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## ABSTRACT

GPs with training in occupational medicine report cases of work-related ill health and sickness absence to The Health and Occupation Reporting network in General Practice (THOR-GP) using an online webform. This report describes the data reported in 2006 and 2007. GPs mainly reported musculoskeletal disorders and mental ill-health. A much larger proportion of the mental ill-health cases were sickness-absence certified, making up 55.9% of the total days certified. Musculoskeletal disorders are the most frequently reported diagnoses of work-related ill health, but mental ill-health is responsible for most work-related sickness absence.
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## INTRODUCTION

In 2006–2007, an estimated 2.2 million people self-reported work-related ill health. Of these cases, approximately three-quarters were musculoskeletal or psychiatric/psychological diagnoses. The sickness absence resulting from these work-related diagnoses (36 million days lost) makes up around one-quarter of the total days lost in the UK. Preventative measures aiming to reduce the burden of work-related ill health and associated sickness absence require information on causal factors and employment sectors at risk. One source of such information is The Health and Occupation Reporting network (THOR), comprising surveillance schemes that collect anonymised case reports of work-related ill health as seen by clinical specialists and occupational physicians.

There is little published research on work-related ill health seen by GPs, although some estimates suggest that over one-third of patients in general practice settings attribute their problems to work. Non-recognition and under-reporting of work-related ill health have also been recognised as important factors within community-based studies, with a lack of vocational occupational medicine training being a possible contributing factor.

THOR-GP (The Health and Occupation Reporting network in General Practice) was established to assess the extent and nature of work-related ill health seen in general practice. This paper describes THOR-GP’s methodology and data collected from the first two full calendar years (2006–2007) of the scheme.

## METHOD

From May 2005 onwards, qualified GPs with additional training in occupational medicine to at least diploma level (DOccMed of the Faculty of Occupational Medicine of The Royal College of Physicians of London) were invited to join THOR-GP. GPs were identified using examination pass lists, physicians’ websites citing areas of specialist interest, and past student intakes from the Diploma course at the Centre for Occupational and...
Environmental Health at the University of Manchester. Recruitment was a continual process, with GPs commencing reporting the month after agreeing to participate. Therefore, reporting started in June 2005, while participant numbers increased until the recruitment target of 300 GPs was reached in April 2006.

THOR-GP reporting, coding, and analytical methods were developed from those within an established THOR scheme, known as ORPRA (Occupational Physicians Reporting Activity). This incorporates newly developed electronic reporting systems using a webform located on the THOR-GP website. GPs complete details of any cases they see in their general practice, which they believe to have been caused or aggravated by work. Webform details include: demographic information (age, sex, and first half of postcode), diagnosis, occupation, industry, and suspected causal agent/task/event. With each reported case GPs provide any additional information on sickness certification issued and patient referrals. GPs are also asked to return a blank report if they have ‘nothing to report’ in any month. Reminders are sent out twice monthly by email to encourage any outstanding cases or ‘nothing to report’ returns.

THOR-GP data from 2006 and 2007 were analysed using SPSS (version 15.0).

**RESULTS**

A total of 267 GPs reported to THOR-GP, returning 2872 cases of work-related ill health (2923 diagnoses, some cases being comorbid), which resulted in 0.4 cases/reporter/month. Mean age of individuals whose cases were reported by GPs was 40.4 years.

Over half the cases reported by GPs were of musculoskeletal disorders, while mental ill-health accounted for almost one-third of the diagnoses. Of all the 2872 cases reported, 50.0% of patients were issued with sickness certification. The proportion issued with certification differed greatly by diagnostic category, with a much larger proportion of those with mental ill-health issued with certification than those with other diagnoses (Table 1). There were 40317 days certified from the reported cases. Reports of mental ill-health were responsible for the majority of these (55.9%).

Within the musculoskeletal category, most reports were of either hand/wrist/arm or lumbar spine/trunk disorders. For mental ill-health diagnoses, GPs mainly reported ‘stress’, and anxiety/depression. Almost 80% of the skin cases were reports of contact dermatitis. Respiratory disease cases consisted of asthma, asthma-related symptoms, or rhinitis. Audiological diagnoses were most often noise-induced hearing loss, while the ‘other’ category included minor trauma and infections not classified elsewhere (for example, ocular diagnoses).

Fewer cases were reported in females (43.7%), and this pattern for fewer female reports was noted in all diagnostic categories apart from mental ill-health where females accounted for 58.5% of cases.

Cases were reported most frequently from the healthcare sector (13.5%), construction (10.6%), public administration and defence (9.5%), and retail (9.5%). The diagnostic case-mix differed greatly between industries: sectors such as construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnoses</th>
<th>Number of diagnoses</th>
<th>Percentage of total diagnoses</th>
<th>Percentage of diagnoses issued with sickness certification</th>
<th>Number of days certified (diagnoses)</th>
<th>Percentage of total days certified (diagnoses)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>14 865</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental ill-health</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>23 099</td>
<td>55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audiological</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other diagnoses</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>1748</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (diagnoses)</td>
<td>2923*</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>41 288*</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some cases are comorbid; therefore, there are more diagnoses than cases and more days certified when diagnoses are analysed separately.
and retail had higher levels of musculoskeletal disorders, whereas industries such as health and social care, public administration and defence, and education had more reports of mental ill-health (Table 2). Notably, hotel and catering had larger proportions of work-related skin conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

**Summary of main findings**

These data highlight the burden of work-related mental ill-health and musculoskeletal disorders, when compared to other morbidities, and in particular the extent to which work-related mental ill-health contributes to sickness absence. Musculoskeletal disorders are the most frequently reported diagnoses, but mental ill-health is responsible for most work-related sickness absence, with over three-quarters of these cases issued with sickness certification making up over half of the total days certified.

**Strengths and limitations of the study**

THOR-GP data will be used to calculate national incidence rates. To do this it is necessary to characterise the THOR-GP denominator and assess how the THOR-GP population compares to the entire UK. Preliminary analyses have been carried out to show how the areas represented by THOR-GP practices compare to the whole of the UK. Office of National Statistics’ data showed that areas represented by THOR-GP practices were almost identical to the whole of the UK in their industrial breakdown (Appendix 1). Office for national Statistics data showed that areas represented by THOR-GP practices were proportionally almost identical to the whole of the UK in their industrial breakdown.18

THOR-GP benefits from the contribution of reporters who are all medically-qualified practitioners with additional training in occupational medicine to consider work and its attribution in relation to ill health. This may mean that they differ from other GPs, not only in their distribution, but also in their clinical behaviour and reporting preferences and patterns. Thus, one study has shown that GPs working part-time in occupational medicine issued certificates of significantly shorter duration.19 THOR-GPs may also differ from other GPs in their working timetables and tasks within their practices. These are all issues currently under investigation.

**Comparison with existing literature**

Mild mental health disorders have also been shown to be responsible for the most days certified from all GP consultations (39.7%; although not solely work-related),20 followed by musculoskeletal disorders (15.4%). All other diagnoses made up the remaining 44.9% of days certified, compared to just 8.2% of the work-related cases reported to THOR-GP. One study found that doctors were more likely to issue a sick note to a patient with a psychological problem because he/she needed one, whereas they would issue certification to a patient with a physical problem to maintain a relationship with them.21 Little information has been published on the proportion of clinical practice that is work related, but estimates range from 39.0% based on patients’ opinions,4 to 7.2%22 and 16.0%10 based on GPs’ case evaluations.

Other THOR schemes are extremely valuable in evaluating trends,23 and assessing hazards in the workplace, but data will only be captured by these schemes if the patient is referred to a clinical specialist or if they have access to an occupational physician. Only a small proportion of the UK workforce has access to occupational health services (12–34%), with OPRA reporting likely to originate from larger industries.14,24 The majority of
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**Table 2. Diagnoses reported by GPs by industry 2006 to 2007.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Musculoskeletal</th>
<th>Mental ill-health</th>
<th>Other cases</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Total percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of diagnoses</td>
<td>Percentage within industry</td>
<td>Number of diagnoses</td>
<td>Percentage within industry</td>
<td>Number of diagnoses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cases</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and social care</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public administration and defence</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel and catering</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other industries</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some cases are comorbid; therefore, there are more diagnoses than cases.*
the population has access to a GP, and GPs are usually the first port of call for patients with any sort of ill health. GPs are also in the unique position of being able to provide additional information on sickness absence. Therefore, THOR-GP may give a truer picture of the national burden of work-related ill health than that reported by other groups of physicians in the UK.

**Implications for clinical practice and future research**

THOR-GP highlights the potential for data collected from general practice on work-related ill health and sickness absence. Such information will make an important contribution to building up a picture of the interaction between work and health and the identification of workplace hazards and populations at risk. Therefore, this is valuable in planning health interventions to reduce risk to employees and absence from work. The variation in case-mix between industries illustrates that measures put in place to prevent work-related ill health need to be made to fit the specific industries rather than being a blanket policy approach.

**Appendix**

Additional information can be found in the online version of this article.
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Appendix 1. Industrial employment of the population of combined output areas (England, Scotland, and Wales) compared to those represented by THOR-GP practices.

The geographical output areas for the whole of England, Scotland and Wales were pooled together and data provided by the Office for National Statistics gave a proportional breakdown of this population by major industrial group. This was compared to the industrial breakdown of the geographical output areas represented by THOR-GP practices. Interpretation: preliminary data are encouraging and suggest that, using these categories, GPs reporting to THOR-GP are representative of the national distribution. THOR-GP = The Health and Occupation Reporting network in General Practice.