reminder to all members of the practice team and serves as a useful start to introducing the idea of practising prevention. Its use can be extended by including details of attendance for developmental checks.

This chart was developed and used at the Cymmer Health Centre, West Glamorgan. I am grateful to the staff there, and particularly to Jean Williams, receptionist.

PETER GOFREY
23 Roseberry Avenue
Bristol 2.

Reference

Psychiatry in General Practice

Sir,

In an editorial (April Journal, p. 195) Dr Anthony Clare described three possible approaches to improve psychiatric management in primary care. More involvement by psychiatrists at one end and by social workers at the other, and strengthening the general practitioner’s own skills.

Valuable as the first two suggestions are, I do not see them as a substitute for improving our own skills.

The actual techniques of interviewing can be improved by role play, video-recording and analysis of the process of consultation, but if we are to progress from his depressing analysis that our major form of treatment is by psychotropic drugs, something more is needed.

Patients come to doctors with emotions that are often intense and wrapped up in ways that are peculiar to the general practice setting, which is often ‘gift wrapping’ to those doctors who try to see beyond the presenting complaint to what lies beneath it. All this is lost when the patient is referred to someone else. Awareness of the general practitioner’s own involvement in the consultation, and how this may reflect the patient’s other relationships, are best learnt slowly in a group setting.

As a dedicated member of the Balint Society two things encourage me in the present state of general practice. Vocational training implies half day release courses, where trainees naturally gather in small groups for case discussion. The problems that they wish to discuss are nearly all concerned with management of emotional and family problems. I hope that many trainees are now learning the value of sharing such problems in a group, and that they will wish to take such learning further when they are established.

The other encouraging thought is that I believe doctors are choosing to go into general practice because they are interested in such psychosocial matters. I hope that this may filter back to influence the selection and training of medical students. When I left hospital for general practice in 1953 I thought that everything that was not hospital-style medicine was nonsense. The present generation of trainees is much more sophisticated.

Dr Clare rightly suggests more intense investigation of the whole problem of psychiatry in general practice. An important part of this would involve assessing how trainees are changed during their training, both groups and by individual supervision.

Assessing the value of our efforts with patients is even more difficult, since orthodox psychiatric classifications have little bearing on the rich variety of human problems that are brought to us all the time.

Cyril Gill
111 Adelaide Road
London NW3

Training in Geriatrics for General Practice

Sir,

A report by the British Geriatric Society and the Royal College of General Practitioners in 1978 on training general practitioners in geriatric medicine suggested that ‘all vocational training must provide training in geriatric medicine’, yet in 1981 only 26 per cent of schemes approved by the College had a compulsory appointment in geriatrics for three or six months, and only a further 25 per cent had a voluntary appointment available. This position has not changed significantly in the last two years.

This apparent reluctance to include geriatric medicine in vocational training schemes is surprising. The reason for this omission is not clear but perhaps the organizers of vocational training schemes consider that the experience gained in hospital practice is not of direct relevance to the management of the elderly in the community.

Whilst one of us (M. B. J.) was working as a senior house officer in geriatric medicine as part of a general practice vocational training scheme recently, we compared the medical and social problems of a group of 68 patients admitted to the geriatric assessment unit of a district general hospital with a similar group of elderly patients under the care of general practitioners in the same area.

Our results showed that the patients seen in hospital differed from those seen in general practice only in being older and more dependent and were otherwise very similar both medically and socially. This strongly supports the view that experience gained in managing patients whilst under the supervision of a geriatrician and obtaining first-hand experience of the organization of a geriatric service relates directly to the management of elderly patients in the community.

In view of the rapid increase in the elderly population in the country it is of great importance that general practitioners are competent in supervising the care of the elderly. The provision of more hospital geriatric training posts in vocational training schemes would help to ensure this.

M. B. Jackson
G. D. Walker
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An MD from General Practice

Sir,

It is never easy to attempt an MD from general practice, and to be unsuccessful in the end can be shattering. Those of us who act as examiners for the London University degree have been concerned about many of the theses with which we have been presented and hope that the following suggestion will be useful to anyone thinking of becoming a candidate.

In this University there is no supervisor for the work, since it is held that anyone fit for the degree does not require one. Help from appropriate academic quarters is encouraged, but when the adviser is an expert in a specialized field, the help that he or she can offer may be more limited than the candidate really needs. It seems, from a number of recent submissions, that advice is also needed from someone who is familiar with the problems both of general practice and of doctoral research.

Senior members of the departments