Holistic health care?

In recent years, ‘holistic health centres’ have mushroomed. Such establishments, which sometimes also use the term ‘integrated’ or ‘integrative’ instead of holistic, offer a range of ‘alternative’ therapies and promise to treat not just the patients’ somatic problems but to also address their psychological and spiritual needs. Patients normally pay out of their own pockets. Here I discuss two (partly hypothetical and necessarily simplified) scenarios in order to critically analyse this practice.

Our patient is a 56-year-old male carpenter, Mr Nash, who has always been physically active, is not overweight, has smoked about 20 cigarettes per day for the last 30 years, and last consulted his GP 1.5 years ago. He enjoys 1–2 pints of beer per day, takes no prescription medicines and states that he has no relevant medical history; both his parents suffer from cardiovascular problems. Mr Nash seeks medical help because he has not felt well for about 4 weeks. In particular, he suffers from insomnia, fatigue and pain in his left upper arm and shoulder. He believes that these symptoms started when, together with a friend, he carried a fridge-freezer to his fourth floor flat.

**THE ‘HOLISTIC’ APPROACH**

Mr Nash decides to follow his wife’s advice and visits a local holistic health centre. He initially sees a practitioner who takes a brief history and refers him to the centre’s homeopath, chiropractor and healer. The homeopath takes a detailed homoeopathic history which lasts 90 minutes but does not examine him in any other way. Eventually the homeopath prescribes a homoeopathic medicine explaining that it is individually tailored for the patient and should improve his insomnia and fatigue. Mr Nash is given a follow-up appointment 2 weeks later. The chiropractor conducts a manual examination of the spine, takes several X-rays and diagnoses subluxation of several vertebrae. He explains that, in his view, these abnormalities are the likely cause of the patient’s pain and proposes a series of 10 spinal manipulations. The healer asks Mr Nash to sit in a chair and gently moves her hands over his body. She explains that this sends out healing energy enabling his body to heal itself. The session, which Mr Nash finds agreeably relaxing, is repeated on a weekly basis.

Both the homeopaths and the chiropractor also give lifestyle advice regarding a well-balanced diet, smoking cessation (which, they claim, could be helped by acupuncture), regular physical activity and sleep hygiene. Both practitioners suspect that Mr Nash suffers from psychological problems and recommend counselling. The patient (who indeed has considerable family problems) does, however, not want to talk about ‘such things’.

Despite good compliance, Mr Nash continues to feel ill. In particular, he finds physical exercise increasingly difficult and has the impression that it aggravates his pain and leads to breathlessness. Two weeks later (at this stage the out of pocket costs for the treatments exceed £600), he experiences sharp chest pain and collapses while walking up to his 4th floor flat. In hospital, he is diagnosed with a massive myocardial infarction and treated conventionally. Eventually Mr Nash undergoes double-bypass surgery and subsequently makes a good recovery.

**THE CONVENTIONAL APPROACH**

Mr Nash rejects his wife’s advice to ‘go holistic’ and consults his GP who takes a medical history, conducts a physical examination, measures his blood pressure (165/100 mmHg), and finds ischaemic changes on the resting ECG. A subsequent ECG on a bicycle ergometer is discontinued because of chest pain and overt ischaemic ECG changes. Mr Nash is admitted to hospital where coronary heart disease with two stenosed coronaries is confirmed. He undergoes angioplasty the next day. The medical team also identify the following risk factors: hypertension, diabetes, hypocholesterolaemia, smoking and distress. After returning home on β-blockers, statins and aspirin, Mr Nash finds it difficult to control his diabetes with diet alone; anti-diabetic drugs are therefore added to his list of medications. A supervised smoking cessation programme seems to have the desired effect. The medical team urges him to see a clinical psychologist, but he refuses to discuss the causes of his stress on the grounds that it relates to very private family matters.

**COMMENT**

Hypothetical scenarios such as those above may seem somewhat contrived. They are, however, not unrealistic and make a number of points clearly. Holism is currently used by many as an attractive label to recruit customers. The claim to treat mind, body and spirit certainly sounds good and agrees with today’s ‘Zeitgeist’. However, this claim can be misleading, particularly if the treatments do not address the most urgent problem effectively. Clinicians must have sufficient diagnostic skills to identify life-threatening conditions and their risk factors regardless of whether they are doctors or complementary practitioners. Unless this precondition is fulfilled, their interventions can put patients at risk. Arguably the ‘holistic approach’ is fragmented and the ‘conventional approach’ can prove to be more holistic than the naive holism displayed by some complementary practitioners.

Holistic practitioners claim to treat the causes rather than the symptoms of a disease and argue that the cause–effect relationships of conventional medicine often do not apply to the complex situation of whole human beings. The above scenarios suggest that such views can be simplistic. Underlying causes of illness often exist on many levels. Mr Nash’s symptoms were caused by myocardial ischaemia, which was caused by coronary stenoses, which was caused by arteriosclerosis, which was caused by a multitude of risk factors, which were caused by a complex mix of genetic and environmental factors. These interrelationships need to be first understood and subsequently acted upon; the most
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