group epididymitis was reported to occur in 23% of patients, as against 77% who had torsion of the testicle or torsion of an appendage. In subsequent decades epididymitis predominates over torsion.

A recent paper advocated a computer programme available in the casualty department to improve the diagnosis of testicular pain. While this may be valuable it will be useless if the first clinician to see the patient has not considered torsion. Examination of the external genitalia is essential in any male with abdominal pain and the role of the general practitioner or casualty officer is crucial.

C.R.R. CORBETT
S.T. BAER
B.M. GRIMMETT

Cuckfield Hospital
1 Glebe Road
Cuckfield
Haywards Heath
Sussex
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Why not a district policy for hypertension?

Sir,

When we were both postgraduate clinical tutors we decided to designate 1982 as 'The year to start blood pressure checks'.

On the hospital side a new space was printed on all discharge summaries for the blood pressure to be recorded. In general practice we held talks, discussions and distributed posters and other literature. We produced a policy document (available from C.B.-C.) for all doctors, with guidelines on how to screen for high blood pressure and how to act on the blood pressures found, and with suggestions for investigations and treatment. These guidelines were approved by other consultant physicians.

In 1983 and 1984 the policy document was revised and recirculated. We then reviewed the effect of the first year's programme by a questionnaire sent to all general practitioners.

One hundred and twenty questionnaires were posted to general practitioners and 101 (84%) were returned. Six doctors were new to the district since 1982 and these were excluded leaving 95 relevant answers. The results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Screening for high blood pressure - 95 responses from general practitioners to postal questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GP's who were screening</td>
<td>37 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before 1982</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP's who started screening as a result of blood pressure check year</td>
<td>40 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP's who started screening for other reasons</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP's who were screening in 1984 (total)</td>
<td>79 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP's who remembered seeing policy document</td>
<td>83 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP's who found policy document helpful</td>
<td>74 (78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of the increase in the numbers of doctors screening may be the result of nationwide changes, but the increase from 39% to 79% over two years indicates to us that the year was worthwhile. We are now in the process of producing guidelines sheets in other clinical areas.

Why not do something like this in your district?

C.J. BURNS-COX
J.A. HALL

Yate Health Centre
21 West Walk
Yate
Bristol BS17 4AA

Assessment of time of death

Sir,

We became aware during our work at the Macmillan Service that a number of calls from families at night were generated from an expectation that people die at night, especially just before the dawn when life forces are supposedly at their lowest ebb. A review of the literature produced no information as to whether this supposition was based on fact or not. An attempt was made to investigate this using data obtained from patients cared for by the Macmillan Service. These patients, by definition, were terminally ill with a malignant disease and were cared for and died at home. The notes of all patients who died in 1983 and 1984 were reviewed and the times of death were noted to within half an hour.

We retrieved the notes of 162 patients who died in 1983 and 117 who died in 1984. The data were analysed using Edwards test for cyclical trend. There was no evidence of a cyclical trend for time of death when the two years of data were
tested over hourly periods from midnight using the chi-square test. Neither was there any evidence of cyclical trend when two-hourly periods from midnight were examined, for both years combined (Figure 1) or separately. The specific time of death was unknown in 28 cases. Of these, 10 patients died between 08.00 and 22.00 hours (four died in the morning, four in the afternoon/evening) and nine died between 22.00 and 08.00 (one died before midnight, two after midnight and four were found dead in bed). In nine cases the time of death was simply not noted.

The results show that for this group of patients, no time of death is predominant, either in the early morning or at any other time of day. It is important to note that the population was a selected one, but this enabled an accurate time of death to be achieved for most patients. It would be useful to widen the scope of this study population and look at non-malignant causes of death. Unfortunately, the actual time of death is frequently unknown and unrecorded.

We are at present studying hospital and general practice records to ascertain whether our findings are representative of deaths from all causes.

R. PUGSLEY  
J. PARDOE  
B. BUXTON

The Macmillan Service  
St Joseph's Hospice  
Mare Street  
Hackney  
London E8 4SA
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Hypokalaemia with beta-blocker/thiazide combinations

Sir,  
I have recently completed an assessment of my hypertensive patients and a total of 174 individuals (123 women, 51 men) were identified as currently taking antihypertensive drug therapy, out of a list size of 2700 from a mainly urban population. Of the patients, 134 (77%) had successful blood pressure control on a single preparation and 87 patients (50%) were controlled with either a beta-blocker alone or beta-blocker/thiazide combination. Only seven patients (4%) required more than two drugs for satisfactory control. Atenolol (either 50 mg or 100 mg) was the sole agent used in 40 patients and when combined with chlorthalidone, a further 38 patients benefited from this combination.

The role of thiazides and related diuretics has come in for criticism recently and there is increasing concern over possible risk factors in this group of drugs. Thiazide diuretics have been available for nearly 30 years and their long term side-effects have been well established — hyperglycaemia, hyperuricaemia, hypokalaemia and increased plasma cholesterol.1 Indeed the question of thiazide diuretics themselves being implicated in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis has been raised.2 My own interest in thiazides centred on the changes in serum potassium in patients taking beta-blocker/thiazide combinations. Table 1 summarizes the results from 53 patients (39 women, 14 men) who were using a beta-blocker/thiazide combination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Hypokalaemia with beta-blocker/thiazide combinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenoret 50a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenoreticb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premisticc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Tenoret 50 (Stuart) = atenolol 50 mg/chlorthalidone 12.5 mg.  
5 Tenoretic (Stuart) = atenolol 100 mg/chlorthalidone 25 mg.  
6 Premit (Leo) = timolol 10 mg/bendrofluazide 2.5 mg.

All patients had a normal serum potassium before commencing therapy and the readings shown in Table 1 were taken after at least six months treatment on the above regimens. These results confirmed earlier studies showing that the hypokalaemic effect of thiazides is dose related, as no levels of serum potassium less than 3.4 mM were found in patients taking one tablet of timolol 10 mg/bendrofluazide 2.5 mg (Premit) and only one marginally low level with atenolol 50 mg/chlorthalidone 12.5 mg (Tenoret 50). Increasing the number of fixed-dose combination tablets puts the patient at risk from potentially serious hypokalaemia.

The significance of hypokalaemia induced by thiazide diuretics is still controversial. It seems generally agreed that when serum potassium levels fall below 3.0 mM, then this should be corrected with potassium supplements but there is a grey area within the range 3.0–3.4 mM and treatment in this range is disputed. However, there have been reports recently of mild hypokalaemia (less than 3.5 mM) being associated with cardiac arrhythmias in patients suffering an acute myocardial infarct.3 This would be significant from the hypertensive's viewpoint as he is at risk from an infarct. There also appears to be an association between diuretics and an increased death rate in those with underlying heart disease, although the mechanism remains obscure.

Looking at the overall picture in uncomplicated hypertension, there seems little justification for increasing the diuretic component of beta-blocker/thiazide combinations beyond a therapeutic threshold level. Most observers appear to favour a low dose thiazide approach and Breckenridge has recently stated that 'a smaller dose of thiazide diuretic (for example, bendrofluazide 2.5 mg is recommended'.4 Perhaps drug manufacturers should be making available preparations which would allow a step-wise increase in beta-blocker dose, while keeping the thiazide component constant. If this were to happen, from this small study it would seem reasonable to deduce that serum potassium levels would remain normal (or only marginally low) and the need for monitoring serum electrolytes in these patients would become unnecessary.

Laurie Jacobs  
1 North Street  
Peterborough  
Cambs PE1 2RB

References