Evidence in practice — number 7
Can postpartum depression be prevented?

Clinical question — is there any preventive treatment for postpartum depression in a lady with previous episodes?

This question was sent to us at the Centre for General Practice, University of Queensland, Australia. We were providing a literature search service in collaboration with the Department of Primary Health Care at the University of Newcastle upon Tyne (funded through the NHS Northern and Yorkshire Regional Library Advisory Service), for GPs in the North of England, modelled on one run in Australia.1 GPs sent requests for answers to questions arising during clinical consultations. We then undertook a search for the best available published evidence, briefly interpreted it, and quickly (within days) returned the answer to the GP.

Our response to one of the questions received is presented. Under the Update section, we present relevant research published subsequent to the initial search.

SEARCH QUESTION
First, the clinical question was reformatted into a ‘searchable question’:

In women with previous episodes of postnatal depression, how effective are pharmaceutical and/or non-pharmaceutical interventions for preventing recurrence?

The ideal study to answer this question would be a randomised trial (or preferably a systematic review of trials) in women with previous episodes of postnatal depression, of pharmaceutical and/or non-pharmaceutical treatments compared to a ‘control’ treatment.

SEARCH
We searched the Cochrane Library and PubMed using combinations of the following text and MeSH search terms: ‘depression postpartum (MeSH and text)’ OR ‘postnatal depress*’ OR ‘postpartum depress*’ OR ‘post*natal depress*’ AND ‘prevent*’ OR ‘prophyla*’.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
We located only one non-randomised study evaluating the effectiveness of postpartum monitoring alone compared to monitoring and antidepressant treatment (with either the medication that had been effective for the previous episode or nortriptyline) for the prevention of recurrent episodes of postnatal depression. The study was not of high quality (open label and non-randomised) and the sample size (n = 23) small. In this study, a significantly greater proportion of women (P<0.05) who elected monitoring alone (63%) suffered recurrence of major depression compared with the women who received monitoring and medication (7%).

We were unable to locate any trials evaluating hormonal or non-pharmaceutical interventions specifically in women with a previous episode of postpartum depression. We provided a summary of the results of trials of non-pharmaceutical interventions conducted in women without previous episodes, and reported a systematic review of trials evaluating the preventive effect of oestrogens and progesterin.

MANAGEMENT OUTCOMES OF THIS PATIENT
Not known.

UPDATE
The original search was conducted in January 2001. Repeating the search in March 2005 identified two additional trials of drug treatments in women with a previous episode of postpartum depression. In the first, women with at least one past episode of postpartum major depression were randomised to receive either the tricyclic antidepressant nortriptyline or placebo in a double blind trial. At 20 weeks post-intervention, there was no difference between the rate of recurrence in women treated with nortriptyline (0.23, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.01 to 0.44) and placebo (0.24, 95% CI = 0.01 to 0.45). The second randomised pilot trial of 17 patients evaluated the effect of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor sertraline versus placebo. At 17 weeks the rate of recurrence for the sertraline and placebo groups was 7% (95% CI = 0.00 to 0.34) and 50% (95% CI = 0.01 to 0.84), respectively (P = 0.04). The time to recurrence was also significantly longer in the sertraline treated women.

COMMENT
This is a good example of the fact that the best available evidence changes as new research data become available. The contrasting results of the three trials conducted in women with previous episodes of postpartum depression may be explained in part by differences in trial methodology and/or the preventive efficacy of different drug classes. The positive result of the earliest trial (of nortriptyline or other antidepressant against placebo) may be an artefact produced because of its inferior methods (non-randomised and non-blind, which allow selection bias; the women feeling themselves to be at greatest risk opting for treatment, for example, and response bias; those using antidepressants perhaps being more greatly influenced by a placebo effect). The more recent trial of nortriptyline is less subject to these biases and found no benefit.

The pilot trial evaluating sertraline, however, reported a positive effect. Differing modes of action of the two classes of drugs may explain the difference in preventive efficacy, but this was a very small trial (only 17 patients), and the very high recurrence rate in the placebo group.
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(4/8 = 50%) is hard to reconcile with earlier experience.

While adverse events occurred more frequently among women receiving sertraline, again because of the small numbers in the trial, this could be a chance occurrence. And of course, trial data are not the best source of evidence for rare adverse events, for which huge patient numbers are required. There are minimal data on which to base decisions about the safety of breastfeeding while taking sertraline. Expert Consensus Guidelines7 based on multiple case series by several investigators suggest that sertraline may be used with minimal risk in breastfeeding mothers; however, there are no published controlled long-term evaluations of infants exposed to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors through breast milk.8

The updated search also located two Cochrane reviews9,10 and a review protocol11 that seemed relevant, although the trials included in these reviews did not necessarily enrol women with previous episodes of postpartum depression. One of the reviews (including only two trials) found that synthetic progestogens increased the risk of postpartum depression and reported that the preventive effect of oestrogens is unknown.9 In another review, the effect of psychosocial and psychological interventions for preventing postpartum depression was evaluated.10 In a subgroup analysis (specified a priori), the effect of a variety of non-pharmaceutical interventions was evaluated in women defined as ‘high risk’ and the general population: trials selecting participants based on ‘at risk’ criteria had more apparent success in preventing postpartum depression (relative risk [RR] = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.51 to 0.89) than those enrolling women from the general population (RR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.66 to 1.16). Given that a previous episode of depression (of any type) is one of the strongest risk factors for a future episode,9 these data (which should be interpreted cautiously) suggest a possible alternative to antidepressant treatment for this woman. A review evaluating the effectiveness of antidepressant drugs in the prevention of postpartum depression is currently only in protocol form.11
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