

devised neutral terms for their training grades, such as registrar. It will be a challenge for the profession to change a term of such longstanding use, but perhaps it is time to think of a more accurate description.

CHARLOTTE TURNER

27 Thompson Road
Exeter EX1 2UB

References

1. Horder JP, Swift G. The history of vocational training for general practice. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1979; 29: 24-32.
2. Lloyd SM (ed). *Roget's thesaurus of English words and phrases*. Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1986.
3. Elliott-Binns CP. Why not scrap the word trainee? *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1982; 32: 504.

List sizes

Sir,

One of our recent research studies involved practice list sizes over one year. Inspection of the list sizes for each of the four quarters revealed greater variation than expected and it may be helpful for other readers to record our experience.

In 1990-91, data had been obtained on practices in 20 family health services authorities, a total of 2700 practices. List sizes varied between 0 and over 27000. A total of 262 practices (9.7%) had a zero list size in at least one quarter, and clearly had to be excluded from most of the analyses. The mean list size over the four quarters for the remaining 2438 practices ranged from one to 27622. There were 68 practices with mean list sizes of under 1000 patients, of which 11 had fewer than 100 patients. Quarterly list sizes for the smallest and most variable of these practices are given in Table 4. To exclude atypical practices, an arbitrary minimum of 1000 patients was adopted, leaving 2370 practices (87.8% of the original 2700 practices).

We then investigated stability of list size over the four quarters. The maximum change (maximum minus minimum list size) was expressed as a percentage of the mean list size over the year. For practices with mean list sizes greater than 1000 this change ranged from 0 to 126%. A total of 1816 practices showed a change of up to 4%, 346 practices showed a 5-9% change,

122 practices showed a 10-19% change, 69 showed a 20-49% change, 15 showed a 50-99% change, and two practices showed a change of 100% or greater. Thus most practices remained fairly constant with changes of less than 20%. The practice with the largest change had quarterly list sizes of 653, 664, 2689 and 2785. For any analysis depending on measures per 1000 patients it is necessary to have stable populations. Exclusion of practices with changes of 20% or greater left 2284 practices (84.6% of the original 2700). The corresponding number for exclusion of practices with changes of greater than 10% was 2162 practices (80.1%).

The presence of 10% of the practices with a zero list size in at least one quarter was surprising and an important consideration in our analysis. These zero list sizes were thought to be indicative of major reorganization. Small list sizes also occur when a few patients register with a practice in a neighbouring family health services authority (Wain K, Leeds Family Health Services Authority, personal communication). The explanations for zero list sizes and for small and highly variable list sizes are not entirely clear and readers may like to comment. It may be important in other research studies to be aware of our finding that when standardizing by list size, only 80-85% of registered practices were suitable for inclusion in the analysis.

S M BOGLE

School of Medicine
Academic Unit of General Practice
University of Leeds
Clinical Science Building
St James' Hospital
Leeds LS9 7TF

Refugees' health needs

Sir,

We wish to report the results of a pilot study seeking information on refugees' contacts with general practitioners in London in order to assess what special needs general practitioners perceive these patients as having, and the services available to meet these needs.

A random sample of 50 general practitioners were contacted from the London boroughs of North East Thames Regional Health Authority and a short semistructured interview was carried out over the telephone.

Thirty two general practitioners had seen refugees over the previous year (range 1-60 patients per doctor). Significantly more inner compared with

outer London general practitioners had seen refugee patients (85% of 20 versus 50% of 30; $\chi^2 = 4.95$, 1 degree of freedom, $P < 0.05$). No general practitioners knew the size of the refugee groups in their locality or the ethnic breakdown of their patients.

The problems general practitioners identified were diverse. Language difficulties were identified by 17 doctors. Nine general practitioners mentioned refugees' adjustment problems, while five described their own anxiety in trying to cope with the special needs of these patients who seemed to take up a disproportionate amount of time. Lack of information about previous treatment and uncertainty over continuity of care in the future added to the doctors' difficulties (mentioned by three doctors). Refugees' physical problems were identified by nine doctors and included injuries, chronic infections such as tuberculosis and the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and more general problems such as malnutrition and poor hygiene. Psychological problems, cited by six doctors, included patients being unhappy or extremely anxious. Six general practitioners were aware of histories of torture. Eight reported having seen patients with housing or financial difficulties.

Eighteen general practitioners had access to special services for refugees. For example, five described help with translation, and access to housing or a community centre through social services, while one each referred patients to a hospital based nurse liaison worker, a counselling service for ethnic minorities and a refugee officer who could be contacted through the family health services authority. Thirteen general practitioners made use of non-statutory services, including six who had referred patients to the Medical Foundation for the Care of Victims of Torture.

General practitioners described a number of difficulties using the services available. Local authority provision was sometimes seen as too bureaucratic or paternalistic (mentioned by two doctors), while some patients seemed embarrassed with voluntary workers whom they might know acting as translators in the surgery (two doctors). With all types of service there were difficulties in making contact in a reliable way.

Twenty four general practitioners saw a need for an increase in targeted services. Seventeen wanted more readily available interpreters or language training, particularly for the women refugees, and 12 wanted a service offering information and advice to refugee patients on how to find work and accommodation and in dealing

Table 4. Quarterly list sizes for six practices with small list sizes or high variability.

Quarter	List sizes					
1	1	3	3	21	3	116
2	1	3	7	69	93	376
3	1	3	1	92	95	464
4	1	1	1	65	105	492