (1981). *Cigarette smoking 1972 to 1980. OPCS Monitor GHS 81/2*. London: OPCS.
- Royal College of General Practitioners (1981). *Prevention of Arterial Disease in General Practice. Report from General Practice 19*. London: RCGP.

Sir,  
Further to Dr Cooperstock and Dr Thom's article on health, smoking and doctors' advice (March *Journal*, pp. 174-183), I feel that the authors have missed out a very common cause for not giving up smoking. This is that patients are very concerned about putting on weight. This is particularly so

with female patients. On asking them, many women have at one stage given up smoking only to find that they put on pounds. I think the only way to combat this is that dietary advice must always be given when counselling female patients to give up the evils of tobacco.

G. P. POGREL

42 Wellington Street  
Millom  
Cumbria LA18 4DE

### Hypertensive Drugs and the Quality of Life

Sir,

The article by Dr Jachuck and his colleagues in the February *Journal* (p. 103), in which they report the more unfavourable assessment by relatives of the quality of life of a patient on hypertensive drugs than that given by either the patient or the physician, will be of concern to all those interested in screening for hypertension and the management of hypertensive patients.

It would be very interesting to know whether this observation is specific for patients being treated with hypertensive drugs or whether patients with a number of conditions give a less favourable impression to their families than they do to their physician.

H. G. PLEDGER

Area Medical Officer

Northumberland Area Health  
Authority  
East Cottingwood  
Morpeth  
Northumberland  
NE61 2PD.

*Dr Jachuck replies as follows:*

To the best of my knowledge, a three-dimensional assessment involving clinicians, patients and relatives to evaluate the effect of therapy on quality of life had not been reported before. However, in our article we did mention that the QLIS was used in a group of patients following neurosurgery. We have completed a similar study in patients after certain clinical interventions (to be published) and the pattern of response is different to that of the hypertensive patients.

### Primary Care Around the World

Sir,

Dr C. A. Pearson's letter in the December *Journal* (p.761) interested me greatly and I want to support his plea for