

References

1. Bhopal RS, Thomson R. A form to help learn and teach about assessing medical audit papers. *BMJ* 1991; **303**: 1520-1525.
2. Derry J, Lawrence M, Griew K, *et al.* Auditing audits: the method of Oxfordshire medical audit advisory group. *BMJ* 1991; **303**: 1247-1249.

Asthma clinic questionnaires

Sir,

Pre-interview questionnaires have been recommended as a way of maximizing the information gained from interviews, reducing interview time, and making the interview more client focused and individual.¹⁻⁴ Whether quality of life questionnaires could aid the interview process in nurse run asthma clinics was tested. In 1994, 27 practice nurses selected from throughout the United Kingdom who ran asthma clinics evaluated the asthma bother profile⁵ and the St George's respiratory questionnaire⁶ with a total of 133 patients randomly recruited from the clinics. Each patient completed the two questionnaires on sequential visits in random order. Using evaluation questionnaires the patients evaluated the questionnaire after the interview, and the nurses evaluated the interview and questionnaire. In addition, free-format comments were solicited from the nurses about the way they used the questionnaires.

The results of the evaluation questionnaires are shown in Table 2. The majority of patients and nurses found both questionnaires to be helpful. For both nurses and patients there was a significantly higher level of satisfaction with the asthma bother profile compared with the St George's respiratory questionnaire (Wilcoxon test, both $P < 0.01$), though high levels of satisfaction were obtained with both questionnaires. In addition, the nurses rated interviews where the asthma bother profile had been completed by patients as

having a significantly better outcome compared with the respiratory questionnaire (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.01$). The free-format responses of the nurses indicated that the questionnaires were used in different ways depending on the type of patients and on which questionnaire was completed. However, a major function of the questionnaires, particularly the asthma bother profile, was that they highlighted worries and fears which had not been discussed on previous visits. Some nurses reported that their interview technique had changed after the use of these questionnaires so that they focused more on the emotional concerns of the patient.

It can be concluded that pre-interview quality of life questionnaires are a useful tool in asthma clinics.

P JACOBS

G BARNES

Department of Psychology
University of Plymouth
Drake Circus
Plymouth PL4 8AA

References

1. Snyder M, Swann WB. Hypothesis-testing in social interaction. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1978; **36**: 1202-1212.
2. Dipboye RL, Fontenelle GA, Garner K. Effects of previewing the application on interview processes and outcomes. *J Appl Psychol* 1984; **69**: 118-128.
3. Binning JF, Goldstein MA, Garcia MF, *et al.* Effects of pre-interview impressions on questioning strategies in the same and opposite sex employment interviews. *J Appl Psychol* 1988; **73**: 30-37.
4. Herbert M. *Working with children and their families: psychology in action*. London: Routledge, 1988.
5. Hyland ME, Ley A, Fisher DW, Woodward V. Measurement of psychological distress in asthma and asthma management programs. *Br J Clin Psychol* 1995. In press.
6. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM, Littlejohns P. A self-complete measure for chronic airflow limitation — the St George's respiratory questionnaire. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992; **145**: 1321-1327.

Table 2. Responses given by nurses and patients after interview.

	% of respondents				
	Poor	Poor/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Good	Good
<i>Patient's perception of how helpful questionnaire was in describing experiences</i>					
ABP (n = 129) ^a	3.1	5.4	19.4	34.0	38.0
SGRQ (n = 127) ^b	7.9	10.2	23.6	27.6	30.7
<i>Nurse's satisfaction with consultation</i>					
ABP (n = 131) ^c	0.8	3.8	9.9	47.3	38.2
SGRQ (n = 130) ^d	3.1	4.6	16.9	43.1	32.3
<i>Nurse's perception of usefulness of questionnaire</i>					
ABP (n = 121) ^e	1.7	7.4	22.3	44.6	24.0
SGRQ (n = 128) ^f	10.2	21.1	23.4	34.4	10.9

n = number of responses. ABP = asthma bother profile. SGRQ = St George's respiratory questionnaire. Data missing in: ^a4 cases, ^b6 cases, ^c2 cases, ^d3 cases, ^e12 cases, ^f5 cases.

Corticosteroids and peptic ulceration prophylaxis in patients with advanced cancer

Sir,

Polypharmacy in patients with advanced cancer may undermine compliance in this patient group. One area of prescribing controversy is the concurrent prescribing of corticosteroids and prophylaxis regarding peptic ulceration. A review of corticosteroids and peptic ulceration suggests that prophylaxis is indicated for patients who have two or more of the following risk factors:¹ total dose of corticosteroid over 140 mg dexamethasone,² previous history of peptic ulcer,² and concomitant use of a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory and corticosteroid.³

A retrospective study was carried out of 200 consecutive patients with incurable cancer admitted to St Christopher's Hospice, London in 1992; data were gathered on risk factors for peptic ulceration.

A total of 71 patients (36%) were receiving corticosteroids on admission to the hospice (mean age 67 years). Of these, 34 patients (48%) had a total equivalent dose of over 140 mg of dexamethasone, 10 patients (14%) had a history of peptic ulceration, and 22 patients (31%) were taking a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug and corticosteroid. Overall, 15 patients (21%) had two risk factors, and one patient had three risk factors.

Twenty three patients (32%) were receiving medication as prophylaxis against peptic ulcer. However, the patient with three risk factors and five of the 15 patients with two risk factors (33%) were not receiving prophylaxis. Further analysis revealed that nine patients with one risk factor (27%) and four with no risk factors (18%) were receiving prophylaxis.

In order to prevent corticosteroid-induced peptic ulceration but avoid unnecessary polypharmacy it is important to prescribe prophylactic medication to patients at high risk. This study shows that 38% of patients on admission to the hospice who were at high risk of developing corticosteroid-induced peptic ulceration were not receiving prophylaxis. A further 24% of patients taking corticosteroids and prophylactic medication were not in the high risk group. Prophylaxis may not be appropriate for those patients who are in the terminal phase of their illness. However, we suggest it should be considered for patients with advanced cancer who have two or more of the risk factors outlined.

JOHN ELLERSHAW

Liverpool Marie Curie Centre