

improve their prescribing and save money. He also gives a good account of the mechanics of creating a formulary for their use. However, the summary of the article says that the 'estimated saving resulting from the creation of the formulary was £150 000 (£3000 per doctor) per year.' There is no evidence in the article that it was the creation of a formulary rather than the hard work of a number of doctors that caused the money to be saved. Others have saved money without formularies.

JOHN STRUTHERS

27 Kellett Road
Southampton SO15 7PS

Summative assessment for GP registrars

Sir,
Dr Grant's letter on behalf of the Tayside Trainers (June, p373) surprised us, as all of the issues raised have been widely discussed and debated. Perhaps talking to their neighbouring region could have prevented the concern. The first point was about insufficient consultation: wide-spread consultation took place via the Joint Committee and the UK Advisers as early as 1992, and our original paper¹ appeared in the *College Journal* in 1993. The only part which has changed since that paper is the detail of the trainers' report and this is a change which we are sure the trainers welcome. The second point concerned a challenge to the process. This has already taken place within the West of Scotland and the system has been fully operational since August 1993. Our experience has been passed to the other regions and we have also been responsible for training the other regional assessors in the various techniques: Sixteen publications have appeared in the peer-referenced literature, which includes correspondence in the learned journals related to these publications. Under 'Critical Reading Policy' the Tayside trainers criteria states 'selecting relevant medical literature as part of keeping abreast of developments in medicine': in view of this, the lack of knowledge of summative assessment is surprising.

As far as the MCQ is concerned, it would be logical to use the multiple choice paper of the MRCGP in summative assessment. We approached the College in 1993 to see if this was possible and indeed we ran a pilot study together. However, the College refused further use of the question bank and would only permit the use of the MCQ by candidates taking the

whole exam. In the absence of an alternative test of factual knowledge, this would mean that the MRCGP exam would be compulsory for all prospective GPs. As a result, we approached the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners who agreed to provide the UK Advisers with their question bank. The major difference between the question banks is that the MRCGP exam contains more items on epidemiology, research methods and administration than that in use for summative assessment. Since the summative assessment paper is about minimum competence, we would suggest that this is appropriate. The UK Advisers' exam has now been taken by over 1000 candidates with a pass rate of 97% and a pass mark carefully set at the level of minimum acceptable competence. Details of setting the pass mark are in press.²

In addition, it is worth noting that the RACGP exam has published reliability data on its exam which the UK College has never done.³

The next point regarding sufficient assessors is puzzling, as the number of people who attended our preparatory courses from Tayside was way in excess of their regional requirements. Regional Advisers have also worked very hard in identifying funding for the delivery system. The concern about summative assessment dominating the GP registrar year is unfounded, but there is no doubt that the GP registrars will be concerned if trainers are unaware of the process. Summative assessment is about minimal competence, and the content is based on the work of a general practitioner and should not in any way interfere with the GP registrar year. To deliver the various components, however, personal organisation is important and planning is required throughout the year.

We agree with the final point regarding medico-political and educational manoeuvres being frankly unacceptable: at present the only provider of a complete package of summative assessment is the UK Conference of Regional Advisers, and their concern about standards. However, the Regional Advisers have had a high degree of co-operation from the GMSC, RCGP and JCPTGP. We hope the Tayside trainers are reassured by the facts. It is important that our discipline has a credible entry standard for independent practice and summative assessment will provide that.

T STUART MURRAY
L MALCOLM CAMPBELL

West of Scotland Postgraduate
Medical Education Board
1 Horselethill Road
Glasgow G12 9LX

References

1. Campbell LM, Howie JGR, Murray TS. Summative Assessment: A pilot project in the West of Scotland. *Br J Gen Pract* 1993; **43**: 430-434.
2. Campbell LM, Murray TS. Summative Assessment in the West of Scotland - the results of the first 3 years. *Br J Gen Pract* 1996 (in press).
3. Hays RB, Van der Leuten C, Fabb WE, Spike NA. Longitudinal reliability of the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners Certification Examination. *Medical Education* 1995; **29**: 317-321.

Psychiatric illness in General Practice

Sir,

With regard to the editorial in the June issue of our *Journal* (page 327-328) concerning the identification of psychiatric illness in general practice. I think the time is about ripe to introduce some totally new ideas about mental health into general practice.

Until now our guiding light has come from the psychiatrist, who believes that mental illness is something that is wrong with the brain. By and large we are taught that all psychiatric disorders are due to a deficiency of drugs. What is missing is scientific data concerning the mind as opposed to the brain.

We talk of body, mind and spirit, and then make the assumption that the mind is a function of the brain and the soul or spirit is some sort of electric circuit in the frontal lobes of the brain. In other words, we reduce the parameters of science to that of the body, with its brain and with its higher centres of the brain; i.e. body, body and body.

Looked at in these rather harsh terms, we find ourselves stuck down a cul-de-sac which leads nowhere.

Scientific data does now exist concerning the mind and the mechanisms of mental aberration in a subject called dianetics. Perhaps our *Journal* could bring this fact to the attention of the practising GP.

DR E C HAMLYN

Rutt House
Ivybridge
Devon PL21 0DQ

Chronic pain in dementia – underdetected or undertreated?

Sir,

Although about 4000 papers are produced annually on the subject of pain, less than 1% are confined to older people.¹ Pain is