Decreasing incidence of adenotonsillar problems in Dutch general practice: real or artefact?
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ABSTRACT

Background
The incidence of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids appears to be decreasing in the Netherlands. It is uncertain whether this is a ‘real’ decrease in the incidence of disease or an ‘artefact’.

Aim
To investigate possible causes of the decreasing incidence of adenotonsillar problems among Dutch children.

Design of study
Observational.

Setting
A nationally representative general practice database.

Method
Incidence rates were calculated over 2002–2005 among children aged 0–14 years. Multilevel Poisson regression analyses were used to examine the following possible causes of changing incidence rates: change in recording (more substitution codes), change in the demand for care (fewer visits to the GP), and change in the supply of care (fewer antibiotic prescriptions and referrals). Indications for a ‘real’ change in the incidence of disease were examined by calculating incidence rates of other clinical manifestations of microbial pathogens that may cause adenotonsillar problems.

Results
The incidence rate decreased significantly (P = 0.017) from 3.0 to 1.3 per 1000 children per year. Correcting for demand for and supply of care led to a smaller decline in yearly incidence, from 2.9 to 1.7 per 1000 children per year (P = 0.105). No clearly similar trend was found in other clinical manifestations of viruses and bacteria that may cause adenotonsillar problems.

Conclusion
Part of the declining trend can be explained by a change in the demand for and supply of care, but no apparent causal clue emerged for the residual declining trend in the incidence of disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Adenotonsillar problems, notably obstructive hypertrophy and infection, are very common in early childhood and an important reason for parents to visit the GP with their child. Recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids can be a source of both upper and lower respiratory tract infections. Hypertrophy can lead to sleep-disordered breathing, eating disorders, and even growth problems.1-5

The relationship between hypertrophy and recurrent infections of adenotonsillar tissue is unclear. Although hypertrophy is associated with recurrent infections of adenotonsillar tissue, many children present hypertrophy in the absence of infection.1-4 Some suggest that the tonsils and adenoids may only appear to be large due to their...
prominence or relative size in the throat during childhood.7 Recent magnetic resonance imaging studies, however, indicate that the tonsils and adenoids grow proportionally to the skeletal structures during normal child development.8,9

Treatment options for adenotonsillar problems in general practice include watchful waiting, symptomatic medication, antibiotics, or referral to an otorhinolaryngologist. Recurrent tonsillitis, as well as obstructive sleep apnoea due to adenotonsillar hypertrophy, are important indications for (adeno)tonsillectomy, a common surgical procedure on children. There is an ongoing debate about the proper indications for (adeno)tonsillectomy, resulting in widely varying surgical rates.10

The few studies that examined the incidence of this health problem in general practice indicate, at least for the Netherlands, that the incidence of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids among the total population is decreasing.11,12 Studies that examined acute tonsillitis in general practice found decreasing overall consultation rates in the UK (1994–2000)13 and in Sweden (1999–2005).14 Considering these decreasing rates, the question arises whether there is a ‘real’ decrease in the incidence of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids, or whether it is an ‘artefact’ originated by other causes than the incidence of disease.

In an earlier paper by the current authors, four categories of possible causes of changing incidence rates in general practice were outlined: changes in recording, changes in the demand for care, changes in the supply of care, and ‘real’ changes in the incidence of a disease.15 Based on this categorisation, the following hypotheses were put forward.

Changes in recording
A shift in recording might explain the decrease in hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids. GPs might have replaced the diagnostic code for this particular health problem with other codes.

Changes in the demand for care
The overall consultation frequency of Dutch children aged 0–14 years decreased from 1987 to 2001.16 This trend may have continued and may explain the decrease in adenotonsillar problems.

Changes in the supply of care
Dutch GPs usually work according to guidelines. In 1999 the guideline ‘Acute sore throat’ was revised, advocating restraint in prescribing antibiotics.17 Recommendations for referring to an otorhinolaryngologist also changed, now requiring four (instead of three) severe episodes of tonsillitis per year.17 These policy changes might have reduced the number of consultations for this specific health problem. The fewer children who are treated with antibiotics or (adeno)tonsillectomy, the less parents are inclined to visit the GP with their child for these treatments.

Changes in the incidence of a disease
Viruses and bacteria are important pathogens in the aetiology of hypertrophy and infections of the tonsils/adenoids. Viruses dominate in preschool children.18 Viral pathogens are: adenovirus, parainfluenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus, rhinovirus, Epstein–Barr virus, and herpes simplex virus. Bacterial species that have been isolated are: Haemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus pneumonia, and Staphylococcus aureus.18–30 A decrease in the incidence of these pathogens could explain a decrease in adenotonsillar problems. The present study uses a national general practice database to explore the trend in the incidence of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids, and the causes of any changes identified, among children aged 0–14 years in the Netherlands.

METHOD
Data set
Data were used from the electronic medical records of Dutch general practices participating in the Netherlands Information Network of General Practice (LINH) during 2002–2005.31,32 GPs within this network code consultations, prescriptions, and referrals on a routine basis using the International Classification of Primary Care (ICPC).32 All ICPC codes were grouped into episodes, either by EPICON (a new record linkage method for contact-oriented electronic medical records) or by GPs (for episode-oriented electronic medical records).34–36 Only practices that met predefined criteria for accuracy and
completeness were included in the analyses; this resulted in 69 practices in 2002, 66 in 2003, 43 in 2004, and 42 in 2005. The included practices are considered representative for all Dutch practices regarding urbanisation, practice type (single-handed or group practice), and region. Further details on this dataset have been described elsewhere.13 For the current study, a subset was selected from the total dataset that includes only data from the population between 0 and 14 years of age. This subset was used for all analyses.

**Study population**

A total of 80,836 patients (41,512 males and 39,324 females) aged 0–14 years was available for this analysis. Age was measured on 1 July in the year concerned. This population is representative for the equivalent Dutch population (aged 0–14 years) regarding age and sex.37

**Outcome**

The main outcome variable was the yearly incidence of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids (ICPC code R90). Generally, GPs use this code for recurrent tonsillitis (at least three incidences per year) or obstructive hypertrophy. The total number of patients aged 0–14 years with a new diagnosis of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids (R90) was 507 in 2002, 451 in 2003, 236 in 2004, and 202 in 2005.

Indications for a ‘real’ change in the incidence of disease were examined by estimating incidence rates of clusters of other diseases that share the same pathogens with adenotonsillar problems. These clusters were composed as follows:

- based on the literature, the major microbial pathogens of hypertrophy and infections of the tonsils/adenoids were listed;18–30
- next, other frequent clinical manifestations of these pathogens were looked for and the corresponding ICPC codes selected. Clinical manifestations involving a general ICPC code, such as coughing (R05), were excluded; and
- for each pathogen a cluster of ICPC codes was composed (Appendix 1).

**Explanatory variables**

Possible changes in recording were examined by composing a cluster of possible substitution codes for ICPC code R90 (Appendix 2). The overall consultation frequency was used as a measure for the demand for care, whereas changes in the supply of care were measured by referrals to an otorhinolaryngologist and prescriptions of antibiotics. Table 1 gives a detailed description of these explanatory variables.

**Incidence rates**

Raw and adjusted incidence rates were calculated. The raw rates were computed as the total number of new episodes of a (cluster of) health problem(s) divided by the mid-year population (that is, the average of the population aged 0–14 years at the beginning and the end of a year, which is used as an estimate for the observed person-years at risk). The mid-year population was 54,437 in 2002, 53,238 in 2003, 32,151 in 2004, and 30,576 in 2005. The episodes were weighted for the recording period of each practice within each year to account for holidays, etcetera (198 of the 220 included practice-years covered a complete year and 22 covered slightly less than a year).

Adjusted incidence rates were calculated to (a) correct for confounding, and (b) estimate the effect of the explanatory variables on the incidence rates. To correct for confounding, a basic model was designed that corrects for age, sex, length of recording, and clustering. (The correction for clustering is necessary from a statistical point of view; it means that the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw incidence of substitution</td>
<td>Total number of new episodes of substitution codes in 1 year per patient</td>
<td>288.12 to 364.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cluster</td>
<td>divided by total mid-year population</td>
<td>(per 1000 children per year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation frequency</td>
<td>Total number of consultations* per practice per year divided by</td>
<td>0.54 to 3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>practice mid-year population</td>
<td>(per child per practice per year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral frequency</td>
<td>Total number of referrals to an otorhinolaryngologist for a new episode</td>
<td>0.00 to 21.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of ‘hypertrophy/recurrent infections of tonsils/adenoids’ per practice per</td>
<td>(per 1000 children per practice per year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>year divided by practice mid-year population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics frequency</td>
<td>Total number of antibiotic prescriptions for a new episode of ‘hypertrophy/</td>
<td>0.00 to 75.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>recurrent infections of tonsils/adenoids’ per practice per year divided by</td>
<td>(per 1000 children per practice per year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>practice mid-year population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*GPs assigned an International Classification of Primary Care (ICPC) code to each problem presented during a consultation. Total number of consultations was measured as the total number of ICPC codes.
incidence rates are corrected for the fact that repeated observations of a health problem are clustered within patients and within practices.) The basic model estimates the incidence for an 8-year-old patient (mean age of this health problem in the population) and has been described in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{13}

To estimate the effect of each additional explanatory variable on the corrected incidence rates, the explanatory variables were entered stepwise into the basic model. Variables were only included if they (a) increased or decreased over time in the expected direction based on the raw analyses, and (b) were significantly associated with hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids (according to the 95% confidence intervals [CIs] of the rate ratio [RR]). For each of these models, a test was carried out for a linear trend over time. In the final model, the consultation, referral, and frequency of antibiotic prescriptions were added (in this order) to the basic model. Multilevel Poisson regression models (MLwiN 2.02) were used to estimate the adjusted incidence rates.

RESULTS

Overall trend

Figure 1 shows the annual adjusted incidence rates of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids among children aged 0–14 years. Between 2002 and 2005, this rate decreased from 2.96 (95% CI = 2.16 to 4.07) to 1.27 (95% CI = 0.67 to 2.41) per 1000 children per year. The decrease is significant for the total group of children ($P = 0.017$), and for males ($P = 0.021$) and females ($P = 0.022$) separately. Note that these rates were adjusted and scaled (that is, the age variables were centred at 8 years), and therefore different from raw rates.

Raw rates

The raw rates of consultations, referrals, and antibiotic prescribing declined over 2002–2005. For instance, the total annual consultation frequency decreased from 1.98 in 2002 to 1.79 in 2005. Instead of the hypothesised increase of substitution codes, a decrease was found in the incidence rate of the cluster of substitution codes. Even when a cluster of only upper respiratory codes was computed, a decrease was noticed (Figure 2). Based on these raw rates, it was possible to reject the hypothesis that the decrease was caused by a shift in recording. Therefore, this variable was not included in the multilevel Poisson regression analyses.

Adjusted rates

Table 2 shows the rate ratios for the other explanatory variables: consultation frequency, referral frequency, and antibiotics frequency. All associations are positive (that is, all rate ratios are larger than one), which means that an increase (or decrease) in one of the explanatory variables goes with an increase (or decrease) in the number of new episodes of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids over the examined 4-year period. These rate ratios can be interpreted in such a way that a mean decrease of one consultation per child per practice per year (for instance, when the consultations per child decrease from three to two per year) is associated with a 23% decrease in the risk of new episodes of hypertrophy and recurrent infections.

### Table 2. Associations between the explanatory variables and new episodes of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Rate ratio\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultation frequency</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.02 to 1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral frequency</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.07 to 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics frequency</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.02 to 1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Based on the final model.
infections of the tonsils/adenoids over the examined 4-year period. All three variables are significantly associated with hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids (that is, the 95% CIs exclude 1).

Thus, these three variables have the potential to explain the decrease in adenotonsillar problems, because (a) they declined, and (b) they are associated with these problems. Figure 3 shows the impact of each of the variables on the adjusted incidence rate of hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids. Each variable explains a small part of the decrease of this incidence rate. The linear trend over time was no longer significant after referral ($P = 0.075$) and antibiotics frequency ($P = 0.105$) were entered into the model.

Clinical manifestations of pathogens found in hypertrophy and infections of the tonsils/adenoids

Figure 4 illustrates clusters of other clinical manifestations related to the same microbial pathogens held responsible for adenotonsillar problems, which show no linear decrease over time. The shape of the trend over time corresponds to the trend observed in the cluster of respiratory diseases (Figure 2) and to the decline in adenotonsillar problems over the period of 2002–2004; whereas the rise of clinical manifestations in 2005 contrasts with the decrease in adenotonsillar problems in 2005 (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

Summary of main findings

The incidence of recurrent infections and hypertrophy of the tonsils/adenoids among Dutch children decreased over the years 2002–2005. Part of the decline can be explained by changes in the demand for and supply of care during this period, whereas no indication was found for a change in recording. No clear indication was found for a ‘real’ change in the incidence of disease.

Strengths and limitations of the study

This study illustrates the potential use of data derived from electronic medical records in general practice. A large network of general practices, and therefore a large number of patients, were included in the analyses. The study population was representative of the Dutch population regarding age and sex. Furthermore, the database enabled study of the most obvious hypotheses for the observed decrease in adenotonsillar problems. A relatively new approach was used to analyse the data. Both the multilevel analyses and the composition of clusters of clinical manifestations of pathogens are applicable to other registries.

The study was limited, however, to the available evidence in the database. Hence, the decrease might be explained by other factors that were not measured in this study, such as changes in day care attendance. Furthermore, the study was limited to a 4-year period, whereas changes that occurred before this time frame may have caused the decrease. In particular, the large decrease in (adeno)tonsillectomy rates during the 1970s and 1980s in the Netherlands may have caused a general decrease in attention for adenotonsillar problems among parents, GPs, and otorhinolaryngologists. This historical change in supply may still have a lingering effect on the current incidence rates.

Furthermore, the change in actual pathogens that cause the disease was not measured. Instead, a proxy was used consisting of clusters of clinical manifestations of pathogens found in hypertrophy and infections of the tonsils/adenoids, which has several disadvantages. First, it is not possible to be certain that the identified viruses and bacteria actually cause adenotonsillar problems, because the presence of an
organism in a patient's throat and its culture from a swab does not mean that it is pathogenic. Second, other microbial pathogens may also cause the clinical manifestations that were used in this study. In particular, some of the clinical manifestations could be caused by influenza viruses. Within the ICPC, a separate code is used for (proven) influenza (R80). Probably, GPs use some of the codes that are included in the clinical manifestations to record influenza-like-illness (which can be caused by many viruses, including influenza viruses, rhinovirus, and respiratory syncytial virus). In 2003, there were two influenza epidemics, the first one started in February, and the second one in November. The latter has probably led to higher rates of influenza-like illness in 2003, and lower rates in 2004, which might explain a small part of the decrease of clinical manifestations in 2004. This reasoning exemplifies that the incidence rates of the clusters of clinical manifestations should be interpreted cautiously; they only give an indication and cannot provide any evidence of a change in the incidence of major pathogens held responsible for adenotonsillar problems.

Finally, not all referrals and prescriptions were linked to an ICPC code by the GPs. Each year, ICPC codes were missing for 3–5% of the referrals to otorhinolaryngologists and for 7–10% of the antibiotic prescriptions. Therefore, the referral and antibiotics frequency might have been underestimated, but there is no reason to believe that higher estimates would have changed the conclusions from this study.

Comparison with existing literature
The findings of this study raise the question of whether the decrease of adenotonsillar problems is related to an overall decline in upper respiratory tract diseases. Both adenotonsillar problems and other upper respiratory tract diseases declined over 2002–2004, whereas opposite trends were observed over 2005. The opposite trend is over 1 year only; a longer period of observation is needed to reveal whether adenotonsillar problems show patterns over time that are generally similar to upper respiratory tract infections.

Over the last decade, decreasing consultation rates of various respiratory tract infections in general practice have been reported in the Netherlands, the UK, Sweden, and the US. Reductions in antibiotics prescriptions account only partly for this decline, because the decrease in antibiotic prescribing for patients presenting with a respiratory tract infection is much smaller than the decrease in consultation rates. Thus, the question why patients present less frequently with respiratory tract infections remains largely unanswered. Some suggest that this decline is caused by a reduced inclination of patients to present respiratory illness to their GP, whereas others suggest a 'real' decrease in the incidence of respiratory tract infections. The results of the present study appear to be in favour of the last hypothesis, because the decrease in the overall consultation frequency had only little impact on the observed decline in adenotonsillar problems (Figure 3). The basic model, however, controls for clustering within practices, which may already reduce some of the variance caused by differences in consultation frequency.

Furthermore, the study controlled for the total consultation frequency among children, while the decline could be respiratory specific (that is, parents are only less inclined to visit the GP with their child for respiratory problems). Hence, the actual impact of consultation frequency might be somewhat larger. Nevertheless, the present findings demonstrate that consultation frequency provides no conclusive explanation for the decline in adenotonsillar problems.

In the present study, a decline was observed in both the antibiotics and the referral frequency for hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids among children over the years 2002–2005. This finding corresponds with declining antibiotic prescribing trends for acute tonsillitis, and with decreasing referral rates for hypertrophy and recurrent infections of the tonsils/adenoids among Dutch children during 1987 to 2001.

Implications for clinical practice and future research
A substantial decline in adenotonsillar problems was observed among children over 2002–2005, partly explained by factors that are not causally linked to the aetiology of the disease itself. For the residual decline, no apparent causal clue has yet emerged. It is therefore premature to formulate implications for GPs or otolaryngologists. More research is needed to assess causes for the residual, unexplained decline currently escaping attention. In particular, future research should focus on the question of whether the observed decrease is part of an overall decline in upper respiratory tract infections resulting from a changing pattern in the occurrence of major pathogens in the population. Further insight into this issue is especially important for planning healthcare services, because upper respiratory tract infections form a substantial part of the workload in general practice, ear, nose, and throat surgery, and paediatrics.
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Adenovirus: coryza (R74), otitis media (H71–H72), bronchitis (R78), pneumonia (R81), diarrhoea (D73), pharyngitis (R74) conjunctivitis (F70), tonsillitis (R22/R76)

Parainfluenza virus: laryngotracheobronchitis (R77), pneumonia (R81), bronchiolitis (R78), otitis media (H71-H72), sinusitis (R75), upper respiratory tract infection (R74), tonsillitis (R22/R76)

Respiratory syncytial virus: bronchiolitis (R78), pneumonia (R81), coryza (R74), sinusitis (R75), otitis media (H71-H72), tonsillitis (R22/R76)

Rhinovirus: coryza (R74), acute sinusitis (R75), otitis media (H71–H72), pharyngitis (R74), bronchitis (R78), asthma attack (R96), tonsillitis (R22/R76)

Epstein–Barr virus: otitis media (H71–H72), diarrhoea (D73), upper respiratory tract infection (R74), infectious mononucleosis (A75), pneumonia (R81), tonsillitis (R22/R76)

Herpes simplex virus: gingivostomatitis (D82), oral lesions (S71), keratitis (F73), conjunctivitis (F70), blepharitis (F72), laryngitis (R77), tonsillitis (R22/R76)

Haemophilus influenzae: acute sinusitis (R75), otitis media (H71–H72), acute conjunctivitis (F70), exacerbation chronic bronchitis (R78), pneumonia (R81), meningitis (N71), epiglottis (R77), septic arthritis (L70), osteomyelitis (L70), cellulitis (S10), tonsillitis (R22/R76)

Streptococcus pyogenes: sinusitis (R75), cellulitis (S10), otitis media (H71–H72), acute rheuma (K71), acute glomerulonephritis (U88), impetigo (S84), cellulitis (S10), meningitis (N71) tonsillitis (R22/R72)

Streptococcus pneumoniae: pneumonia (R81), meningitis (N71), sinusitis (R75), otitis media (H71–H72), tonsillitis (R22/R76)

Staphylococcus aureus: furunkel (S10), impetigo (S84), pneumonia (R81), folliculitis (S11), tonsillitis (R22/R76)

ICPC = International Classification of Primary Care.