

# The elderly at home: service needs and provision

KAREN A. LUKER, BNurs, PhD

Lecturer, Department of Nursing, University of Manchester

ELIZABETH S. PERKINS, BSc

Research Assistant, Department of Nursing, University of Manchester

**SUMMARY.** *This paper arises from research to develop a profile of the elderly living in the community. Data are presented from interviews with a stratified random sample of 1406 elderly people living in the Trafford area of Greater Manchester. The findings suggest that in reality community care for the elderly means care by lay carers. Assistance with all tasks ranging from intensely personal care, for example bathing and dressing, to the practical household tasks, such as cooking and cleaning, was more often provided to the elderly by spouses and daughters/daughters-in-law than by the statutory services.*

*It is suggested that some form of eligibility criteria may be used either consciously or unconsciously by general practitioners and other health care workers when referring elderly people to the nursing and social services which may mean that the statutory services do not complement the care given by lay carers. Furthermore, the presence of a lay carer may prevent the situation coming to the attention of the primary health care team.*

## Introduction

THE increasing number of elderly people as a proportion of the total population, coupled with financial constraints and changing philosophies of care has, over the last 10 years, brought the concept of 'community care' to the forefront of health and welfare service provision.<sup>1-3</sup> As a result health authorities are exhorted to develop a more comprehensive range of services to enable 'priority' groups such as the frail elderly to live in the community. At the same time, however, cutbacks in public expenditure have forced health authorities to make choices and decisions as to where their priorities lie. If the planning of services is to become more responsive to the needs of the client the way in which policies are translated into actual services must be considered. Although the overall guidelines and economic boundaries are determined by government and then interpreted at district and local level, it is the service providers such as general practitioners who ultimately choose the priorities and who play a crucial role in deciding who gets which service.

This paper is based on a large-scale survey of the elderly living in the Trafford area of Greater Manchester which was commissioned by the health authority to provide a data base from which services could be planned. The aims of the study were to determine the functional abilities and support networks of those aged 65 years and over living in Trafford; their use of nursing and nursing-related services and the relationship between their functional ability and their use of services.

This paper focuses on those variables which relate to the division between statutory and lay care.

## Method

A structured interview schedule was developed which drew on the work of Carstairs<sup>4</sup> and Hunt<sup>5</sup> and focused on the elderly person's perception of their functional abilities in activities of everyday living. In addition a life satisfaction index<sup>6</sup> and mental status test<sup>7</sup> were included along with indicators of the respondent's social and environmental conditions.

A random sample of people aged 65 years and over was drawn from the case lists of all those general practitioners who had patients residing in Trafford and was then stratified according to age, sex and geographical location in order to reflect the total elderly population.

Interviews were carried out by a field work team in the home of each respondent over a one-month period. Each interview took between 45–90 minutes, and individuals with a score of 6 or less on the mental status measure were excluded from the study because their responses may have been unreliable. Proxy interviews were sought where a relative, close friend or cohabitant was available.

## Results

The sample interviewed comprised 1406 over-65-year-olds (3.8% of the total elderly population of Trafford).

Despite the close association between advancing age and disability, the majority of the sample were not functionally impaired; 93% ( $n=1304$ ) of respondents believed their health to be fair or good and the numbers of those unable to perform a range of activities of daily living was small (Table 1). More elderly people had problems with mobility outside of the home and getting into and out of the bath than with mobility inside the house, getting dressed or light housework. Problems with incontinence varied according to the specific nature of the problem and the time of day. Stress incontinence presented the biggest problem (13%,  $n=178$ ), with daytime incontinence (9%,  $n=122$ ) and night incontinence (3%,  $n=44$ ) experienced by fewer numbers.

Contact with all statutory services, with the exception of the general practitioner, was minimal; only 7% of the sample ( $n=96$ ) had been visited by the district nurse and less than 1% ( $n=9$ ) had been visited by the health visitor in the month preceding the study. However 29% ( $n=402$ ) had received chiropody and 14% ( $n=199$ ) home help services. The most frequent source of contact with the health service was with the general practitioner; in the previous three months 58% ( $n=813$ ) of respondents had been to see their doctor.

The greatest overlap of domiciliary services was between the home help and chiropody services (9% of the sample received both services,  $n=121$ ), the district nursing and chiropody services (4% received both,  $n=52$ ) and the district nursing and home help service (3% received both,  $n=43$ ). When three services are considered the overlap is further diminished with only 2% of the sample ( $n=34$ ) receiving district nursing, chiropody, and home help services.

When assistance with each activity of daily living was analysed the statutory services were found to be only minimally involved in helping the elderly with their day-to-day activities (Table 1). Only 10 elderly people received help from the statutory services with mobility outside, one person had help with mobility inside and one had help with getting dressed. More help was provided for getting into and out of the bath (14 people), and light housework (37 people). Spouses, daughters and daughters-

**Table 1.** Ability to perform certain tasks and sources of help for the 1406 elderly respondents. Number of respondents shown with percentage in parentheses.

	Performs task with difficulty <sup>a</sup> (% of total sample)	Unable to perform task <sup>a</sup> (% of total sample)	Receives help with task	Source of help					Overlap between lay and statutory services
				Spouse	Daughter/daughter-in-law	Friends	Statutory services	Other	
Getting about outside on level surface	326 (23)	106 (8)	151 (11)	52	53	29	10	7	5
Getting about inside on level surface	130 (9)	12 (1)	37 (3)	18	13	—	1	5	1
Getting dressed	90 (6)	20 (1)	39 (3)	23	12	—	1	3	—
Getting into and out of bath	403 (29)	140 (10)	125 (9)	44	37	2	14	28	4
Light housework	118 (8)	89 (6)	171 (12)	88	37	3	37	6	10

<sup>a</sup> Some subjects had difficulty with more than one task.

in-law, however, were responsible for the majority of help with activities of daily living (Table 1). Problems such as incontinence, despite posing a large burden on any family, were also dealt with predominantly within the family. Six per cent ( $n=80$ ) of the elderly people had help with extra washing for incontinence difficulties but statutory services, in the form of home helps, assisted in only four of these cases. In nearly half the cases where help was received with extra washing this was provided by spouses ( $n=16$ ) and by daughters/daughters-in-law ( $n=16$ ), but the individual dealt with washing themselves in the majority of cases ( $n=42$ ).

Although there was no statistically significant difference in selected functional abilities between those who lived alone and those who lived with others, the district nursing and home help services were more likely to be provided to those who lived alone. In the case of home helps this was statistically significant ( $P<0.05$ , chi-square test). Services such as meals-on-wheels, luncheon clubs and day centres were utilized in only a small number of cases; no more than 9% ( $n=133$ ) of the sample visited a day centre and only 3% ( $n=39$ ) received or used either of the meal facilities. It was also rare to find informal support supplemented by statutory services (Table 1).

In some cases there was no help available to individuals who perceived themselves to be unable to perform an activity unaided. Twenty-nine elderly people who said they were unable to get into or out of the bath (2% of the sample) had no one to help them. Similarly, particular services were not received by those who would have benefited from them, for example, only half of those who stated that they experienced problems of incontinence actually received an incontinence aid.

When those not receiving a specific service were asked if they thought that they required it 20% of the sample said they needed chiropody ( $n=272$ ) while only 4% needed the home help service ( $n=62$ ).

## Discussion

The findings of this study suggest that in reality community care for the elderly means care by lay carers. Assistance with all tasks ranging from intensely personal care, for example bathing and dressing, to the practical household tasks, such as cooking and cleaning, was more consistently and extensively provided to the elderly by spouses and daughters/daughters-in-law than by the statutory services.

The finding that few lay carers receive support and assistance from the statutory services is not in itself new.<sup>8-12</sup> However, the reasons for this are not clear. It may be that the very essence

of the lay carer-dependant relationship defines the amount and type of contact with, or approach made to, the statutory services. Alternatively it may be that the services are rationed by those who provide them according to universal but unspoken criteria. This concept of rationing is reinforced by the presence within the data of a number of other social criteria which appear to play a more important role in service delivery than the actual functional abilities of the elderly person. It was found that elderly people who lived alone were more likely to receive a service than those living with others. This would be a logical basis for the allocation of resources if elderly people at home alone are actually at greater risk than those who live with others. However, this overlooks the well-established networks of support from friends and neighbours that the elderly who have always lived alone may have developed over time.<sup>13</sup>

It is not clear from this research whether the general practitioner filters out those individuals who have a network of support or whether the community nursing service uses its own criteria to assess the situation before deciding whether or not to visit or whether to withdraw their service as soon as it becomes known that a carer is 'available'.

As the study showed, most of the informal care was carried out by women. It may be that where there is a female relative present elderly dependants are assumed not to need hospital or domiciliary care. While it may be true in some cases that women are more willing and able to undertake a heavier burden of care than men, it is likely that many women feel obligated to provide this care and it should not therefore be used as a guiding principle for the allocation of nursing and social services resources in the community.

Amid this paucity of statutory support it seems misguided to be concerned, as policy makers are, with the issues of collaboration and cooperation. There is no evidence from this research to suggest that the problems of caring for the elderly originate in the multiplicity of services that 'tread their way up the garden path'. Rather the problem lies with the professional attitudes which determine, within the broader economic constraints, the precise nature of the service delivered.

Whatever the process of providing services for the elderly, it is apparent that at this practice level rational planning is replaced by rationing. It is unlikely that carers will find their burden eased unless there is a change in professional attitudes. The value of carers needs to be acknowledged in future policy decisions but this must be done in a way which avoids further entrenching their role by institutionalizing and reinforcing the social and familial obligation to care at whatever cost.

## References

1. Department of Health and Social Security. *Priorities for health and social services: a consultative document*. London: HMSO, 1976.
2. Department of Health and Social Security. *Care in the community: a consultative document*. London: HMSO, 1981.
3. Department of Health and Social Security. *Neighbourhood nursing — a focus for care. Report of the community nursing review*. London: HMSO, 1986.
4. Carstairs V. *Survey of the elderly in Clackmannon. Social and community planning research*. Edinburgh: Scottish Home and Health Department, 1977.
5. Hunt A. *The elderly at home*. London: HMSO, 1978.
6. Neugarten B, Havighurst R, Tobin S. The measurement of life satisfaction. *J Gerontol* 1961; **16**: 134-143.
7. Isaacs B, Walkey F. Measurement of mental impairment in general practice. *Gerontologica Clinica* 1964; **6**: 114-123.
8. Bayley M, Parker P, Seyd R, Tennant A. *Origins, strategy and proposed evaluation. Paper no. 1. Neighbourhood Services Project*. Dinnington: University of Sheffield, 1981.
9. Brody E. 'Women in the middle' and family help to older people. *Gerontologist* 1981; **21**: 471-480.
10. Charlesworth A, Wilkin D, Durie A. *Carers and services: a comparison of men and women caring for dependent elderly people*. Manchester: University Department of Psychiatry and Community Medicine, 1983.
11. Chetwynd J. Costing the role of the principal care-giver in the domiciliary care of the elderly. *Community Health Studies* 1983; **7**: 146-148.
12. Parker G. *With due care and attention: a review of research on informal care. Occasional paper no. 2*. London: Family Policy Studies Centre, 1985.
13. Taylor RC, Ford EG. The elderly at risk: a critical examination of commonly identified risk groups. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1983; **33**: 699-705.

## Address for correspondence

Dr K.A. Luker, University of Manchester Department of Nursing, Stopford Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT.

## DIPLOMA IN COMMUNITY CHILD HEALTH

The Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh, the Royal College of General Practitioners and the Faculty of Community Medicine invite applications to take the next examination for the Diploma in Community Child Health (DCCH) which is to be held on 24TH SEPTEMBER 1987.

Examination regulations, application and testimonial forms with instructions to candidates can be obtained from the address shown below. Past examination papers (costing £3.00 and £4.00) may be obtained from the same address.

The fees for this examination are £125.00 and the closing date for applications will be 17TH JULY 1987.

The Spring diet of the examination for the Diploma in Community Child Health will be held on 24TH MARCH 1988 and the closing date for applications will be 22ND JANUARY 1988.

Please contact: The Registrar  
Royal College of Physicians  
9 Queen Street  
Edinburgh EH2 1JQ

## EDITORIAL NOTICE

### Instructions to authors

Papers submitted for publication should not have been published before or be currently submitted to any other journal. They should be typed, on one side of the paper only, in double spacing and with generous margins. A4 is preferred paper size. The first page should contain the title, which should be as brief as possible, the name(s) of author(s), degrees, position, town of residence, and the address for correspondence.

Original articles should normally be no longer than 2000 words, arranged in the usual order of summary, introduction, method, results, discussion, references, and acknowledgements. Short reports of up to 600 words are acceptable. Letters to the Editor should be brief — 400 words maximum — and should be typed in double spacing.

Illustrations of all kinds, including photographs, are welcomed. Graphs and other line drawings need not be submitted as finished artwork — rough drawings are sufficient, provided they are clear and adequately annotated.

Metric units, SI units and the 24-hour clock are preferred. Numerals up to 10 should be spelt, 10 and over as figures. Use the approved names of drugs, though proprietary names may follow in brackets. Avoid abbreviations.

References should be in the Vancouver style as used in the *Journal*. Their accuracy must be checked before submission. The title page, figures, tables, legends and references should all be on separate sheets of paper.

Three copies of each article should be submitted, with a stamped addressed envelope, and the author should keep a copy. One copy will be returned if the paper is rejected.

All articles and letters are subject to editing. The copyright of published material is vested in the *Journal*.

Papers are refereed before acceptance.

### Correspondence and enquiries to the Editor

All correspondence to the Editor should be addressed to: The Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners, 8 Queen Street, Edinburgh EH2 1JE. Telephone: 031-225 7629.

### News

Correspondence concerning the *Journal's* News pages should be addressed to: The News Editor, Royal College of General Practitioners, 14 Princes Gate, Hyde Park, London SW7 1PU. Telephone: 01-581 3232.

### Advertising enquiries

Display and classified advertising enquiries should be addressed to: Advertising Manager, Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners, 8 Queen Street, Edinburgh EH2 1JE. Telephone: 031-225 7629.

### Circulation

*The Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners* is published monthly and is circulated to all Fellows, Members and Associates of the Royal College of General Practitioners, and to private subscribers. All subscribers receive *Policy statements* and *Reports from general practice* free of charge with the *Journal* when these are published. The annual subscription is £60 post free (£65 outside the UK, £75 by air mail).

### Subscription enquiries

Non-members' subscription enquiries should be made to: Bailey Bros and Swinfen Ltd, Warner House, Folkestone, Kent CT19 6PH. Telephone: Folkestone (0303) 56501/8. Members' enquiries should continue to be made to: The Royal College of General Practitioners, 14 Princes Gate, Hyde Park, London SW7 1PU. Telephone: 01-581 3232.

### Notice to readers

Opinions expressed in *The Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners* and the supplements should not be taken to represent the policy of the Royal College of General Practitioners unless this is specifically stated.