Yonder: a diverse selection of primary care relevant research stories from beyond the mainstream biomedical literature

Physician assistants, timewasting, nursing homes, and social media

**Physician assistants.** NHS primary care is facing huge workforce shortages and lots of important people have been making noises about ‘changing the workforce skill mix’ and creating new non-medical roles to lighten the currently heavy load on GPs across the country. One suggestion has been the use of physician assistants (PAs). This role exist in many parts of the world, although definitions, education, and legislation vary widely from country to country. In order to support policymaking regarding PAs in primary care, a Dutch research team recently sought to understand factors influencing the decisions of GPs and managers to train and employ PAs within their organisations.1 Their interviews highlight that the main aims were to substitute or supplement care for minor ailments. The decisions, however, often involved little planning or role definition. Role standardisations, long-term political planning, and support from professional associations were the key suggestions made by the authors.

**Timewasting.** Sports fans will be familiar with this term, which usually refers to a practice carried out by teams winning by a slim margin. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to ‘choose well’ in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the slim margin. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints. Recognising this worry, a Cambridge research team recently explored this using data from 52 video elicitation interviews from UK primary care.2 They found that patients experience a moral pressure to choose well in a cultural context in which healthcare is conceived as a limited good. It also captures, though, the feeling that many patients have that they shouldn’t trouble their doctor with what they consider to be their own trivial complaints.

**Social media.** It can be very easy to get into a bubble on social media and surround yourself with like-minded individuals with similar backgrounds. As a clinician, some of the most useful and informative interactions I’ve had on social media have been with patients and not the professional colleagues in my network. A US research team recently reviewed the use of social media by patients and found that regulation of information was a particular challenge.3 Worryingly, they highlight that content is often generated by physicians in order to increase practice volume. Although the exchange of ideas on social media has the potential to inform patients and encourage them to be more involved in their own health care, the authors conclude that better regulation is needed.
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