

'married families', as the Ministry of Defence delightfully calls them, are different in many ways from non-military families.

First, the military politics governing the career and responsibilities of the serviceman come first, with health coming second. Referral for psychotherapy (and perhaps for psychiatry also) may be detrimental to the promotion prospects of the patient. It may result in transfer of job within the unit or, where intensive work with the family is required, in posting back to the serviceman's home area.

Secondly, military families have no extended family system locally to support them in times of psychological or social distress. Apart from cases of child abuse, where there are usually clear referral procedures to the local authority, routine support of such families is often left to the military themselves, as it is difficult for a local authority to become involved. There are relatively few people like myself around, who know the military system and respect it, and as Major Vincenti points out, it is left to organizations like Soldiers, Sailors and Airmen Families Association to offer their professional help.

Finally, military security prevents personnel talking about their work at home and this can be a psychological strain, as they may also be unable to express their feelings in a tight command system. The fact that I have signed the official secrets act, makes it easier for some personnel to share their feelings with me. However, repression of feelings is the more common coping strategy, with resultant somatization. Further pressure, for example from family problems, could cause the patient to be insubordinate or take leave without permission, which may result in charges and courts martial. Military families often remark that they prefer the civilian medical referral system because personal, intimate matters can be discussed privately, without the line of command system getting too much information.

GRAHAM VAHEY

Bon Secours Hospital
36 Mansion House Road
Langside
Glasgow G41 3DW

MRCGP and palliative medicine

Sir,

In recent months there has been correspondence in the *Journal* about the future of the MRCGP examination and

about the close relationship between the specialties of general practice and palliative medicine. There is a link between these issues.

Dr MacLeod (November *Journal*, p.477) writes of the physician in palliative medicine as having training in both that specialty and in family medicine. This is not true of all palliative medicine specialists but it is a possible combination. The Joint Committee on Higher Medical Training in its criteria for entry to training in the specialty includes possession of the MRCGP as a permitted alternative to holding the MRCP.

However, the eligibility of such alternative higher qualifications is due to be reviewed in 1992. Dr Ford (September *Journal*, p.392) hopes 'the College will act to preserve the value of its qualification to its members', a view we share. Whatever its defects, the examination is unique in testing both factual clinical information and doctors' attitudes. These attitudes are relevant not only to primary care but also to other areas of medical practice, not least palliative medicine.

In the case of palliative medicine the MRCGP functions not so much as a seal of training but as a qualification for entry to it, with up to four years of selected experience to follow prior to accreditation. Alterations to the MRCGP may mean the effective disbaring of doctors from entry to palliative medicine who have received what is arguably the most appropriate early preparation for the specialty. Such an event is unlikely to promote the collaboration on which Dr MacLeod and Dr Charlton (August *Journal*, p.347) rightly place such emphasis.

The MRCGP can have importance beyond the boundaries of general practice itself. We hope that nothing will be done to jeopardize the role it can play and hence the greater influence general practice can exert.

NIGEL SYKES
ILORA FINLAY

Department of Community Medicine
32 Hyde Terrace
Leeds LS2 9LN

Academic general practice

Sir,

I am constantly astonished at the implication that academic general practice is remote from the daily activities and problems of the rest of the profession (letters, *March Journal*, p.126). Almost without exception departments of general practice are staffed by active general practitioners,

often of considerable experience who alas have not only general practice problems but university or medical college ones as well.

Dr Holden suggests the *Journal* should carry an unreviewed short reports section. As I understand it papers are frequently rejected because of methodological flaws rather than 'academic flaws'. This is right and proper; conclusions which are drawn as a consequence of research which is poorly designed or executed are not only misleading but may prove dangerous not only to patients but to readers who may be bored into extinction.

The Royal College of General Practitioners has a reputation to uphold and does this relatively well — although there is always scope for improvement. Are the keenest doctors discouraged from research by the *Journal*? I doubt it; they increasingly turn to departments of general practice for help with their projects and as a consequence the standard of papers in methodological terms is improving. I wish I could say the same for the 'interest' element — the boredom index remains remarkably uniform.

If doctors feel the need to have their flawed articles published there are always the 'freebees'. I confess I find some of the articles riveting and with these, who cares about methodological flaws?

M R SALKIND

Academic Department of General
Practice and Primary Care
Medical Colleges of St Bartholomew's
and the London Hospitals
2nd Floor, New Science Block
Charterhouse Square
London EC1

Iron deficiency and sources of iron

Sir,

Dr Grant's study (*March Journal*, p.112) showed a high prevalence of iron deficiency in rural pre-school children in Northern Ireland. The author concluded that the main cause of this was an inadequate dietary intake of iron, and suggested that education should cover the fact that iron absorption from foods of animal origin generally surpasses iron absorption from foods of vegetable origin. This may be misleading. Meats, and particularly liver, are rich in iron which is well absorbed, but the iron in eggs is poorly absorbed and dairy products are not rich in iron.¹ Beans and dark green leafy vegetables are good vegetable sources of iron, and fresh fruits and vegetables are good sources of vitamin C which has a very important

effect in promoting iron absorption.¹ Education should therefore recommend a generous intake of vitamin C from fresh fruits and vegetables, as well as an adequate intake of iron.

TIMOTHY KAY

Imperial Cancer Research Fund
Cancer Epidemiology Unit
Gibson Building
The Radcliffe Infirmary
Oxford OX2 6HE

Reference

1. Passmore R, Eastwood MA. *Human nutrition and dietetics*. 8th edition. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1986.

Double agent

Sir,

I was interested in John Noakes' editorial (March *Journal*, p.92). When I found myself faced with the problem of reporting confidentially to life insurance companies about my own patients I decided that it would be improper to do so and have always explained this to the companies concerned. They seemed to have no objection to asking another doctor for information on my patient although they would probably have preferred to use my background knowledge.

I consider it ethically proper that general practitioners should not give confidential reports upon their own patients to insurance companies and I hope to see this become established practice.

R EDGAR HOPE-SIMPSON

Cirencester Epidemiological Research Unit
86 Dyer Street
Cirencester GL7 2PF

The exceptional potential of the consultation revisited

Sir,

While I am grateful for Professor Stott's comments (letters, December *Journal*, p.520) on my consultation framework (September *Journal*, p.383), and not wishing to undervalue the thought-provoking monograph to which he draws my attention,¹ I feel that my review of the literature is adequate, given the constraints of space.

I can understand why Professor Stott takes me to task for leaving out the ethical dimension, but it is difficult to separate this from political issues such as imposition of the new contract, ownership of records and confidentiality, which might

be out of place in an academic paper. My views on the white paper changes and their effects on the consultation have since been published elsewhere.²

JOHN MIDDLETON

2a Storer Road
Loughborough LE11 0EQ

References

1. Stott NCH. *Primary health care: bridging the gap between theory and practice*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
2. Middleton JF. How will the white paper change general practice? *Modern Medicine* 1990; 35: 39-45.

A danger of metered dose inhalers

Sir,

In earlier correspondence Drs Cuckow and English highlight the danger of foreign body inhalation when metered dose inhalers are carried in a handbag (November *Journal*, p.476). The importance of the cap is paramount, but additional protection can be conferred if patients are able to receive a specially designed carrying case. Allen and Hanburys will, on request, provide patients with an appropriate carrying case for their metered dose inhaler. This not only ensures the cap remains in place, but provides a safe haven from the smaller particles often encountered in handbags or pockets.

J R HALL

Allen and Hanburys Limited
Horsenden House
Oldfield Lane North
Greenford
Middlesex UB6 0HB

GPASS

Sir,

Recently a number of reviews of general practice computer systems available in the UK have been published. In some cases GPASS (general practice administration system for Scotland) was not mentioned and in others the details of the supplier were given incorrectly. We would like to clarify any possible misunderstandings about the system and its availability.

The system has been supplied and supported, free of charge, to all general practitioners in Scotland by the Scottish Home and Health Department since 1984 and is now in use by more than 50% of general practitioners in Scotland. It has also been available in Northern Ireland since 1988,

funded by the Northern Irish Department of Health.

GPASS is developed and supported by health service staff based in the West Coast Computer Services Consortium in Paisley and controlled by the Directorate of Health Service Information Systems in Edinburgh. There is a very active user group which has a substantial involvement in the design and development of the system.

We would be pleased to supply further information to anyone who is interested in GPASS.

J MARTIN IRVING
M P RYAN

GPASS
Westward House
15/17 St James Street
Paisley PA3 2HJ

Farewell to Hippocrates?

Sir,

I have recently received a pamphlet advertising the services of a general practice financial consultancy. To my dismay I see that two of the partners in the firm are respected local doctors who hold academic posts at our local postgraduate medical school. One of them (presumably wearing his university hat) has recently sent a questionnaire to local practices asking about willingness to participate in audit and share results. Clearly the new general practice ethos leads us to the depressing but inevitable conclusion that if they charge us for their advice we shall have to charge them for providing the raw data upon which their business will depend. A final farewell to Hippocrates?

M H BEER

The Health Centre
Chulmleigh
North Devon

General practice prescribing

Sir,

I am commencing a research project at the University of Dundee into general practice prescribing in the Tayside area, and would welcome correspondence from practitioners who have current or recent experience in the field of prescribing policies and formulary management.

ANDREW HAGAN

Department of General Practice
Westgate Health Centre
Charleston Drive
Dundee DD2 4AD