

interested parties to write to me giving me their views.

A. FRASER-DARLING
Course Organizer

Postgraduate Medical Centre
County Hospital
Sewell Road
Lincoln LN2 5QY.

DOCTORS AND SOCIAL WORKERS

Sir,

It has taken me eight years to find and read *Helping the Aged. A Field Experiment in Social Work* (Goldberg, 1970) which was recommended to me by an academic social worker. It is strange that in the same week that *World Medicine* (1978) lampooned the social workers because of their recently formulated job description I should find myself with a good word to say for a group of people of whom I am usually fiercely critical.

However, it was a delight to read this study, which was the first controlled field experiment in Britain. Although there may be some minor criticisms with regard to the method, the study was extremely sophisticated and its findings, although a surprise to the authors, will confirm the suspicions that general practitioners have had over many years.

The study was led by a social worker and included a physician and statistician. Its aim was to assess the social and medical conditions of 300 old people in a local authority area and determine their need for help. Half of these people were randomly selected to receive help from trained case workers; the other half, also randomly chosen, remained with experienced local authority welfare officers.

Three general and seven specific hypotheses were formed and a separate group of assessors was used who at no time were in contact with social workers. Two examples of hypotheses in the general group were as follows:

1. That more clients in the special group will show positive changes in their social and medical conditions than the comparison group. This was only partially upheld.
2. That fewer clients in the special group will be admitted to institutional care than in the comparison group. This was not upheld.

Examples of the specific hypotheses were:

1. That fewer clients in the special group will deteriorate in ability for self-care and household capacity than in the comparison group. This was not upheld.
2. More people in the special than in the

comparison group will develop interests in activities such as clubs, work groups, holidays, home, library, church contacts, and hobbies. This was upheld.

We talk a good deal these days of audit, and the discipline and care shown in this attempt to assess the effectiveness of social work is both instructive and salutary to any of us who are at present involved in measuring our own performance. It is therefore a book which I feel, although eight years old, deserves to be read or re-read.

M. J. Y. FISHER

The Surgery
Palmerston Street
Wolstanton
Newcastle
Staffordshire.

References

- Goldberg, E. M. (1970). *Helping the Aged*. London: George Allen and Unwin.
Lait, J. (1978). *World Medicine*, 13, 27-29.

JAMES MACKENZIE LECTURE

Sir,

May I congratulate Dr D. J. Pereira Gray on his Mackenzie Lecture 1977 (January *Journal*, p. 6), especially for emphasizing the importance of knowing the patient, from personal contact, in his home environment. One hesitates to comment but I have a fear that in placing emphasis on the behavioural aspect of general practice he does a disservice to medicine, and general-practice medicine in particular, in apparently underrating the importance of a knowledge of pathology and the basic medical sciences.

He speaks of pathology as "the behaviour of organs, tissues, and micro-organisms", but pathology is the study of disease processes, and the organs and tissues in which these take place are those of our patients for whom we seek to make the earliest possible diagnosis. Unless we enter the patient's home with full medical knowledge, including the basic medical sciences, we will find ourselves unable "to care for many patients with coronary thrombosis, acute heart failure, strokes, croup, pneumonia" and other conditions which he quotes, and, what is perhaps more important, we will be unable to differentiate those whom we should not attempt to care for at home. Similarly, we will find ourselves at a loss in explaining to a patient the nature of his disease, the need for further investigation or surgical interference, or even be unable to supervise the healing of the tissues he has damaged in his home accident.

It must be about one hundred years since Osler said, "As is our pathology

so is our practice". I believe we should regard this statement to be just as true now as it was then and that it is right that we should first be taught human anatomy, physiology, and pathology in order that we can begin to understand the symptoms later to be presented to us.

I do not believe our task is "to concentrate on those symptoms which are most common in our patients today" but, in our aim to practise total medicine within the framework of general practice, to appreciate the importance of any symptom or set of circumstances which is presented to us at any time. A knowledge of scientific medicine does not prevent us from looking under the bed to see whose shoes are there.

May I also express the hope that with a better shared knowledge of medical care in the patient's home we will reach a greater liaison and understanding with all our hospital-based colleagues and stop this terrible schism which is being allowed to develop in some quarters.

P. W. BOWDEN

Townswell
Brailsford
Derby.

EMPLOYMENT OF PRACTICE NURSES

Sir,

Our practice nurse recently showed me an article which appeared in the September 1977 issue of the *Nursing Standard*, the official newspaper of the Royal College of Nursing. This article commented, amongst other things, on the position of nurses employed for treatment room work in health centres. This article implied that whilst a state registered nurse works in a health centre, her employer would be the area health authority (AHA) and her salary would be at the staff nurse grade. Similar provisions would apply to non-state registered nurses.

It is, of course, open to the general practitioners working from health centres to employ their own ancillary staff, and this includes nurses, and there is no obligation for such nurses to enter into a contract with the AHA. Furthermore, the Royal College of Nursing itself recommends that state registered nurses employed by general practitioners as treatment room or practice nurses should be paid at ward sister rates—considerably more than a staff nurse employed by the AHA. It is true that the nurses employed by the AHA can contribute to the NHS superannuation scheme and in due course receive a pension, whereas, at present, nurses employed by general practitioners may not.