

vaginal swab and that the smear should be repeated in six months.

The main issue in this debate appears to be whether all patients with an inflammatory smear should have a colposcopy, as some authors have suggested.<sup>2</sup> This would be very time consuming and costly to the NHS and our results and those of Kelly and Black suggest that this would not be of much benefit at this stage, although repeating the smears of those with inflammatory changes at more frequent intervals may be more appropriate.

P F DOWNEY

Pendeen Surgery  
Kent Avenue, Ross-on-Wye  
Herefordshire HR9 5AL

#### References

1. Downey PF, Robertson J, Dereham H. The inflammatory smear. A policy developed from audit. *Mod Med* 1989; 34: 311-316.
2. Singer A. The abnormal cervical smear. *Br Med J* 1986; 293: 1551-1556.

## Postcoital contraception

Sir,

In their article on postcoital contraception (August *Journal*, p.326) Burton and Savage conclude that emergency contraception must be better promoted if the abortion rate is to be reduced. Although this sounds logical, they do not cite the evidence by which they reached this conclusion. I wonder if this is one of those situations where the assumed logic is not in fact supported by the epidemiological evidence.

Are we being wise in pushing forward an ever greater range of contraceptive options? The range and availability of contraception has considerably increased in the last two decades. The abortion rate has also increased. Paradoxically, could it be that increasing contraceptive availability is causally linked with increased demand for induced abortion?

Surely much more research is required before reaching the conclusions which Burton and Savage have drawn.

P D KELLY

39 Arundel Road  
Ansdell, Lytham St Annes  
Lancashire FY8 1BL

## Terminal care at home

Sir,

Dr Herd's paper describing terminal care in West Cumbria (June *Journal*, p.248) illustrates that good terminal care may be provided at home in a semi-rural area without the support of an inpatient hospice type facility. His figure of 53% of people with cancer being able to die at home is encouraging.

A review of the literature of the last 10 years or so indicates an increase in the percentage of patients able to remain at home until their death, cared for by various types of home care team. In 1978, Doyle<sup>1</sup> at St Columba's Hospice in Edinburgh, found that 28% of patients receiving home care died at home. By 1980 this figure had increased to 41%. In 1979 the team from St Joseph's Hospice, London cared for 50% of their patients at home until their death.<sup>2</sup> In 1988 this figure was 61%.<sup>3</sup> Rees<sup>4</sup> from St Mary's Hospice in Birmingham found that 30% of patients receiving home care died at home in 1981 and was able to report a rise to 55% in 1986. The North London hospice home care service, without the backup of a hospice inpatient unit, reported that in 1985 they cared for 58% of their patients at home until they died.<sup>5</sup> In 1989 the Tunbridge Wells hospice at home service was able to care for 71% of its patients at home until their death (unpublished results). Similar figures are also quoted in other countries. The South Auckland hospice in New Zealand cared for 59% of patients at home until death (unpublished results), and in Bologna, Italy, 60% of patients die at home.<sup>6</sup>

Ward<sup>7</sup> points out that when a home care service operates from a hospice, fewer patients remain at home until their death than when a free-standing home care service is in operation (29% versus 65%). Similar figures are given in the American national hospice study (27% versus 62%).<sup>8</sup> Although this does not apply to the hospice based services described above,<sup>1,4</sup> it would seem that sometimes the readiness with which a patient is admitted may be related to the ease of access to a hospice bed. Dunphy and Amesbury<sup>3</sup> looked at the reasons why patients receiving home care were admitted and found that most required more nursing than could be provided at home by family and professional carers. This is similar to the findings of Dr Herd.

The increase in the proportion of patients able to remain at home until their death has come about through a better understanding of the services required by patients and an increased ability of the caring teams to provide them. Co-operation between general practitioners, community nurses and hospice teams can lead to an increase in the number of patients cared for at home, while technological advancements such as the delivery of drugs by syringe driver have led to improved symptom control.

It may be that 60-70% of people able to remain at home until their deaths is the maximum that we can achieve with the resources currently available. An increase

to the 70-80% suggested by Dr Herd would be a marvellous achievement and may be aided by the use of volunteers, Marie Curie nurses and so on.

Cost comparisons between inpatient care and home care are complex because of the large numbers of different groups involved. In Australia Gray and colleagues<sup>9</sup> have shown that home care with 24 hour nursing and medical cover is no more expensive than hospital care. However, it may be that it is the perceived cost of such 24 hour cover that limits the achievement of a high percentage of people dying at home.

B D W AMESBURY

88 Radnor Road  
Horfield, Bristol BS7 8Q2

#### References

1. Doyle D. Domiciliary terminal care: demands on statutory services. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1982; 32: 285-291.
2. Lamerton R. Cancer patients dying at home. The last 24 hours. *Practitioner* 1979; 223: 813-817.
3. Dunphy K, Amesbury B. A comparison of hospice and home care patients: patterns of referral, patient characteristics and predictors of place of death. *Palliative Medicine* 1990; 4: 105-111.
4. Rees WD. Changes in prescribing for terminal care patients in general practice, hospital and hospice over a five-year period. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1987; 37: 504-506.
5. Copperman H. Domiciliary hospice care: a survey of general practitioners. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1988; 38: 411-413.
6. Pannuti F. Home care for advanced and very advanced cancer patients: the Bologna experience. *J Palliative Care* 1988; 4: 54-57.
7. Ward A. Home care services — an alternative to hospices? *Community Med* 1978; 9: 47-54.
8. Greer DS, Mor V, Morris JN, et al. An alternative in terminal care: results of the National Hospice Study. *J Chronic Dis* 1986; 39: 9-26.
9. Gray D, Macadam D, Boldy D. A comparative cost analysis of terminal care in home/hospice patients and controls. *J Chronic Dis* 1987; 40: 801-810.

## Surveillance of the over 75s

Sir,

I work as a busy general practitioner in a deprived area with stretched social services and nursing staff. I doubt whether my practice could find a nurse auxiliary to complete the home assessments of over 75 year old patients required by the new contract<sup>1</sup> as suggested by Dr Wallace in his editorial (July *Journal*, p.267).

When our practice nurse makes her yearly visit to elderly patients she ascertains what carers are available. She makes a shrewd assessment of the state of the house, she asks the patient if they have difficulty reading a newspaper and if they are a car driver asks them to read a number plate. She usually notices if the patient cannot hear her and has an auroscope to identify wax in the ear. She makes discreet enquiries into the state of the patient's bowels and bladder. Many of our elderly patients are too busy to endure a full mental assessment and a chat about