vices Agency of the Scottish Health Service). It is hoped that this case, reporting on apparent hyperglycaemia, will draw attention to an area of avoidable confusion in the management of paracetamol toxicity.

A M Copland
J Mather
A Ness
J D Fulton

Stracathro Hospital
Brechin
Angus DD9 7QA

References

1. Smith R (ed). *ABC of alcohol.* London: British Medical Journal, 1988.
2. Farah DA, Boag D, Moran F, McIntosh S. Paracetamol interference with blood glucose analysis: a potentially fatal phenomenon. *BMJ* 1982; **285**: 172.
3. Weatherall DJ, Leadingham JGG, Warrell DA (eds). *Oxford textbook of medicine.* 2nd edition. Oxford University Press, 1987.
4. Fleetwood JA, Robinson SMA. Paracetamol interference with glucose analyzer. *Clin Chem* 1981; **27**: 1945.

Health advice for travellers: the GP's role

Sir,

Despite the current worldwide recession the number of people embarking on travel abroad continues to rise — 30.8 million visits were made by citizens of the United Kingdom in 1989, 4.8 million of whom travelled beyond Europe (HMSO Business Statistics Office). Not surprisingly general practitioners are increasingly contacted by patients seeking advice both prior to travel and following their return. General practitioners are uniquely placed to advise, having access to the patient's relevant medical history including previous immunizations, allergic reactions, and long term medication, as well as insight into the patient's lifestyle. At the same time general practitioners have the immediate responsibility for the traveller returning unwell and having provided the pre-travel advice, they are ideally placed to assess the patient's needs.

A study in 1985 showed that of 645 travellers only 44% sought pre-travel health advice; the travel agent was consulted most frequently (21% of the 645 travellers), and the family doctor least frequently (10%).[1] However, another study carried out in 1989 at Heathrow airport asking 899 departing travellers where they preferred to obtain pre-travel advice showed that the majority favoured their family doctor (65%), followed by a travel clinic (26%) and the travel agent (9%) (Arnold WSJ. Paper presented at the Third International Conference on Tourist Health, Venice 1990). Furthermore, a study of information on health advice provided by brochures issued by travel agents showed many deficiencies.[2] Other studies have suggested that general practitioners may encounter problems about giving appropriate advice,[3] that general practice may not be the best location for provision of travel advice,[4] and that general practitioners have a medico-legal responsibility to provide accurate advice.[5]

In recognition of the responsibilities and difficulties which the general practitioner faces in addressing this need, the Communicable Diseases (Scotland) Unit commenced a telephone advice service for the primary care sector in 1975; in addition a computerized travel health information database (*Travax*)[6] was established in 1982. A recent development is the installation of a UK-wide telephone networking system enabling easier access by local telephone call from a suitable modem linked to a screen display.

Against this background a study was conducted in September 1990 which attempted to assess whether the general practitioner is the best person to give pre-travel health advice. A postal questionnaire was sent to all 681 general practitioners in the Greater Glasgow area, with the objective of assessing their views on the provision of health advice for travellers; in addition their awareness of the *Travax* database and their assessment of the usefulness of this service was sought. The overwhelming majority (87%) of the 288 responding general practitioners felt that pre-travel health advice was best provided in the primary care setting (Table 1). Although the 42% response rate was disappointing, this group of general practitioners appears enthusiastic about providing health advice for travellers, correlating well with the apparent preferences of travellers themselves. Eighty five per cent of respondents indicated that they would find the travel health database a useful aid.

Table 1. GPs' views of where pre-travel health advice is best provided.

	% of GPs[a] (n=288)
Primary care	87
NHS hospital clinic	22
Health board clinic	17
Private clinic	8
Other	1

[a]Respondents could list more than one source. n = total number of respondents.

Jonathan H Cossar
Daniel Reid

Communicable Diseases (Scotland) Unit
Ruchill Hospital
Glasgow G20 9NB

References

1. Cossar JH, Reid D, Fallon RJ, *et al.* A cumulative review of studies on travellers, their experience of illness and the implications of these findings. *J Infect* 1990; **21**: 27-42.
2. Reid D, Cossar JH, Ako TI, Dewar RD. Do travel brochures give adequate advice on avoiding illness? *BMJ* 1986; **293**: 1472.
3. Usherwood V, Usherwood TP. Survey of general practitioners' advice for travellers to Turkey. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1989; **39**: 148-150.
4. Jeffries M. Booster for GP travel vaccine clinics. *Monitor* 1989; **2**: 10-11.
5. Holden JD. General practitioners and vaccination for foreign travel. *J Med Def Union* 1989; Spring: 6-7.
6. Cossar JH, Walker, Reid D, Dewar RD. Computerised advice on malaria prevention and immunisation. *BMJ* 1988; **296**: 358.

GPs and work in the third world

Sir,

Dr Parkes describes his experience in Nepal (letters, February *Journal*, p.82) and shows how a type of general practice, which includes sufficient primary surgery and obstetrics to meet the broader needs of a community in the third world, can provide a most satisfying and rewarding career. This style of general practice is applicable where doctors are relatively few, and specialist surgeons even fewer.

Cooperation between the Royal College of General Practitioners and the Royal College of Surgeons to give those with the MRCGP additional surgical expertise before going abroad would indeed be useful. The relatively low-technology apparatus available for operating in the district hospitals of poorer countries should be remembered.

C Andrew Pearson

2 Springfield Road
Bury St Edmunds
Suffolk IP33 3AN

Cholesterol screening

Sir,

We have audited the cholesterol measurements made at health checks in our practice over the nine months to 30 November 1991. A total of 625 patients aged 20–65 years (12% of the practice population in this age group) had their cholesterol level measured (51% of the sample were men). The results were classified as normal (less than 5.2 mmol l^{-1}) in 21% of cases, borderline (5.3–6.4 mmol l^{-1}) in 34%, raised (6.5–7.9 mmol l^{-1}) in 36% and very high (8.0 mmol l^{-1} or more) in 9%.

The British Hyperlipidaemia Association recommends that anyone with a cholesterol level over 5.2 mmol l^{-1} should

receive dietary advice and assessment of risk factors.[1] The European Arteriosclerosis Society recommendations are similar.[1]

The current national policy of screening at three yearly intervals is popular with our patients who almost invariably expect a cholesterol check as part of the screening. However, it will have enormous implications, both financially and in terms of workload, for the health service, if, as in our practice, nearly 80% of the adult population need some sort of treatment for hyperlipidaemia.

It seems inappropriate to continue with screening on this basis at a local level without at the same time considering centrally organized population-based strategies of dietary change, as adopted in Canada[2] and risk factor reduction, especially smoking and alcohol consumption. Surely a national population-based strategy to reduce cardiovascular disease would be a cost effective complement to local screening programmes as we attempt to improve the health of our nation.

S J COOPER
S H COCKSEDGE

Thornbrook Surgery
Chapel-en-le-Frith SK12 6LT

References

1. Anonymous. Hyperlipidaemia. *MeRec Bulletin* 1991; 2(9): 33-35.
2. Toronto Working Group on Cholesterol Policy. Asymptomatic hypercholesterolaemia: a clinical policy review. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1990; **43:** 1029-1121.

Trends in smoking

Sir,
Each year National No Smoking Day prompts me to re-evaluate my knowledge of patients' smoking habits. The exercise is quickly performed using the computerized database. The computer summary of the patient's records is structured to highlight preventive medicine data and so to stimulate the doctor to make enquiries during the consultation.

Table 1 shows the smoking status of patients recorded on or near National No Smoking Day in 1988 and 1990–92. It is encouraging to see that in 1992, three quarters of patients' notes record smoking status, compared with only just over half (52.9%) in 1988. The percentage of smokers has fallen from 55.1% in 1988 to 31.8% in 1992, an encouraging trend.

Simple audits are often not repeated in general practice and a highly publicized date such as National No Smoking Day is a useful annual reminder to monitor progress in recording data on prevention.

W J D MCKINLAY

The Health Centre
Clitheroe
Lancashire BB7 2JG

Table 1. Smoking status of patients aged 18–70 years recorded between 1988 and 1992.

	1988	1990	1991	1992
Total no. of patients aged 18–70 years	1232	1333	1339	1263
No. (%) of patients for whom smoking status known	652 *(52.9)*	899 *(67.4)*	930 *(69.5)*	958 *(75.9)*
% Non-smokers	*38.2*	*50.7*	*50.5*	*51.9*
% Ex-smokers	*6.7*	*15.0*	*16.0*	*16.3*
% Smokers[a]	*55.1*	*34.3*	*33.4*	*31.8*

[a] Any smoking, including pipes and cigars.

Treatment of non-melanoma skin cancers

Sir,
I read with some concern the letter by Dr Sundle promoting curettage as an effective method of treating non-melanoma skin cancers (February *Journal,* p.82). I would agree that curettage may be suitable treatment for superficial basal cell carcinomas.[1] However, tumour free margins of 2–4 mm have been recommended to give 98% five year cure rates.[2] If a squamous cell carcinoma is incompletely excised then it may recur at the original site and also may metastasize to the rest of the body and cause death. With regard to basal cell carcinomas, proponents of curettage might do well to be reminded of patients who have had to have eyes enucleated or maxillae excised because of recurrence of these locally destructive growths.[3]

It is little wonder that histopathologists have been moved to write many articles on the problems of general practice surgery.[4-6] It is impossible to assess completeness of excision histologically on curetted specimens. It is also unlikely that a pathologist would be able to differentiate between a curetted keratoacanthoma and a squamous cell carcinoma, and the prognosis and further treatment for these lesions is very different.

Minor surgery in general practice will not survive if the treatment we are offering our patients is only second best.

R I F HENRY

The Surgery
15 Perry Road
Sherwood
Nottingham NG2 6AY

References

1. Graham-Brown RAL. Benign and malignant skin tumours. *Practitioner* 1988; **232:** 1027-1033.
2. Wolf DJ, Zitelli JA. Surgical margins for basal cell carcinomata. *Arch Dermatol* 1987; **123:** 340-344.
3. Rosen HM. Basal cell carcinoma requiring ablative craniofacial surgery. *Arch Dermatol* 1987; **123:** 376-378.
4. McWilliam LJ, Knox F, Wilkinson N, Oogarah P. Performance of skin biopsies by general practitioners. *BMJ* 1991; **303:** 1177-1179.
5. Williams RB, Burdge AH, Jones SL. Skin biopsy in general practice. *BMJ* 1991; **303:** 1179-1180.
6. Whimster WF, Leonard RA. Surgical pathology and general practice [editorial]. *BMJ* 1991; **303:** 1149-1150.

Simple statistics

Sir,
Statistics have always been a black art to me: *P* values were meaningless and the statistical tests used confusing. Frances Clegg's book, *Simple statistics,*[1] is the first one on statistics that I have read which is easy to read for clinically minded doctors, explains what tests are used, when and how and is laced with humorous anecdotes and cartoons to keep even the most somnolent person awake.

The passage of time has meant that we all need to have a better grasp of the basics in order to appraise critically journals and clinical subjects and to understand what tests to use in research. The 200 page book illustrates the most common statistical tests using simple examples. At the end are explanatory notes outlining the stages of calculation of each test which are easy to understand and follow and could readily be used as a 'blueprint' for any calculations under consideration.

For those of us who are clinically minded but who need to know a little more about statistics, *Simple statistics* is more readable, more understandable and more 'fun' than any other that I have read. It is not expensive and I would recommend it.

JONATHAN LEACH

The Group Practice
Bengal Road
Bulford Camp
Salisbury SP4 9AD

Reference

1. Clegg F. *Simple statistics.* Cambridge University Press, 1982.