CSM thioridazine advice

I was interested to read Dr Wright’s paper on the impact of the Committee on Safety of Medicine (CSM) advice on thioridazine prescribing in general practice in Leeds in the May edition of the BJGP.1

Working in a general practice of 5500 patients in Sheffield, we have an above average number of patients in either sheltered or supported accommodation, of whom 11 were well controlled on thioridazine at the time of the CSM advice. Owing to the forceful nature of the advice we did not feel we were able to continue prescribing thioridazine and the local advice in Sheffield was to convert patients onto promazine (in retrospect, bad advice). The effect on these patients was marked; of the 11, only one remained controlled on thioridazine, the number of consultations and hospital appointments increased and there was marked distress to the patients, their families, and their carers.

I would agree with Dr Wright’s suggestions for how the CSM relates urgent advice regarding medication when there is emerging evidence of poor safety profile, but I would also add that there should then be clear guidance as to what the appropriate alternatives are, with the appropriate evidence.

PAUL DRISCOLL
Heeley Green Surgery, 302 Gleadless Road, Sheffield S2 3AJ.
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Author’s response
We welcome the letter by Paul Driscoll and were disappointed, yet not surprised to read of the increased number of consultations, hospital appointments and increased distress to patients, families and carers as a result of urgent facsimile advice to change prescribing practice. We would encourage both the primary care profession and the prescribing regulatory authorities to learn from this episode, and agree with Driscoll that clear guidance should suggest appropriate alternatives with the appropriate supporting evidence.

Regarding the letter by Wilcock, we always suspected that although only claiming generalisability of our findings to the Leeds area, that the picture we described would be representative of the national picture. Despite the obvious attractions of the interocular test, which are obviously in the eye of the beholder (excuse the pun), we felt it important to apply scientific and research methodological rigour to our study. Whereas Wilcock has given us some excellent descriptive data (and we do hope that the BJGP affords him space of a whole figure for a small letter) we do prefer to use comparative statistics wherever possible. Such statistics in the form of a time series analysis can account for any natural seasonal variation which can be missed by the interocular method. Comparative statistics are also both more sensitive and specific to detect small yet significant changes. Such changes can be missed by the naked eye. However, on this topic we feel that the most important point is that there is no evidence that thioridazine is more cardiotoxic than any other antipsychotic medication. The current evidence base would suggest that all antipsychotic medication should be prescribed with caution to the elderly.
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Figure 1. Number of items prescribed by GPs per quarter.