

their marriage, but also said she had been comforted by his hospice admission because 'he received the care he needed there'. She subsequently gave a large donation to the hospice.

I am also attempting to explore whether the ability to 'rehearse' the death of a spouse is a common psychological coping mechanism. Many bereaved patients volunteer 'I had not imagined it like this' or 'I had always hoped he could die at home'. Perhaps we all should consider our 'death plans', and discuss our needs with those close to us, so we may come some way towards achieving them.

JUDY GILLEY

Department of Clinical Epidemiology
and General Practice
Royal Free Hospital School of Medicine
Rowland Hill Street
London NW3

Treatment of cutaneous warts in general practice

Sir,

The article by Steele and Irwin (*June Journal*, p.256) will, we hope, encourage more general practitioners to undertake the treatment of cutaneous warts in general practice. We also hope that health authorities will be willing to assist by making supplies of liquid nitrogen more generally available. However, lest anyone interprets the 87% cure rate of common hand warts in six weeks as representative of the results of wart treatment we would draw attention to the large number of reasons given by Steele and Irwin for excluding patients from their study. It is our belief that a large number of excluded patients are those that are difficult to treat in hospital dermatology clinics — particularly those with multiple, periungual, plane or mosaic warts. To these can be added the patients with deep plantar warts who were not particularly responsive to the combined treatment with liquid nitrogen and wart paint in Steele and Irwin's study. We agree that treatment with liquid nitrogen is generally inappropriate for such patients and general practitioners would be wise to select carefully who they treat by this method.

One aspect of Steele and Irwin's study that we find surprising is the low incidence of pain and the excellent tolerance of the combined treatment by their patients. In our experience liquid nitrogen is always a painful treatment and we are astonished that patients could tolerate the addition of wart paint and rubbing with a pumice stone so soon afterwards. The work of Bunney and colleagues¹ has shown that with an interval of three weeks

between treatments most common warts can be cured with fewer than the six applications of liquid nitrogen administered by Steele and Irwin. We would certainly recommend fewer treatments at longer intervals. It might also be more practicable to organize wart clinics at intervals of three to four weeks as the numbers of patients attending are not likely to justify a regular weekly clinic.

DAVID C. DICK
MARTIN KEEFE

Department of Dermatology
Stobhill General Hospital
Glasgow G21 3UW

Reference

1. Bunney MH, Nolan MW, Williams DA. An assessment of methods of treating viral warts by comparative treatment trials based on a standard design. *Br J Dermatol* 1976; 94: 667-679.

Medicine in South Africa

Sir,

I wish to correct certain erroneous impressions created by the letter from Gerard Reissmann (*June Journal*, p. 278). He asserts that 'medicine in South Africa is not independent', but what exactly this means or is intended to mean is not made clear.

Doctors in South Africa, and those who are members of the College of Medicine (or South African Academy of Family Practice/Primary Care) in particular, are practising independently and free of any constraints. Membership of the College of Medicine (or the Academy of Family Practice) is open to any doctor regardless of race, colour or creed. The only conditions for membership are that the doctors concerned should maintain a suitable standard of practice and agree to continue to improve their medical knowledge by attending various lectures and symposia.

Both the College of Medicine and the Academy of Family Practice have an unblemished record in promoting the ideals of providing medical care to all sections of the community in South Africa and they have been vociferous in their condemnation of actions on the part of the authorities which might be detrimental to the delivery of health services. Both are independent bodies and are beholden to none except their own members and their own constitutionally elected councils. They are truly independent and indeed 'apartheid' has never been applicable in any of the medical bodies or associations in South Africa.

As a founder member of one of the first overseas faculties of the Royal College of General Practitioners — the Cape of Good Hope faculty — and a fellow since

1967, I am distressed to read a letter calling for the breaking of links between our respective colleges. It would be a sad day for medicine if, in striving to improve the health of all sections of the South African community, we cannot rely on the help and moral support of our colleagues in the United Kingdom.

NORMAN LEVY

501 Medical Square
156 Main Road
Sea Point 8001
South Africa

Training in the north east Thames region

Sir,

An unsigned highly critical one-sided editorial in a monopoly journal (*June Journal*, p.245) is not a good mechanism for trying to repair damage and encourage dialogue. It is reminiscent of a principal striking a patient off his list and, when asked why, telling the patient he has an illness that the doctor does not wish to treat. Whether the patient has an identifiable illness or not, a doctor who really cares does not do that, unless of course he wishes to lose the respect of his other patients, who may then decide to seek their medical care elsewhere. The editorial purports to give the facts but, as the north east Thames region's general practice sub-committee was not invited to comment on the allegations made, the editorial appears to have a monopoly of truth. Even more important than upholding the standards of a profession is the rule of justice and fair play; evidence from one side should be matched with that from the other and if doubt remains the accused may not be condemned.

The Joint Committee on Postgraduate Training for General Practice was presented with a region which was not complying yet again with national norms and which, of course, had to be punished. The College merely followed suit but perhaps members of council should have satisfied themselves that the body to which they had delegated certain educational functions had acted properly. Why did council not seek the views of the region's general practice sub-committee and two faculties before coming to its own decision? The editorial states 'The College had always accepted Joint Committee approvals without question, now it had to accept a Joint Committee rejection'. Why the 'had to'? A thinking council could have taken a step back and proposed that the matter be explored a little more thoroughly. But no, a sledgehammer approach was adopted.