

that a combination of opportunistic and systematic strategies may be the best way forward. Either way, case-finding must be an ongoing activity since new patients will continually be entering the high risk group as they age.

Tim Holt

Clinical Lecturer,

E-mail: tim.holt@warwick.ac.uk

Margaret Thorogood

Chair of Epidemiology

Frances Griffiths

Senior Clinical Lecturer

Health Sciences Research Institute
Warwick Medical School
University of Warwick
Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL.

REFERENCES

1. Marshall T. The use of cardiovascular risk factor information in practice databases: making the best of patient data. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006; 56: 600–605.
2. National Service Frameworks. *Coronary Heart Disease. Chapter Two: Preventing coronary heart disease in high-risk patients*. London: Department of Health; 2000.
3. Holt TA, Thorogood M, Griffiths F, Munday S. Protocol for the 'e-Nudge trial': a randomised controlled trial of electronic feedback to reduce the cardiovascular risk of individuals in general practice [ISRCTN64828380]. *Trials* 2006, 7:11
4. Department of Health. *National surveys of NHS patients: general practice*. London: Department of Health, 2002.
5. Campbell SM, Roland MO. Why do people consult the doctor? *Fam Pract* 1996; 13: 75–83.

Privatising primary care

I couldn't agree more with the excellent editorial by Allyson Pollock and David Price ('Privatising Primary Care') in August's *British Journal of General Practice*. The representatives at the BMA's Annual Representative Meeting this summer voted to oppose the privatisation of the NHS. It's unfortunate, in my opinion, that my college, the RCGP, has not taken this line, but perhaps the *BJGP* could consider publishing papers regarding evidence as to the effectiveness or otherwise of privatised primary care, for example in the US. I have been going to the US fairly often over the last 30 years and it is my experience, both personally and from talking to medical

friends, that only a small proportion of the population there receive what we would consider an adequate primary care service. American publications by Barbara Stansfield and the Commonwealth Fund of New York support this point of view.

Brian Jarman

Emeritus Professor of Primary Health Care

Faculty of Medicine

Imperial College, London.

E-mail: bjarman@imperial.ac.uk

Domestic violence in practice

Fitzpatrick describes the interest in interpersonal violence as a 'vogue for wallowing in degradation reflecting a misanthropic view of humanity and a pessimistic outlook towards the future'.¹ He states that, because of wider social progress, there is a decline in the scale of domestic violence.¹ Fitzpatrick also describes his experience elsewhere:

*'I inquired whether (my GP colleagues) had noticed a recent upsurge in domestic violence. But no; like me, they had certainly encountered the occasional case, but thought it not a very common problem ...'*²

Unfortunately, research reveals that domestic violence remains common and often undetected by doctors.³

Far from misanthropy and pessimism, recognition of the existence of interpersonal violence and its damaging effects is the first step towards raising support for the sufferer, whether that support takes a social, medical or psychological form. Failing to recognise the problems caused by inter-personal violence may well be misanthropic.

Fitzpatrick suggests that improving the quality of human relationships should be a social not a medical project, and presents these approaches as alternatives. Fitzpatrick believes that reframing social problems as illnesses encourages individual dependency.¹ However, the naming of the condition described by writers ancient and modern (for example, Samuel Pepys in his

diary, and testimonies of 'shell shock') as 'post-traumatic stress disorder' (PTSD) liberates the patient by acknowledging that an individual's symptoms are a recognised response to life-threatening trauma. Armed with this understanding of how domestic violence is affecting them, patients often find the strength to improve their situation.

Fitzpatrick quotes cases of transcendence of abusive experiences (Bryan Magee and John McGahern)¹ Indeed, research shows that 2/3 of those experiencing life-threatening trauma are resilient to developing PTSD,⁴⁻⁶ but one can hardly ignore the other third. These are the ones who do not manage to transcend their experience, and who are thus more likely to be seeing their GP. It would be helpful and humane if their doctors recognised their PTSD, and correctly attributed its source. If the doctor has not asked about past trauma in the consultation, other less helpful socially constructed labels such as 'frequent attender', 'heartsink patient' and 'personality disorder' may be attached to the patient instead. The alternative of not recognising the source of their problems is more likely to leave these patients as disabled victims. My paper³ gives doctors the tools to become less ignorant of interpersonal violence in a way that is respectful of patients.

Fiona Duxbury

The Health Services Research Unit,
Institute of Health Sciences, Old Road
Oxford, OX3 7LG

E-mail: duxburycrosse@doctors.org.uk

REFERENCES

1. Fitzpatrick M. Domestic violence in context. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006; 56: 469.
2. Fitzpatrick M. *The tyranny of health: doctors and the regulation of lifestyle*. London and New York: Routledge; 2001.
3. Duxbury F. Recognising domestic violence in clinical practice using the diagnoses of posttraumatic stress disorder, depression and low self-esteem. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006; 56: 294–300.
4. Marais A, De Villiers PJ, Moller AT, Stein DJ. Domestic violence in patients visiting general practitioners — prevalence, phenomenology, and association with psychopathology. *S Af Med J* 1999; 89(6): 635–40.
5. Widom CS. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in abused and neglected children grown up. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999; 156(8): 1223–1229.
6. Ackerman PT, Newton JEO, McPherson *et al*. Prevalence of post traumatic stress disorder and other psychiatric diagnoses in three groups of abused children (sexual, physical, and both). *Child Abuse Neglect* 1998; 22(8): 759–774.