Choice of first-line antidepressants for depression has been debated in psychiatric journals over the last 9 months, in relation to the widely reported meta-analysis by Cipriani et al in the *Lancet*, comparing 21 antidepressants for efficacy and tolerability. They found that agomelatine, amitriptyline, escitalopram, mirtazapine, paroxetine, venlafaxine, and vortioxetine were more effective than other antidepressants. Three antidepressants with higher efficacy also had relatively high acceptability: agomelatine, escitalopram, and vortioxetine. So should these antidepressants now be considered first-line choices for depression in primary care?

**NETWORK META-ANALYSIS**

The Cipriani group's conclusions should be treated with some caution, as they are based on network meta-analysis (NMA). NMA methodology enables multiple treatments to be compared using both direct comparisons within randomised controlled trials, and indirect comparisons across trials based on a common comparator. So, if antidepressants A and C have each been compared with antidepressant B directly, you can infer how A would perform compared with C through NMA, even if A and C have never been compared in the same trial. However, the inferences from NMAs that some antidepressants are more effective or acceptable than others are not always consistent with direct head-to-head comparisons of drugs within trials. It is therefore important to look also at systematic reviews of trials comparing drugs with each other directly.

This is not the first time Cipriani's group has suggested that escitalopram should be a preferred first-line choice due to its combined higher efficacy and tolerability. In 2009, they published an NMA comparing 12 antidepressants showing similar clinically important differences in favour of escitalopram and sertraline. Following the 2009 study, sertraline prescribing rose significantly while citalopram prescribing levelled off, and that of fluoxetine fell. However, escitalopram prescribing did not increase significantly. That may have been because escitalopram was still under patent and was significantly more expensive, but, since patent expiry, generic escitalopram costs have fallen to match other selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs).

**ESCITALOPRAM**

So why not choose escitalopram first-line? One reason is safety concerns. With citalopram, it can cause significant QTc prolongation, potentially increasing the risk of ventricular arrhythmias, which led to the 2011 Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency warning, restricting use and doses; following which citalopram and escitalopram prescribing both fell. QTc prolongation is less of an issue with sertraline and fluoxetine. Also, some escitalopram comparison studies use low therapeutic doses of escitalopram (for example, 10 mg daily) and non-equivalent significantly higher comparator SSRIs doses (for example, sertraline 200 mg daily), which are known to lack greater efficacy but are associated with poorer tolerance and higher dropout rates. Conversely, comparator studies with less effective low-dose (and so non-dual action) venlafaxine (for example, 75 mg daily) may be used to demonstrate and claim equivalent efficacy. There is still a relative lack of direct head-to-head trial evidence for escitalopram's claimed superiority over other antidepressants, apart from citalopram.

Agomelatine is thought to act through a combination of antagonist activity at 5HT2C receptors and agonist activity at melatonergic MT1/MT2 receptors, which makes it unique among antidepressants, as it does not affect the reuptake of serotonin, norepinephrine (noradrenaline), or dopamine. A meta-analysis of direct head-to-head studies comparing it with SSRIs and serotonin and norepinephrine inhibitors (SNRIs) found that it had similar efficacy, although published trials generally had more favourable results than unpublished trials. Given that a year's treatment costs significantly more, at £390 per annum, than fluoxetine (£7), sertraline (£10), escitalopram (£14), or citalopram (£13), and it requires liver function monitoring, agomelatine should currently be limited to a third-line choice. However, it may be considered as a viable alternative when SSRIs, SNRIs, and mirtazapine are all contraindicated.

Vortioxetine is a serotonin transporter blocker that increases the extracellular concentration of serotonin, dopamine, and norepinephrine, and so acts like an SNRI. A 2017 Cochrane review found no advantage when it was compared with SNRIs, being less effective than duloxetine, although it had less severe adverse effects. The review criticised a relative lack of direct head-to-head comparisons between vortioxetine and the SSRIs, and the reliance placed on the results of NMAs to define its role. Given that a year's treatment costs £360, vortioxetine also should remain a third-line choice.

**MIRTAZAPINE**

What about mirtazapine, which Cipriani et al found to be ranked highly for efficacy, but not so highly for acceptability? It is relatively popular: GP prescribing of mirtazapine first-line for both first ever and recurrent episodes of depression has been increasing steadily since 2003, and by 2017 mirtazapine accounted for 12% of antidepressant prescriptions in England. A 2011 Cochrane systematic review of 29 randomised controlled trials comparing mirtazapine directly with other antidepressants found that mirtazapine was superior to SSRIs at the end of initial treatment over 6 to 12 weeks. Mirtazapine treatment led to a similar frequency of dropouts as SSRIs and tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), although its adverse event profile was unique, characterised by weight gain and sedation in a significant proportion of patients, but fewer gastrointestinal problems and sexual dysfunction than SSRIs.

So how should GPs choose a first-line antidepressant? The 2009 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidance and the British Association for Psychopharmacology (BAP) suggest an SSRI should be considered first, unless there is a history of poor response or unacceptable side effects with SSRIs.

It is important to emphasise that antidepressant treatment is best avoided at the initial consultation if possible, and should only be prescribed if psychological interventions or exercise have either been tried first or are thought to be unsuitable, or the patient has recurrent depression and is asking for drug treatment, or the patient is at risk of developing more severe depression [for example, if they have a history of severe depression]. There are relatively few differences between SSRIs, although paroxetine is best...
avoided unless patients particularly ask for it, given its short half-life, which leads to a greater risk of discontinuation symptoms, and its greater tendency to cause sexual dysfunction and weight gain. Sertraline is probably a safer choice than citalopram or escitalopram due to the QTc prolongation issue and their potential interactions with, for example, methadone, antipsychotics, and erythromycin, although it causes more diarrhoea. Important interactions to consider include paroxetine inhibition of tamoxifen; fluoxetine potentiation of the seizure risk with clozapine; and fluvoxamine potentiation of theophylline and clozapine, through inhibition of hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes.

PROBLEMS WITH THE SSRIs
SSRIs as a class increase the risk of gastrointestinal, uterine, and cerebral bleeding, particularly when taken with aspirin, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or anticoagulants. They should be avoided by patients with increased risks of bleeding, and given together with a protein pump inhibitor for patients with dyspepsia. They are also more likely to cause hypotension, especially for patients taking diuretics. Rarely, concomitant SSRIs and tramadol use can lead to serotonin syndrome. For patients with these relative contraindications, mirtazapine, nortriptyline, or lofexipramine could be a better first choice. Mirtazapine could be chosen if sedation and stimulation of appetite are desired effects, or else a TCA or TCA-type drug such as nortriptyline or lofexipramine, if sedation and weight gain are to be avoided. Mirtazapine should be titrated up from 15 mg daily to at least 30 mg, as 15 mg may help anxiety and insomnia symptoms in the short term, but is sub-therapeutic. Older TCAs should be reserved for when first-line treatment has failed, and monoamine oxidase inhibitors should only be prescribed unless patients particularly ask for it, given its short half-life, which leads to a greater risk of discontinuation symptoms, and its greater tendency to cause sexual dysfunction and weight gain. Sertraline is probably a safer choice than citalopram or escitalopram due to the QTc prolongation issue and their potential interactions with, for example, methadone, antipsychotics, and erythromycin, although it causes more diarrhoea. Important interactions to consider include paroxetine inhibition of tamoxifen; fluoxetine potentiation of the seizure risk with clozapine; and fluvoxamine potentiation of theophylline and clozapine, through inhibition of hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes.
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