Management of hypertension: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ* 2011; **343**: d4891.

3. Lovibond K, Jowett S, Barton P, *et al*. Cost-effectiveness of options for the diagnosis of high blood pressure in primary care: a modelling study. *Lancet* 2011; **378**(9798): 1219–1230.
4. McManus RJ, Mant J, Bray EP, *et al*. Telemonitoring and self-management in the control of hypertension (TASMINH2): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010; **376**(9736): 163–172.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp13X669103

Authors' response

We agree with A'Court and colleagues that the consequence of diagnosing greater numbers of people with other chronic conditions in addition to hypertension may be associated with increasing difficulties in providing access.¹ The argument is that as more patients with chronic disease require regular management, the demand for appointments with GPs and practice nurses increases. This is important since some other chronic conditions are under recorded, including for example chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, and obesity. The implications are that tackling chronic disease in this country is going to be challenging unless the capacity of primary care is increased. We also agree, however, that changing methods of diagnosis may help to reduce the numbers of people with false positive diagnoses, as may be the case in hypertension.

The suggestion that structured equation modelling offers an alternative analytic approach is interesting. However, although structured equation modelling would be possible, the fact that the associations are at the population level would mean that inferences about causality could only be supported at the population level. Perhaps this is an approach that could be used in future studies that include additional data.

Richard Baker,

University of Leicester, Department of Health Sciences, 22–28 Princess Road West, Leicester, LE1 6PT. E-mail: rb14@le.ac.uk

M John Bankart, Mohammed S Anwar, and Nicola Walker,

University of Leicester, Department of Health Sciences, Leicester.

Arch Mainous II,

Medical University of South Carolina, Family Medicine, Charleston, SC, US.

REFERENCE

1. A'Court A, Atherton H, Dalton A, *et al*. Are there enough GPs in England to detect hypertension and maintain access? [Letter]. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013; **63**(612): 346.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp13X669112

Perinatal obsessive–compulsive disorder

I found this article by Challacombe and Roe interesting and timely.¹ The idea of harming your baby can be terrifying for a new mother and the distress is aggravated by the fact that such thoughts 'should not be felt' by a caring mother. Clearly the difference between obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) and other more serious forms of mental illness is that with OCD there is no desire to carry out the thoughts.

I have been in practice for over 30 years in Derby and feel OCD in general is underdiagnosed. When I see a patient with anxiety, problem drinking, or depression, I ask if they have problems with excessive checking or contamination fears. Although I have not kept any figures, a significant number have OCD; some for years and there is often well-meant collusion with friends or relatives. Questions about OCD could be incorporated into anxiety/depression health questionnaires.

OCD is often a chronic illness. Even after appropriate referral and therapy, I find relapse is common. I now negotiate treatment goals with the aim of 'minimising its effects on everyday living'. Patients seem relieved about this, as they get frustrated and disappointed that their problem was not 'cured' by therapy. They are often thorough and conscientious and can make excellent parents and valued workers.

Geoffrey Allen,

E-mail: allen_chapel@btinternet.com

REFERENCE

1. Challacombe FL, Wroe AL. A hidden problem: consequences of the misdiagnosis of perinatal obsessive–compulsive disorder. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013; **63**(610): 275–276.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp13X669095

Registrar feedback on 'Formative assessments in medical education'

I write in support of the article 'Formative assessments in medical education' by Dr Lakasing.¹

I love my job as a GP registrar and look forward to qualification in a few months. Despite the contract wranglings, bad press, and the ever-increasing workload, I feel optimistic and enthused about the future.

I support most aspects of the ePortfolio process from the AKT and CSA exams to the Case-based Discussion and Consultation Observation Tool assessments. I think the patient satisfaction questionnaires and multisource feedback assessments are crucial aspects of good training, as these collate the views of the many people we are working respectively for and with.

I completely agree with Dr Lakasing about the negative impact of the requirement for writing huge volumes of reflective entries. I believe that potentially excellent GPs with the ability and energy to be involved in innovation and improvement within primary care are shackled by the need to endlessly document reflections in accordance with the curriculum. I also think that the quantity of trainee reflection must be hugely wearing for GP trainers and must put off good people from doing the job. Given the pending rise in need for GP trainers, as a profession we will need all the good people we can get.

Another problem with the 'log entries' is the variability of volume required across deaneries. In the London deanery, registrars do two entries per month. In Oxford, Kent, Surrey, and Sussex deaneries the recommended minimum is two per week. This creates inequity of training and I would suggest that the London deanery has nearer the right balance.

The recent Francis report identified the adverse consequences of box ticking on clinical care. I would suggest that excessive box ticking has the same negative impact on training. Coerced excessive written introspection erodes professionalism and motivation. It has the potential to encourage gaming and creative writing among trainees trying to keep up in a numbers game with their peers.

Compared to my friends in other specialities such as medicine, paediatrics, and psychiatry, I feel we GP registrars have a superior training programme and I am