Depression screening instrument
Nigel Masters 439
Paracetamol in childhood illness
K Cooney 439
Outcome measure for parkinsons disease
Ray Fitzpatrick, et al 440
Clinician involvement in commissioning
Peter Littlejohns, et al 440
Facilitation projects
Tyrrell Evans and Geraldine Blache 441
Research and development in primary care
Woody Caan 442
Records, law and paternalism
Dermot Feenan 442
Audit in summative assessment
István Ilyés 443
Preventing skin cancer
Rupert Jones 443
Videotaped consultations
J E Bain and N S D MacKay 443
Warfarin in stroke prevention
D A Fitzmaurice 444
Acute myocardial infarction
Michael Moher 444
GPs' low morale
David Kernick 445
Burnout
Trevor Stammers 445

Note to authors of letters: Please note that all letters submitted for publication should be typed with *double spacing*. Failure to comply with this may lead to delay in publication.

Depression screening instrument

Sir,
I have been using the 16-item depression screening instrument regularly in general practice for seven years, both in the inner cities and in the leafy shires. It has become an indispensable part of my diagnostic armoury; it is analogous to the peak flow meter and sphygmomanometer. Its use aids diagnosis and sensitizes both doctor and patient to the psychological issues surrounding an illness.

The 16 questions on the scale are:

- Do you experience long periods of sadness which you cannot shake off?
- Have you been feeling nervous and strung up?
- Have you been finding everything getting on top of you?
- Do you feel that life is too much of an effort?
- Do you think you have lost confidence in yourself?
- Have you lost much sleep over worry lately?
- Have you found yourself less able to enjoy your normal day to day activities?
- Do you find yourself needing to cry (for women, cry more)?
- Do you find it more difficult to face up to your problems?
- Have you been thinking of yourself as a worthless person?
- Have you found yourelf thinking life is not worth living?
- Are you more irritable than usual?
- Do you find it more difficult to make decisions lately?
- Have you been noticing yourself getting tired even though you have not been doing very much?
- Do you find you cannot think as quickly as you used to?
- Do you feel gloomy about the future?

In answer to a question, if a patient replies 'most of time/definitely' a score of two is given; one point is given if the patient replies 'sometimes', and no points are given if the patient replies 'not at all'.

A strength of the questions is that they avoid asking about physical symptoms. They also allow a moment to ask sensitively about suicidal thoughts. Each question is read to the patient who then replies. Here, the quivering lips forming a reply can say as much as the reply itself. Sometimes there is relief on a patient's face because at last permission has been given by the test to explore emotions that are usually so well disguised.

The instrument produces a numerical score which can be recorded in the patient's notes: a score of less than 10 indicates normal, a score of between 10 and 20 is borderline (17 represents a clinical diagnosis of depression) and a score of 21 and above indicates significant clinical depression. Some of the highest scores have been in desperate teenagers whose fragile worlds of self value can so easily be torn apart. It made me believe early on in the concept of brief reactive depression; that suicidal gesture one day is often followed by elation the next.

I have not found the instrument to be helpful among elderly patients, which is unexpected as I believe other health researchers have found such depression screening instruments useful in elderly patients.

The depression screening instrument has been validated against other standard depression instruments.[1] I wholeheartedly recommend it to other general practitioners who are keen to seek out and treat clinically significant depressive disorder in their patients.

NIGEL MASTERS

Highfield Surgery
Highfield Way
Hazlemere HP15 7UW

Reference

1. Birtchnell J, Evans C, Deahl M, Masters N. The depression screening instrument (DSI): a device for the detection of depressive disorders in general practice. *J Affect Disord* 1989; **16:** 269-281.

Paracetamol in childhood illness

Sir,
Holme's investigation of minor, non-specific symptoms in infants aged under two years was revealing (February *Journal*, p.65). The study found that parents managed up to 99% of infants' health problems without a medical consultation and that many consultations were delayed until the fourth or fifth day after the onset of symptoms. This may have been because parents used home remedies or sought advice elsewhere. Paracetamol is often recommended by health care workers for the management of childhood illnesses. It has a clear role as an antipyretic agent, particularly in children at risk of febrile convulsions,[1] and is also recommended as an analgesic for painful conditions such as otitis media.[2] It is probably the main therapeutic tool used by parents in the management of childhood illness.

A questionnaire survey was carried out in order to elicit parents' views of the safety of the use of paracetamol for children and whether they would use paracetamol for specific conditions. The sample comprised the parents or guardians of 66 children aged up to 16 years admitted to the children's ward of St Mary's Hospital, Newport in December 1994 and January 1995 for elective or emergency treatment.

Parents' reported use of paracetamol for specific childhood conditions is shown in Table 1. All of the respondents believed the drug to be safe in childhood at the appropriate dose. Ten parents (15%) said they would give paracetamol at bedtime to help their child settle in the absence of any symptoms of illness.

The majority of parents in this study use paracetamol appropriately for the treatment of painful childhood conditions and 91% would use it to treat fever. The decision to give the drug was not influenced by the number of children in the family or by whether the child had previously been in hospital. In his study Holme found that parents were most likely to consult if their child had a rash, respiratory symptoms or fever. The present survey shows that some of these symptoms were likely to be treated with paracetamol. Abdominal pain is probably likely to result in a consultation with a general practitioner. One quarter of parents would only treat this condition with paracetamol

Table 1. Parents' reported use of paracetamol in specific conditions in their children.

Symptom	% of respondents indicating paracetamol		
	Would be given	Would be given only on a GP's advice	Would never be given
Earache (n = 66)	80	15	5
Headache (n = 66)	82	12	6
Sore throat (n = 59)	71	19	10
Fever (n = 66)	91	8	2
Vomiting (n = 53)	11	30	58
Diarrhoea (n = 54)	7	30	63
Screaming/crying (n = 53)	26	19	55
Stomach ache (n = 55)	45	25	29
Cough/cold (n = 59)	78	12	10

n = number of respondents to question on specific condition.

on a doctor's advice. Most parents did not see a role for paracetamol in the treatment of diarrhoea or vomiting and there is no evidence that this view should be changed unless the symptoms are associated with fever when the child probably ought to be assessed before further treatment. Holme found that the majority of parents did not seek a consultation when their infant had diarrhoea or vomiting. Screaming, especially in infants, can be a worrying symptom particularly if it is persistent and the infant is inconsolable. Most parents said they would not give paracetamol for this condition.

Van de Kar and colleagues showed that when patients felt able to treat a complaint at home without the help of a general practitioner, they were less likely to consult.[3] Specific parental management of childhood illness was beyond the remit of the present survey. Holme did not look at the method of treatment of non-specific symptoms by parents but the results of the present survey showed that the majority of parents would use paracetamol appropriately in common childhood complaints. This may result in fewer medical consultations, including home visits, by the general practitioner.

Health care workers including health visitors, practice nurses and general practitioners, must continue to give advice and information to parents about how to manage childhood illness including the use of paracetamol. Emphasis on correct dosage and secure storage will reduce potential hazards. Use of the drug in the absence of specific symptoms of illness should be discouraged.

K Cooney

St Mary's Hospital NHS Trust
Newport
Isle of Wight PO30 5TG

References

1. Joint working group of the research unit of the Royal College of Physicians and British Paediatric Association. Guidelines for the management of convulsions with fever. *BMJ* 1991; **303:** 634.
2. van Buchan FL, Peters MF, van Hof MA. Acute otitis media: a new treatment strategy. *BMJ* 1985; **290:** 1033-1037.
3. van de Kar A, Knotterus A, Meertens R, *et al.* Why do patients consult the general practitioner? Determinants of their decision. *Br J Gen Pract* 1992; **42:** 313-316.

Outcome measure for parkinsons disease

Sir,

In her excellent editorial, Leone Ridsdale highlights the lack of systematic evidence for many current interventions for patients with parkinsons disease (May *Journal*, p.226). She also draws attention to the lack of appropriate outcome measures. From content analysis of indepth interviews with patients with parkinsons disease, we have developed a simple, self-completion questionnaire. It contains 39 questions assessing eight dimensions of function and well-being: mobility, activities of daily living, emotional well-being, stigma, social support, cognitions, communications, and bodily discomfort.

The instrument has been shown to have good internal and test–retest reliability and validity.[1,2] In a series of 131 patients with parkinsons disease presenting at a hospital neurological outpatient clinic, the agreement between scale scores for the 39-item parkinsons disease questionnaire and a standard assessment of disease severity performed by the neurologist (the Columbia scale[3]) was significant for seven of the eight scales (correlation coefficients varying from 0.54 for mobility to 0.19 for bodily discomfort, $P<0.05$).[2]

A further survey has been conducted using the 39-item parkinsons disease questionnaire to examine health-related quality of life in a defined general practice sample. Patients with parkinsons disease were identified by general practitioners and by Northampton District Health Authority hospital records. All patients were examined by a geriatrician to confirm the clinical diagnosis and to assess symptoms. A total of 185 out of 255 patients (72.5% response rate) completed the questionnaire. The most common experiences reported by this group (reported as often or always being a problem) were problems with handwriting (66.5%), difficulties undertaking leisure activities (63.2%), difficulties looking after the home (63.2%) and problems walking half a mile (61.1%). The issue of stigma in parkinsons disease has recently been highlighted[4] and this was also a central finding of our survey in which 23.8% of patients with parkinsons disease reported that they often or always felt the need to conceal their condition in public.

Instruments such as the 39-item parkinsons disease questionnaire should be used as outcome measures to evaluate the impact of current management strategies upon the diverse aspects of parkinsons disease.

Ray Fitzpatrick
Crispin Jenkinson
Viv Peto

Health Services Research Unit
Department of Public Health and Primary Care
University of Oxford
Radcliffe Infirmary
Woodstock Road
Oxford OX2 6HE

References

1. Peto V, Jenkinson C, Fitzpatrick R, Greenhall R. The development and validation of a short measure of functioning and well-being for individuals with Parkinsons disease. *Qual Life Res* 1995; **4:** 241-248.
2. Jenkinson C, Peto V, Fitzpatrick R, *et al.* Self reported functioning and well-being in patients with Parkinsons disease: comparison of the short form health survey (SF-36) and the Parkinsons disease questionnaire. *Age Ageing*, 1995; in press.
3. Hely M, Wilson A, Williamson P, *et al.* Reliability of the Columbia scale for assessing signs of Parkinsons disease. *Mov Disord* 1993; **8:** 466-474.
4. Nijhof G. Parkinsons disease as a problem of shame in public appearance. *Sociol Health Illness* 1995; **17:** 193-205.

Clinician involvement in commissioning

Sir,

Sir Roy Griffiths' introduction of general management into the National Health Service 10 years ago appeared to relegate consensus decision making to the methodological dustbin, the lid being firmly closed by the introduction of the internal market. Not so, according to the minister of state for health. He urged delegates attending a conference on 28 November