struggled to locate stores with GFF, and 27% reported difficulties identifying GFF.[4]

In some CCG consultations, low-quality information reflects press reports claiming *'... thousands of prescriptions ... for custard creams, doughnuts, and pizzas'.* Anything that prejudices adherence to a strict GF diet has negative implications for long-term health and NHS resources, and NICE recommends access to GFF prescriptions.[5] Natural alternatives, such as rice, are less nutritious, with 90% less iron and 82% less calcium than bread.[6] In an era of rising health inequalities, protecting access to GFF on prescription at no cost to the family should remain a fundamental principle of care for children with CD. Innovative models for providing GFF and a national NHS procurement process could better reduce costs.

Mark Tighe,

Consultant Paediatrician, Poole Hospital.
E-mail: mpt195@hotmail.com

Sarah Sleet,

Chief Executive, Coeliac UK.

Sarah Currell,

Paediatric Dietitian, Poole.

John Martin,

GP, Taunton.

John Puntis,

Consultant Paediatric Gastroenterologist.

REFERENCES

1. Department of Health. Open consultation. Availability of gluten-free foods on NHS prescription. March 2017. https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/availability-of-gluten-free-foods-on-nhs-prescription (accessed 5 Jul 2007).
2. Coeliac UK. Campaign with us on prescriptions. https://www.coeliac.org.uk/get-involved/campaign-with-us/campaign-for-us-on-prescriptions/ (accessed 5 Jul 2007).
3. Burden M, Mooney PD, Blanshard RJ, *et al.* Cost and availability of gluten-free food in the UK: in store and online. *Postgrad Med J* 2015; **91(1081):** 622–626.
4. Rashid M, Cranney A, Zarkadas M, *et al.* Celiac disease: evaluation of the diagnosis and dietary compliance in Canadian children. *Pediatrics* 2005; **116(6):** e754–e759.
5. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Clinical knowledge summary. Coeliac disease. 2016. https://cks.nice.org.uk/coeliac-disease (accessed 5 Jul 2017).
6. O'Connor A. An overview of the role of bread in the UK diet. *Nutr Bull* 2012; **37(3):** 193–212.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X691829

Increased survival means increasing roles for primary care after cancer diagnosis

Morgan *et al* point out that cancer survival rates in the UK are improving.[1] It is timely to consider the roles that GPs play following a cancer diagnosis. We would like to report highlights from a workshop (Cancer in Primary Care International Network [Ca-PRI] annual scientific meeting, Edinburgh, 2017), which explored the roles of GPs following a cancer diagnosis in the Netherlands, Canada, the UK, and Australia, and showcased current improvement initiatives and research.

Internationally, the roles that GPs play in cancer care are expanding,[2] but GP input during treatment and survivorship phases is highly variable within and between countries.[3] In the UK, a formal cancer care review is remunerated under the current GP contract, but there is evidence that the review is often unstructured, and is perceived to be of limited use.[4] Lack of standardised approaches in primary care following a cancer diagnosis are problematic not only because of the dramatic increase in cancer prevalence, but also because of the increasingly chronic nature of the disease and the high prevalence of comorbid diseases. Consequently, more cancer patients and their partners consult their GPs more frequently over longer periods of time.[5] There is a political and professional will to provide comprehensive, cost-effective care following a cancer diagnosis, and a sense that primary care is uniquely placed to contribute to this.

Indicators include improving patient–professional communication, shared decision making, and continuity of primary care after a cancer diagnosis;[6] developing guidelines to standardise survivorship care (and promoting adherence to existing guidelines); developing specific primary-care-based behavioural and lifestyle interventions to improve outcomes in cancer survivors; improving primary/secondary care communication; and developing digital systems to support clinical information exchange, patient self-management, for example, the 'OncoKompas',[7] and to improve recurrence detection, for example, the ASSICA melanoma intervention.[8]

Rosalind Adam,

GP Clinical Academic Fellow, University of Aberdeen.
E-mail: rosalindadam@abdn.ac.uk

Niek de Wit,

Professor of Primary Care, University Medical Centre, Utrecht.

Patti Groome,

Principal Investigator, Cancer Care and Epidemiology, Queen's Cancer Research Institute, Ontario.

Charles Helsper,

Clinical Lecturer (Primary Care), Utrecht University.

Mary McBride,

Clinical Professor, BC Cancer Agency, University of British Columbia.

Eila Watson,

Professor in Supportive Cancer Care, Oxford Brookes University.

Jan Wind,

Clinical Lecturer, Academic Medical Centre Amsterdam, University of Amsterdam.

REFERENCES

1. Morgan I, Wilkes S. Improving early diagnosis of cancer in UK general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 2017; DOI: https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X691265.
2. Rubin G, Berendsen A, Crawford SM, *et al.* The expanding role of primary care in cancer control. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; **16(12):** 1231–1272.
3. Jiang L, Lofters A, Moineddin R, *et al.* Primary care physician use across the breast cancer care continuum: CanIMPACT study using Canadian administrative data. *Can Fam Physician* 2016; **62(10):** e589–e598.
4. Walter FM, Usher-Smith JA, Yadlapalli S, Watson E. Caring for people living with, and beyond, cancer: an online survey of GPs in England. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015; doi: 10.3399/bjgp15X687409.
5. Heins M, Schellevis F, Rijken M, *et al.* Partners of cancer patients consult their GPs significantly more often with both somatic and psychosocial problems. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 2013; **31(4):** 203–208.
6. de Wit NJ. A 'time out consultation' in primary care for elderly patients with cancer: better treatment decisions by structural involvement of the general practitioner. *Eur J Cancer Care* 2017; DOI: 10.1111/ecc.12711.
7. Duineveld LA, Wieldraaijer T, van Asselt KM, *et al.* Improving care after colon cancer treatment in the Netherlands, personalised care to enhance quality of life (I CARE study): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2015; **16:** 284.
8. Murchie P, Allan JL, Brant W, *et al.* Total skin self-examination at home for people treated for cutaneous melanoma: development and pilot of a digital intervention. *BMJ Open* 2015; **5(8):** e007993.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X691841