Correspondence

HOW MANY PATIENTS?

Sir,

Dr John Fry's recent discussion (August Journal) on the changing patterns in his practice makes interesting reading. However, I hope that his figures of 30 consultations and two home visits per day for his list of 4,500 are not regarded as the norm for planners of our future.

Of our practice population, about 25 per cent live in the old town and 75 per cent in a rapidly growing new town area. There are six doctors in partnership with average lists of slightly under 2,500. On a typical day recently, with one partner on holiday, the remaining five partners each made an average of 53 consultations, 8.4 home visits and wrote 9.8 repeat prescriptions. Although our practice is by no means an average one, I feel that neither is Dr Fry's practice and that the norm lies somewhere in between. Perhaps other practices could publish their figures so that a true picture can be obtained.

Our permanent health centre opened in October, 1971 and I hope, in due course, to collect figures of work load over the first 12 months. I expect this will show a degree of demand in a rapidly growing area which is not sufficiently appreciated, either by planners or by the Medical Practices Committee. It is our experience that, in such an area, lists even of 2,500 are too large for adequare patient-care, at least in the early stages of development.

P. I. VARDY

The Health Centre, Castlefields, Runcorn.

REFERENCE

Fry, J. (1972). Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners, 22, 521-528.

Sir,

John Fry's article on Twenty-one years of general practice (August Journal)¹ caused the members of our group to look at our attendance registers. We then asked ourselves why we seem to be working more hours per week than Dr Fry with considerably smaller average lists.

Your editorial² raises many of our questions and it is obvious more reports from all types of practice are necessary and may we suggest that additional information is required in the future. For example, how many patients visit other general practitioners or casualty departments instead of their N.H.S. doctor? In London and possibly in other large towns, some people have N.H.S. and private doctors and this is especially common in the middle-class and also with certain groups of immigrants.

Why do patients of doctors with large lists attend the surgery less often than patients of doctors with smaller lists? Do they go to chemists for advice, suffer in silence or not present their

"trivial illnesses" to the doctor. It is not necessary in this *Journal* to elaborate on the true meaning of a "trivial illness".

In our practice we have not yet changed to an appointment system except for the antenatal and children's clinics but we often spend 20 minutes or more dealing with a crisis situation which begins with "I'm not feeling too good doctor" or "The children are getting on my nerves". Do all these people ring for an appointment or do only those who get worse come to the surgery when they can present the receptionist with more acceptable symptomatology?

On a more personal note we should like to know how to see 12 children an hour. We normally spend a few minutes talking to the mother or getting the confidence of a child at the developmental assessment clinic and consider this time well spent. We do not repeat any work carried out by our excellent health visitor and would certainly like to know how to get 12 babies and their mothers (who often use the opportunity to bring up a problem about themselves or other members of the family), in and out of a consulting room in an hour.

In conclusion may we stress our genuine interest in these problems because we are certainly not teaching our trainees how to look after 4,500 patients, and if we need to do so we shall certainly have to learn the technique ourselves.

MELVIN ROSS ANTHONY C. M. INWALD DOROTHY J. HAAS

57 St. John's Way, London, N.19.

REFERENCES

- 1 Fry, J. (1972) Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners, 22, 521-528.
- 2 Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners, (1972). Editorial, 491-3.

Sir,

In reply to Dr Brooks (November Journal).

- 1. The practice here is run by two full-time partners only. There are almost 9,000 patients.
- 2. There is a night and weekend rota with two other practitioners, so each of us is 'on call' every fourth weekend and one or two nights each week.

 2. For two wors 1961, 63, there was an essistant
- 3. For two years 1961-63, there was an assistant employed in addition to the two partners.
 4. The practice is run as a separate unit of 9,000 potients by the two full time general practitioners.
- The place is that as a separate aim of 3,000 patients by the two full-time general practitioners. The reason why this number of patients can be registered with two practitioners is that there is a partnership with another unit with two practitioners who have only 2,500 patients between them and so we in our unit can have up to 4,500 patients.

I hope this is clear, namely unit 'A' with two general practitioners has 9,000 patients, and unit