

record consultation figures,¹ adapting to large-scale roll-out of remote consultations, and providing 90% of NHS consultations,² GPs are portrayed as work-shy, out-of-date, non-specialist obstacles to accessing hospital care.

Ironically, despite our own government and NHS leadership failing to value general practice, international healthcare experts are eager to invest in and develop their own primary care infrastructure, aware of the population health benefits, cost-effectiveness, and greater societal benefits this provides. Research from Harvard Medical School shows that increasing US primary care physician numbers increases average community life expectancy significantly more than additional specialist physicians.³ Advocacy groups such as the Primary Care Development Corporation in New York, US, aim to invest in the national primary care infrastructure to address pervasive gaps in care and healthcare inequity that a non-universal healthcare system reveals. Similarly, China is currently investing in and rapidly expanding its primary care system, after a recent hospital-centric healthcare transformation is limited in its ability to provide comprehensive universal health care to its citizens.⁴

Other nations are aware of the substantial benefits that a high-functioning, well-resourced, and universal primary care system provides, and are actively pursuing strategies to strengthen or establish their primary care systems. It would be nice if our healthcare leaders saw the same.

Laura Heath,
GP Academic Clinical Fellow, Bartlemas Surgery, Oxford.
Email: laura.heath@phc.ox.ac.uk

REFERENCES

1. Bostock N. GP consultations up 400,000 in first three weeks of 2021 compared with last year. *GP Online* 2021; **28 Jan**: <https://www.gponline.com/gp-consultations-400000-first-three-weeks-2021-compared-last-year/article/1705767> [accessed 8 Jul 2021].
2. Goodwin N, Dixon A, Poole T, Raleigh V. The evolving role and nature of general practice in England. In: *Improving the Quality of Care in General Practice*. London: King's Fund, 2011: 13–24. https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/sites/default/files/field/field_related_document/gp-inquiry-report-evolving-role-nature-2mar11.pdf [accessed 8 Jul 2021].
3. Basu S, Berkowitz SA, Phillips RL, *et al*. Association of primary care physician supply with population mortality in the United States, 2005–2015. *JAMA Intern Med* 2019; **179(4)**: 506–514.

4. Li X, Krumholz HM, Yip W, *et al*. Quality of primary health care in China: challenges and recommendations. *Lancet* 2020; **395(10239)**: 1802–1812.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp21X716549>

GPs' and patients' views on the value of diagnosing anxiety disorders in primary care: a qualitative interview study

This excellent qualitative interview study carried out by Archer *et al*¹ critically assesses GP and patient perspectives on diagnosing anxiety disorders versus ruling anxiety as a symptom. Anxiety can be an extremely debilitating condition and needs to be managed with care. From my clinical experience, the authors' point that patients find a long-term anxiety disorder harder to cope with compared with depression is very convincing.

As a GP, I have fallen prey to using anxiety symptom codes as opposed to diagnostic anxiety disorder codes in my own practice. Many of the GPs' views from this study resonated with my own reasoning for this. Conversely, it was helpful to see that, from the patient perspective, having a proper diagnosis of anxiety helped them to come to terms with and engage with treatment better. Overall, my realisation is that I will need to adjust my practice more to the patient in front of me and always have the diagnosis of anxiety disorders in mind.

One point that the study did not touch upon, but I would find interesting to read about in the future, is the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the perspectives of GPs and patients on diagnosis of anxiety disorders. There is no question that the mental health impact of the pandemic has been tremendous² and the prevalence of anxiety and depression in the population has changed. Would this consequently affect the views of GPs and patients in this study?

In my day-to-day practice, I have been encountering more and more patients with symptoms pertaining to anxiety disorders. Would the pandemic compel many patients

and GPs to shelve these symptoms to be a mere 'side effect' of the pandemic? Would this consequently lead to GPs using anxiety symptom codes more often? Nevertheless, the value of providing accurate diagnoses concerning mental health is more important now than ever.

Anitha Sanjeevappa,
GP.
Email: dranitha70@gmail.com

REFERENCES

1. Archer C, Kessler D, Wiles N, Turner K. GPs' and patients' views on the value of diagnosing anxiety disorders in primary care: a qualitative interview study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2021; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2020.0959>.
2. Akinin LB, De Neve JE, Dunn EW, *et al*. Mental health during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic: a review and recommendations for moving forward. *PsyArXiv* 2021; DOI: [10.31234/osf.io/zw93g](https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/zw93g).

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp21X716561>

Correction

In the research by van der Wardt V *et al*, Promoting physical activity in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Gen Pract* 2021; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2020.0817>, after publication it came to the authors' attention that one further article should have been included in the review and the 12-month follow-up meta-analysis. The paper has been revised to include this paper: Harris T, Kerry SM, Victor CR, *et al*. A primary care nurse-delivered walking intervention in older adults: PACE (pedometer accelerometer consultation evaluation)-Lift cluster randomised controlled trial. *PLoS Med* 2015; **12(2)**: e1001783. There are changes to Figures 1 and 3, Table 1, and some text in the Results section. The corrected results did not change the overall findings, discussion, or conclusion. The online version has been corrected.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp21X716585>