we making progress? [Letter]. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X703853>.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X705053>

Raising the profile of academic general practice to our medical students

Lamb and Alberti¹ highlight the disappointing figure that only 3% of medical students associate general practice with being an intellectually challenging career.² I am a medical student in my penultimate year and am hoping to pursue a career in general practice that I believe will be stimulating, varied, and intellectually challenging.

So how have I come to be a part of this 3%? Well, Lamb and Alberti are right that it is the result of experiencing high-level thinking in general practice. I have done a student-selected component in general practice; an intercalation involving general practice research; and I have attended general practice conferences. Having no preselected specialty when I arrived at medical school, I can truly say that my

perspective is a result of this experience.

However, the suggestion that I can only be intellectually challenged in general practice by pursuing an academic training pathway is simply reinforcing the idea that general practice is not enough.

In 2011, Katerndahl *et al* demonstrated that the complexity of care delivered in the average general practice consultation was greater than the hospital specialties observed.³ The average consultation is built upon a multitude of theoretical principles (for example, continuity of care) that makes each encounter a significant academic challenge.

It is time that medical educators acknowledge the academic rigour of general practice itself and therefore teach, assess, and value the high-level thinking required to be a GP. I hope that all students can be given the opportunity to see general practice from this perspective, by making its principles a standard element of the curriculum rather than an added extra.

Molly Dineen,

Medical Student, University of Exeter/St Leonard's Practice, Exeter.
Email: mcd215@exeter.ac.uk

REFERENCES

1. Lamb EI, Alberti H. Raising the profile of academic general practice to our medical students. *Br J*

Gen Pract 2019; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704045>.

2. Royal College of General Practitioners, Medical Schools Council. *Destination GP: medical students' experiences and perceptions of general practice*. London: RCGP, 2017. <https://www.rcgp.org.uk/policy/rcgp-policy-areas/destination-gp.aspx> (accessed 9 Jul 2019).
3. Katerndahl D, Wood R, Jaén CR. Family medicine encounters are more complex than those in cardiology and psychiatry. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2011; **24**(1): 6–15.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X705029>

Correction

In Research by Nelson PA *et al*. Skill-mix change in general practice: a qualitative comparison of three 'new' non-medical roles in English primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704117>, Box 1 had incorrect text in the second column, fifth bullet point. The text should read: 'Limited evidence suggests PAs may provide safe and effective care that is acceptable to patients and that for less medically complex patients, compared to care from a GP, PAs may not increase return visits, tests/prescriptions ordered or referrals made (although total costs of treatment are unknown);⁹'. This has been corrected in the online version.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X705041>