

References

- Pickin M, O'Cathain A, Sampson FC, Dixon S. Evaluation of Advanced Access in the National Primary Care Collaborative. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004; **54**: 334-340.

CSM thioridazine advice

I was interested to read Dr Wright's paper on the impact of the Committee on Safety of Medicine (CSM) advice on thioridazine prescribing in general practice in Leeds in the May edition of the *BJGP*.¹

Working in a general practice of 5500 patients in Sheffield, we have an above average number of patients in either sheltered or supported accommodation, of whom 11 were well controlled on thioridazine at the time of the CSM advice. Owing to the forceful nature of the advice we did not feel we were able to continue prescribing thioridazine and the local advice in Sheffield was to convert patients onto promazine (in retrospect, bad advice). The effect on these patients was marked; of the 11, only one remained controlled on thioridazine, the number of consultations and hospital appointments increased and there was marked distress to the patients, their families, and their carers.

I would agree with Dr Wright's suggestions for how the CSM relates urgent advice regarding medication when there is emerging evidence of poor safety profile, but I would also add that there should then be clear guidance as to what the appropriate alternatives are, with the appropriate evidence.

PAUL DRISCOLL

Heeley Green Surgery, 302 Gleadless Road, Sheffield S2 3AJ.

References

- Wright NMJ, Roberts AJ, Allgar VL, *et al.* Impact of the CSM advice on thioridazine on general practitioner prescribing behaviour in Leeds; time series analysis. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004; **54**: 370-373.

Wright and colleagues report on the impact on GP prescribing of thioridazine as a result of advice issued in December 2000 by the Committee on Safety of Medicines.¹ They conducted

a time series analysis and showed a significant reduction in the monthly number and cost of thioridazine prescriptions. Their paper is accompanied by a commentary on this type of before-and-after study, which does not really help the non-statistician to understand the method of analysis used. Although not subject to the same robust, high scientific standards as their paper, I prefer the interocular test (when data hit you in between your eyes).² This test, if applied to data for GP prescribing in Cornwall and Isles of Scilly (Figure 1), tells us all we need to know about how GPs acted following this CSM urgent cascade fax. The prescribing of thioridazine dropped dramatically from over 4000 items per quarter down to less than 1000 in the space of two quarters.

MICHAEL WILCOCK

Head of Prescribing Support Unit, c/o Pharmacy Department, Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust, Truro, Cornwall TR1 3LJ. E-mail: mike.wilcock@centralpct.cornwall.nhs.uk

References

- Wright NMJ, Roberts AJ, Allgar VL, *et al.* Impact of the CSM advice on thioridazine on general practitioner prescribing behaviour in Leeds; time series analysis. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004; **54**: 370-373.
- Erill S. Is it clinically significant? *Lancet* 2002; **359**: 1708.

Author's response

We welcome the letter by Paul Driscoll and were disappointed, yet not surprised to read of the increased number

of consultations, hospital appointments and increased distress to patients, families and carers as a result of urgent facsimile advice to change prescribing practice. We would encourage both the primary care profession and the prescribing regulatory authorities to learn from this episode, and agree with Driscoll that clear guidance should suggest appropriate alternatives with the appropriate supporting evidence.

Regarding the letter by Wilcock, we always suspected that although only claiming generalisability of our findings to the Leeds area, that the picture we described would be representative of the national picture. Despite the obvious attractions of the interocular test, which are obviously in the eye of the beholder (excuse the pun), we felt it important to apply scientific and research methodological rigour to our study. Whereas Wilcock has given us some excellent descriptive data (and we do hope that the *BJGP* affords him space of a whole figure for a small letter) we do prefer to use comparative statistics wherever possible. Such statistics in the form of a time series analysis can account for any natural seasonal variation which can be missed by the interocular method. Comparative statistics are also both more sensitive and specific to detect small yet significant changes. Such changes can be missed by the naked eye. However, on this topic we feel that the most important point is that there is no evidence that thioridazine is more cardiotoxic than any other antipsychotic medication. The current evidence base would suggest that *all* antipsychotic medication should be prescribed with caution to the elderly




Figure 1. Number of items prescribed by GPs per quarter.