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ABSTRACT
Background
There is widespread concern that the quality of out-of-hours primary care for patients with complex needs may be at risk now that the new general medical services contract (GMS) has been implemented.

Aim
To explore changes in the use of out-of-hours services around the time of implementation of the new contract for patients with complex needs, using patients with cancer as an example.

Design of study
Longitudinal observational study.

Setting
Out-of-hours primary care provider covering Devon (adult population 900,000), UK.

Method
Two, 1-year periods corresponding to pre- (April 2003 to March 2004) and post-contract implementation (October 2004 to September 2005) were sampled. Call rates per 1000 of the adult population (age ≥16 years) were calculated for all calls (any cause) and cancer-related calls. Anonymised outcome and process measures data were extracted.

Results
Although overall call rates per 1000 population had increased by 26% (185 pre-contract to 233 post-contract), the proportion of cancer-related calls remained relatively constant (2.08% versus 1.96%). Around half (56%) of these callers had advanced cancer needs (including palliative care). By post-contract, the time taken to triage had significantly increased (P<0.001). Although the proportions admitted to hospital or receiving a home visit remained constant, calls where a special message was sent by the out-of-hours clinician to the in-hours team had decreased (P<0.001).

Conclusion
The demand for out-of-hours care for patients with cancer did not alter disproportionately after implementation of the contract. While potential quality indicators (for example, hospital admissions, home visiting rates) remained constant, potentially adverse changes to triage time and communication between out-of-hours and in-hours clinicians were observed. Quality standards and provider databases require further refinement to capture elements of care relevant to patients with complex needs.
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INTRODUCTION
In the UK, ‘out-of-hours’ is defined as care provided between the hours of 18:30 and 8:00 or at the weekends and bank holidays.1 The majority of out-of-hours care (around 69%) is outside the normal working hours of primary care services.2 Approximately 13% of the population use out-of-hours services each year, of whom around one-third contact primary care providers.3 Many users are from the more vulnerable members of society, such as children under 5 years of age, older people, or individuals with complex healthcare needs.4,5

The delivery of out-of-hours primary medical care has altered substantially over the last 20 years,3,6 and particularly in response to the Carson review, which delivered a critical verdict on issues of quality, safety, and effectiveness.7 Subsequent modernisation activities have focused on improving
How this fits in

There is widespread concern that the implementation of the new general medical services (GMS) contract might be associated with reduced quality of care for patients with complex care needs seeking out-of-hours primary care. This longitudinal observational study explored the impact of the new contract for patients with cancer on key process and outcome measures from out-of-hours consultations. The overall demand (any cause) for out-of-hours care increased by around 26% following implementation of the contract. While the proportion of cancer-related calls remained constant, and potential quality indicators, such as hospital admissions or home visiting, remained unchanged, potentially detrimental changes in triage time and communication between out-of-hours and in-hours clinicians were observed. Around half of all cancer-related calls were by people with advanced cancer (including palliative care) needs. Current national quality standards and provider information systems require further refinement to support the routine monitoring of elements of care that are of particular relevance to patients with complex needs.

METHOD

Design

A longitudinal, observational study was undertaken, documenting changes in the use of out-of-hours primary care services in Devon for adult patients with cancer, before and after the implementation of the new GMS contract on 1 April 2004.

Setting

Prior to the implementation of the new contract, most GPs in Devon (approximately 174 practices) were working for one of eight cooperatives, with only a small number organising care through deputising services. These cooperatives had already merged their administrative procedures and databases into a single, not-for-profit organisation (Devon Doctors) in preparation for when the responsibility for commissioning care passed to the eight PCTs after implementation of the new contract. Clinical staffing remained relatively stable, with a high proportion of existing GPs continuing to commit to providing out-of-hours care through the emergent organisation.

Devon has a mixed patient population, including urban and rural communities, with one large inner-city area, and varying levels of deprivation, but low levels of ethnic minority representation. A summary of the patient care pathway is provided in Figure 1.

Sampling

Ascertaining cancer-related calls. All calls to the Devon Doctors are recorded on an Adastra database. Records include the patient’s contact details, a summary of their clinical needs (text fields for symptoms, diagnosis, and notes), and the management provided. Electronic search terms were used to identify potential cancer-related calls (Box 1).

The accuracy of search terms was established in a sample of 300 calls (any cause), which were manually inspected by two independent blinded assessors who judged patients’ cancer status (yes/no/uncertain). The manually-identified cancer calls were compared with those identified electronically. Sensitivity, specificity, and associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated.

Two sampling frames were used: a pre-contract period between April 2003 and March 2004, and a post-contract period between October 2004 and September 2005, avoiding the 6-month transition period between April 2003 and March 2004.

RESULTS

Identifying cancer-related calls and for the subgroup of callers with advanced cancer-related needs. It then explores changes in the pattern of out-of-hours process (for example, waiting time until triage), and outcome measures (for example, hospital admissions rates) which may indicate changes in the quality of care.
The electronic records documenting requests for medical assistance made by adult patients (aged 16 years or over) during the two time periods were electronically searched to identify callers with potential cancer diagnoses, irrespective of whether or not their use of the service was related to their cancer diagnosis. The resultant calls were manually inspected by two assessors to ascertain cancer status. Cases with uncertain status, or those where the two coders disagreed, were recoded by a third medically qualified assessor. Once cancer status had been established, calls were fully anonymised and all clinical descriptive data removed before process and outcome data were extracted.

Ascertaining calls with advanced cancer
Using the World Health Organization definition of palliative care, the medically qualified researchers developed a list of basic definitions (Box 1) to identify callers with advanced cancer-related (including palliative care) needs. Two clinicians independently coded a 10% subset of established cancer calls into one of three categories: advanced cancer, not advanced, uncertain. Where disagreements arose, a third assessor adjudicated.

Process and outcome measures
Anonymised data describing the number of calls (any cause) and for the subset of callers with a cancer diagnosis were collated for each time period, and a call rate per 1000 of the adult population (≥16 years old) calculated (Table 1). For cancer-related calls, basic descriptive information was extracted on patient age, sex, and call outcomes including the ‘six categories of management’ option and six categories of post-consultation follow-up care and/or advice offered (categories described in Table 2). Process measures on the key timing points were extracted for:

- call logged by a call handler;
- clinical triage initiated; and
- care episode concluded (latter two recorded by health professional).
The timing points were used to calculate the time taken until triage (clinical triage initiated minus call logged by a call handler), and the time taken to resolve the care episode (care episode concluded minus clinical triage initiated).

**Data analysis**

All statistical analyses were undertaken using STATA (version 8.2).

**Primary exploratory analyses.** The primary analyses were exploratory, aiming to identify changes in service usage for patients with a cancer diagnosis before and after implementation of the contract. Differences between pre- and post-contract periods for call rates (all cause) and cancer-related calls per 1000 of the population, response times, and outcomes of all contacts were explored using statistical tests (5% significance level) and, where appropriate, by presenting the absolute risk difference, and relative risk and associated 95% CIs. Appropriate parametric (t-tests) or non-parametric (Mann–Whitney U test or Kruskal–Wallis test) statistics were selected, depending on the distribution of data. The proportion of cancer-related calls made by patients with advanced cancer was also estimated.

**Sample size considerations.** Preliminary data for a 1-month period of August 2004 identified 217 requests for medical care from patients with cancer, equivalent to 1.3% of all calls (215/17 007). As a proportion of all calls made, to detect a 10% change in the proportion of cancer-related calls (with 80% power) requires assessment of a minimum of 127 000 calls (any cause).

**RESULTS**

**Identifying cancer-related calls**

The electronic terms identified five cancer-related cases from 300 calls (any cause), and six cases were identified by manual review (sensitivity = 83.3%, 95% CI = 43.6 to 97.0; specificity = 96.9%, 95% CI = 94.3 to 98.4). Five of six cancer-related calls were identified by both electronic and manual methods; inspection of the case missed by electronic searching led to the inclusion of a new search term (Ca[space]). Manual inspection also identified a group of calls from patients who had died and for whom death was expected, but where the cause was not recorded (4/300; 1.3%).

Of 37 0220 calls to the out-of-hours service for the two sample periods, 10 977 potentially cancer-related calls were identified by the electronic search terms, of which 963 were excluded (862 calls from patient aged <16 years; 27 calls logged in error; 41 calls for daytime message-handling services). Of the remaining 10 034 calls that were manually inspected, 8085 (80.6%) were cancer related, 1782 (17.8%) were not cancer related, and only 167 (1.7%) remained uncertain. The proportion of records where the two assessors were in agreement was high (9597/10 034; 95.6%).

Of the 8085 cancer-related calls, the majority (7 574 calls; pre-contract = 3433, post-contract = 4141) were requests for core medical care services provided by Devon Doctors. A small number of calls (n = 511) were for a messaging-handling service for district nursing teams. The observed increase in the number of cancer-related calls to district nursing services between pre- and post-contract periods (from 116 to 427 calls) is a consequence of an...
expansion of the deputising service. The primary analysis was therefore restricted to core medical services to ensure that the population coverage remained relatively constant.

**Identifying patients with advanced cancer**

Agreement between two assessors was lower (51.5/730; 70.6%) than that observed for cancer-status ascertainment, and 109/730 (14.9%) calls could not be definitively coded. A conservative estimate of 56% (346/621) of calls (for which a definitive judgment could be made) were from patients with advanced cancer needs.

**Analysis of call rates**

The frequency of calls to the service for any cause, and for the subset of cancer-related calls for each time period is given in Table 1. Between pre- and post-contract periods, calls (any cause) per 1000 population increased by 26%. Cancer-related calls also increased by 21%, so that the overall proportion of calls that related to cancer demonstrated a small decrease.

The 7574 cancer-related calls were made by a total of 4905 individuals. These individuals were broadly similar between pre- and post-contract periods in respect of age (mean = 69.7 years, 95% CI = 69.1 to 70.3, versus 69.9 years, 95% CI = 69.4 to 70.5; P = 0.57), and sex (48.5% female in both periods; P = 0.98).

**Analysis of call outcomes**

The overall distribution of callers receiving management options and post-consultation follow-up and/or recommendations differed between pre- and post-contract periods (Table 2; P<0.001 for each outcome).

The main changes in the proportions of callers receiving each of the management options were increases both in callers receiving telephone advice and in those attending a treatment centre, offsetting the reduction in callers passed to an ‘in-hours’ service. However, a smaller proportion of callers received a home visit while the number of callers referred direct to hospital reduced.

**Table 2. Summary of management and follow-up options received for cancer-related calls at baseline and follow-up.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management option received</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Absolute risk difference (post-pre, %)</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% CI)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient receives telephone advice</td>
<td>Pre-contract</td>
<td>1247 (36.3)</td>
<td>Post-contract</td>
<td>1757 (42.4)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient offered a treatment centre appointment</td>
<td></td>
<td>304 (8.9)</td>
<td>454 (11.0)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.24 (1.08 to 1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient receives a home visit</td>
<td></td>
<td>1431 (41.7)</td>
<td>1659 (40.1)</td>
<td>−1.6</td>
<td>0.96 (0.91 to 1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient referred direct to hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td>68 (2.0)</td>
<td>91 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.11 (0.81 to 1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient cancels call</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 (0.3)</td>
<td>46 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.18 (1.69 to 5.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient triaged, then passed to an in-hours service</td>
<td></td>
<td>371 (10.5)</td>
<td>134 (3.2)</td>
<td>−7.6</td>
<td>0.30 (0.25 to 0.36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Summary of the time taken from logging the call until the start of triage, and from the start of triage until the call is resolved by the health professional during pre-contract and post-contract periods.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time taken to start of triage</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Pre-contract</th>
<th>Post-contract</th>
<th>Mann–Whitney Z score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median minutes (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>33 (13 to 62)</td>
<td>41 (17 to 79)</td>
<td>−8.95 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean minutes (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.1 (44.3 to 47.9)</td>
<td>61.7 (59.1 to 64.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Time taken from triage to call resolution**

| Median minutes (IQR) | | 10 (4 to 16) | 9 (4 to 17) | −0.853 (0.39) |

**Mean minutes (95% CI) |**

| | | 12.2 (11.6 to 12.7) | 13.5 (12.3 to 14.7) | |
service for clinical care. While patient cancellations trebled, the numbers of callers involved were small. In terms of the post-consultation follow-up and/or recommendations, the proportions of callers who were hospitalised, or who were identified as dying, or where death was expected, remained relatively constant.

More callers were identified as potentially needing revisiting or having been revisited (the numbers were small), or were advised to seek further assistance from their in-hours GP. These changes were balanced against decreases in the proportions of calls categorised as definitively managed (although this remained the outcome for about two-thirds of calls) during the out-of-hours consultation, or where a special message had been relayed from the out-of-hours clinician direct to the in-hours team.

**Analysis of process measures**

The distribution of the frequency data for process measures was highly positively skewed (Table 3). The proportions of missing data varied from 333/3433 (9.7%) of records at baseline to 294/4141 (7.1%) at follow-up with no recorded start time of the triage. The time at which the call episode was resolved was also missing for 355/3433 (9.8%) records at baseline and 304/4141 (7.3%) at follow-up. More problematic, however, was the substantial proportion of calls with the same time recorded by the health professional at ‘start’ triage and ‘resolution of contact’ (‘0 minutes’ to resolve call) during baseline (545/3433, 17.6%) and follow-up (601/4141, 15.7%).

At follow-up, the time interval between the initial call being logged and the start of triage was significantly longer ($P<0.001$) than that observed at baseline (Table 3). There was, however, no difference in the time taken to resolve the care episode ($P = 0.39$). Sensitivity analyses, where various assumptions were made about the impact of implausible consultation length data (data not presented), did not alter this observation.

**DISCUSSION**

**Summary of main findings and comparison with existing literature**

This study estimated that around 2% of calls to the out-of-hours service are by patients with cancer, of which around a half (56%) have advanced cancer and/or palliative care needs. This figure is conservative, as 15% of cancer calls could not be classified due to a lack of information on cancer staging. Electronic searches will also have missed around 1.3% of all calls in cases where the patients had died and where death was expected, but where the cause of death was not recorded. National (English) data suggest that around one-quarter of these calls might be attributable to cancer. The figures in the present study are broadly comparable to those of a previous study of out-of-hours cooperatives, which identified that 2.1% of all calls were for palliative care patients (any cause).

The out-of-hours service experienced a substantial increase in call rates after the implementation of the new contract, although the proportion of cancer-related calls remained constant. The increased demand is probably attributable to the standardisation of the working hours around the time of handover between in-hours and out-of-hours services, combined with the loss of Saturday morning clinics provided by general practices.

Contrary to widespread concerns expressed among the primary care community, while the introduction of the contract may be associated with increased demand for out-of-hours care, there is no conclusive evidence that the demand from patients with complex needs altered disproportionately; rather it is likely that these patients were simply seeking help from the primary care service available to them at the time at which their needs arose (for example, on a Saturday morning).

Statistically significant changes in the proportions of callers receiving different management and follow-up options were observed, although care must be taken when interpreting the clinical significance of these changes, given the large sample available for analysis. Stability of the proportions of callers who received a home visit or who were admitted to hospital suggests that, although the service has experienced increased demand (all causes), this did not result in negative sequelae such as spiralling hospital admission rates or greater difficulties in accessing a home visit for callers with cancer.

Changes in some outcomes, such as the decline in out-of-hours clinicians who definitively resolved the care episode, could be consistent with reduced quality of care. However, an alternative explanation is more credible for some of these changes, namely that clinicians have simply altered their use of certain categories across time (for example, ‘refer to in-hours GP for follow-up’ is increasingly used now in preference to ‘patient definitively managed by out-of-hours service’). The latter explanation cannot, however, account fully for the reduction (absolute decrease of 3.1%) in the proportion of calls where the out-of-hours clinician issued a special message direct to the in-hours team to alert them to important clinical information. In the absence of reliable data on case-mix severity, it is impossible to interpret these changes more fully. Notwithstanding this, the observed decrease in inter-agency communication is likely to be
detrimental to the continuity of patient care.

Perhaps the most conclusive evidence that the implementation of the contract may have detrimentally had an impact on patient care for individuals with complex care needs, was the observed increase in the time taken to start of triage. Unfortunately, data were not available for all patients (any cause) to explore if this pattern was specific to patients with complex needs, or simply reflecting more widespread changes. Although patients with cancer had to wait longer until the start of triage, once the clinical care had commenced there was no change in the length of time taken to resolve the care episode. Difficulties in some providers meeting the national quality requirement response times have recently been highlighted. This finding is particularly interesting given that Devon Doctors was one of the minority of providers nationally that satisfied this requirement, whereby over 95% of all calls were triaged within 60 minutes (Avery S, personal communication, 2007).

**Strengths and limitations of the study**

This study explored changes in the use of out-of-hours primary care services before and after the implementation of the new contract, for patients with cancer. This group was selected as a proxy for the wider population of patients with complex needs, who may be most at risk under the new arrangements. Working with a large, not-for-profit provider, the researchers had access to a large, relatively stable population of urban and rural areas composed of socioeconomically diverse communities, although with limited ethnic minority representation.

There are several limitations to this study design. First, the observational design does not allow testing of hypotheses or establishment of causal relationships between the implementation of the new contract and changes in service usage. This issue is common to any study seeking to understand the impact of major policy initiatives on the organisation and delivery of healthcare services, which, by the nature and complexity of the policy implementation, are not readily amenable to more controlled empirical designs. Second, the study restricted its cohort to patients with cancer as at the time of sampling, and the information system (Adasta software) used here (and by more than 95% of English out-of-hours providers) only recorded case-mix data through text fields, with each call treated separately and no electronic linkage by patient.

**Implications for clinical practice**

Due to the observational nature of this study, it is only possible to speculate on the explanations for the complex changes observed. The magnitude of the increased demand (all causes) at follow-up is unlikely to be replicated in subsequent years as the rationalisation of service operating hours is complete. Despite this, the study found evidence that quality of care for patients with cancer had been adversely influenced under the new arrangements.

Out-of-hours providers face substantial, practical difficulties in identifying patients with complex needs, and particularly those with palliative care needs (in part, due to the inherent challenge in documenting when curative treatment finishes and palliative care begins). It is vital that the software evolves to allow audit and possible targeting and monitoring of vulnerable groups if key goals, such as ‘around the clock’ effective inter-agency communication, are embedded within NHS primary care policy and practice, are to be realised. Software has recently been developed to support clinicians by automatically linking the current call to details of previous contacts made by the patient. However, increased use of electronic coding (such as Read codes) by health professionals entering clinical data would simplify the process of identifying patients with specific morbidities.

In-hours primary care services also have an important role. Systems already exist to allow special alerts to be sent to out-of-hours services, providing them with vital information to support patients with complex care packages, although previous research has identified that they remain under-used. New incentives outlined in the Quality and Outcomes Framework (2006), including the identification of patients suitable for inclusion on a palliative care register, and regular multidisciplinary team meetings to review such patients, provide an ideal mechanism to facilitate greater use of special alerts to out-of-hours services.
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