

Although I have heard Professor Howie expound eloquently the concept of the Consultation Quality Index (CQI), we considered this to be an organic internally derived professional exercise rather than an externally imposed assessment. Our object was not to set up a new performance framework as such, but to see if we could improve the acceptability of what appeared to be an arbitrary collection of government parameters. It was this very arbitrary nature that we felt could be alienating the profession rather than necessarily the concept of being subjected to performance review, which is where Dr Ambury is taking us to task. Since writing the study of course things have moved on and we now have a new GMS Contract with a further raft of quality and outcome measures, which will no doubt inform and stimulate this debate.

GUY HOUGHTON

General Practitioner and GP Education Advisor, West Midlands Deanery, Birmingham.

E-mail: guy@houghtons.org.uk

Accepting money from the Freemasons' Grand Charity

I see that Council has decided to accept a donation from the Freemason's Grand Charity. This was discussed at our Faculty Board; I expressed some reservations, and I understand there was considerable debate in Council before the decision was taken. Several colleagues have said that their initial instinct was to reject the offer; I feel bound to observe that, on the occasions when my instinct has said one thing and my head another, the head has usually been wrong!

My reservations about freemasonry stem from knowledge gained through conversations with patients who are masons, and from belonging to a healing community within the Church of England. I've read various publications, written by people who either have been freemasons themselves, or who have studied the organisation in some depth. My understanding is that it is an organisation with secrets, it has a layered structure, and members in the lower layers are generally ignorant of what goes on higher up. The vast majority of freemasons regard it as an enjoyable

social club, that does a lot of good work raising money for charities — and so it does. Unfortunately, the argument does not end there. It is undoubtedly a quasi-religious organisation, though it welcomes members from any religion. That's fine, and at every lodge meeting there's an open Bible. However, the meetings profess to worship not only God as those attending recognise Him, but also entities such as Jabulon and others. This is a departure from worship as the rest of us know it — who or what is Jabulon? There is a mystical initiation ceremony, and a solemn oath is sworn, that the initiate's heart be cut out if he betrays the organisation's secrets — hence exposing the left breast. It may sound melodramatic, but many within the established Church are deeply concerned — hence the move recently to render priesthood and freemasonry mutually incompatible.

In our Faculty Board debate, we agreed that the College shouldn't accept money from, say, a drug baron. We feel uneasy about accepting it from pharmaceutical companies, though we do. We probably wouldn't accept it from Hare Krishna, or the Scientology movement. In defence of the argument in favour of accepting, I understand that the Grand Charity runs independently from the masonic lodges, and that there are no strings attached as to how it is spent. And the amount of money on offer is considerable. Nevertheless, the phrase 'wolf in sheep's clothing' niggles at the back of my mind, so I may reconsider whether to continue as a Fellow of this College, but that's my personal decision.

If I am in a small minority with these concerns, that's fine, but I would appreciate their being made available to more of our membership, so that the College can have a more informed debate.

CHRIS BARRY

General Practitioner, Cornerstone Practice, Chiseldon SN4 0PB.

E-mail: chris.barry@gp-J83649.nhs.uk

Response

It was always going to be the case that an offer of a donation from the Freemason's Grand Charity would polarise opinion and this short letter is

unlikely to alter entrenched views. However, there are some important general points to be considered. The democratically elected College Council has, by a large majority, agreed to take consideration of this idea forward. The College has very clear guidelines about accepting donations and this offer is within those guidelines. To reject such an offer on grounds outside the guidelines would be to repudiate those guidelines. Freemasonry is perfectly legal and in the view of many is at worst harmless and at best respectable. The Grand Charity is itself a registered charity and, like the College, must work within the rules set by the Charity Commissioners. To equate the Grand Charity with a drug baron is frankly offensive. Every year thousands of ordinary freemasons give money to the Grand Charity in the belief that it will assist worthy causes. The idea that the upper echelons of freemasonry exist in a shadow world of plotting and intrigue will, I am sure, be news to HRH The Duke of Kent, who is the Grand Master, and to the numerous bishops and archbishops who have graced the order.

Freemasonry is certainly a society with secrets but since there have been a number of reasonably accurate televised exposures and since any member of the public can order books from any bookseller that deal with freemasonry in detail, it is hardly a secret society. Furthermore, most masonic halls have open days and are available for use by members of the public. The sort of blood-curdling oaths referred to in Chris Barry's letter were commonplace in all sorts of organisations when the masonic ritual was written several centuries ago but they have long been removed and are now of only historical interest.

I believe that the College needs to be very careful before passing judgements on organisations based on hearsay and incomplete understanding. The College officers have carefully investigated the Freemason's Grand Charity and the Council appeared to be much more anxious about appearing unreasonably prejudiced than about joining the Royal College of Surgeons in considering, and possibly accepting, money from this source.

TONY MATHIE

Council Member and Freemason.