Matthew Bowen, Sarah Marwick, Tom Marshall, Karen Saunders, Sarah Burwood, Asma Yahyouche, Derek Stewart and Vibhu Paudyal

Multimorbidity and emergency department visits by a homeless population:

a database study in specialist general practice

Abstract

Background

Estimating healthcare needs of the homeless is associated with challenges in identifying the eligible population.

Aim

To explore the demographic characteristics, disease prevalence, multimorbidity, and emergency department visits of the homeless population.

Design and setting

EMIS electronic database of patient medical records and Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) data of all 928 patients registered with a major specialist homeless primary healthcare centre based in the West Midlands in England, from the period of October 2016 to 11 October 2017.

Method

Prevalence data on 21 health conditions, multimorbidity, and visits to emergency departments were explored and compared with the general population datasets.

Results

Most homeless people identified were male (89.5%), with a mean age of 38.3 (SD = 11.5) years, and of white British origin (22.1%). Prevalence of substance (13.5%) and alcohol dependence (21.3%), hepatitis C (6.3%), and multimorbidity (21.3%) were markedly higher than in the general population. A third (32.5%) had visited the emergency department in the preceding 12 months. Emergency department visits were associated with a patient history of substance (odds ratio [OR] = 2.69) and alcohol dependence (OR = 3.14).

Conclusion

A high prevalence of substance and alcohol dependence, and hepatitis C, exists among the homeless population. Their emergency department visit rate is 60 times that of the general population and the extent of multimorbidity, despite their lower mean age, is comparable with that of 60–69-year-olds in the general population. Because of multimorbidity, homeless people are at risk of fragmentation of care. Diversification of services under one roof, preventive services, and multidisciplinary care are imperative.

Keywords

epidemiology; general practice; healthcare utilisation; homeless persons.

INTRODUCTION

Homelessness is a widespread issue in the UK,¹ with an estimated 250 000 people known to be homeless in England alone.² More than 4000 people sleep rough on any given night in England, with numbers of rough sleepers rising, particularly in urban areas; in London, for example, the number of rough sleepers has doubled in the last 6 years (up to and including 2017).³

There is a dearth of literature investigating healthcare issues among homeless people in the UK. Findings from international literature suggest that those experiencing homelessness are significantly disadvantaged in achieving and maintaining a healthy lifestyle.⁴ They face up to 12 times higher mortality rates than the general population, mostly due to opioid overdose, accidents, heart failure, and infectious diseases.⁴ The negative health consequences of social exclusion are noted to be greater in females than males.⁴ A UK study in 2012 identified that rough sleepers and those occupying homeless shelters die at an average age of 47 years.⁵ Health status worsens with increasing length of time as homeless.⁶ Historical estimates have suggested that homelessness is independently linked with high emergency department use.⁷ However, there is limited literature exploring the rate of emergency

department visits and the characteristics within homeless populations associated with this increased use of emergency care.

Primary healthcare service provision for homeless people

There has been an emergence of some specialist primary care support for homeless people across the UK. There is at least one such practice in most major cities in the UK that offers primary healthcare centres for homeless people and some general practices have particular expertise in homelessness.⁸

The lack of studies in the UK that have investigated the prevalence of key health conditions necessitates the strengthening of the evidence around the primary healthcare needs of homeless populations. Identifying the burden of disease is often challenging in socially excluded populations as social disadvantage is often not recorded in medical records and the UK general register of births and deaths. Homeless populations also have very limited coverage in routine health surveys due to their often secluded and unstable locations. There is also a need to address the current gap in the range of methodology that has been used to explore the healthcare issues of homeless people. Gathering and analysing healthcare utilisation datasets from a large specialist

M Bowen, MPharm, pre-registration pharmacist; **T Marshall**, PhD, MRCP, FFPH, professor of public health; **A Yahyouche**, DPhil, lecturer in clinical pharmacy; **V Paudyal**, PhD, senior lecturer in clinical pharmacy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham. **S Marwick**, MRCP, lead GP, Health Exchange Birmingham; **K Saunders**, MPH, health & wellbeing programme lead/public health specialist; **S Burwood**, BA, health & wellbeing programme manager (alcohol & drugs), Public Health England, Birmingham. **D Stewart**, PhD, professor of pharmacy practice, Qatar University, Qatar.

Address for correspondence

Vibhu Paudyal, School of Pharmacy, Institute of

Clinical Sciences, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, Sir Robert Aitken Institute for Medical Research, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

Email: v.paudyal@bham.ac.uk

Submitted: 10 October 2018; **Editor's response:** 21 November 2018; **final acceptance:** 14 December 2018.

©British Journal of General Practice

This is the full-length article (published online 2 Jul 2019) of an abridged version published in print.

Cite this version as: **Br J Gen Pract** 2019;

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704609>

How this fits in

Homeless people face extreme social exclusion. There is a dearth of literature in the UK about the healthcare needs of homeless people, and most of the literature around healthcare issues and homelessness are of international origin. This research sought to identify the demographic characteristics, disease prevalence, multimorbidity, and emergency department visits of the registrants of a specialist primary healthcare centre for the homeless, using a large sample size. The findings of this study show that homeless populations are at risk of facing fragmentation of care as a result of high levels of multimorbidity. It demonstrates the need for the provision of preventive health care and multi-sector approaches in addressing homeless people's complex healthcare needs and minimising their use of emergency care.

primary healthcare centre for the homeless can provide useful data for use by primary healthcare service providers, researchers, and decision makers to identify unmet need. It can also aid in the redesigning of services and widening preventive measures for public sector action.

The aim of this study was to explore the demographic characteristics, disease prevalence, multimorbidity, and visits to emergency departments of homeless people.

METHOD

This study was conducted in a specialist primary healthcare centre for the homeless in the West Midlands in England. The healthcare centre provides general practice services to the homeless population. Registrants have access to a GP, nurse practitioners, psychotherapy counsellor, podiatrist, alcohol dependence intervention nurse, and street outreach services. The centre does not provide treatment for substance dependence so patients are referred to a dedicated service based in the city.

The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) and EMIS electronic data of patient medical records were used. QOF is an annual reward programme for general practice achievements, an aspect of which involves the building of disease registers.^{9,10} EMIS is an online database, which is used by most general practices across the UK to store patients' clinical data (<https://www.emishealth.com/products/emis-web/>).

A search function allows the prevalence of

health conditions to be gathered among the practice registrants. For disease prevalence data, all patient records were searched with relevant Read codes.

The data search was undertaken in November 2017 by staff at the general practice with routine access to the datasets using queries specific for a health condition. All data were cleaned and anonymised before being passed to the research team. The prevalence of 21 key health conditions was explored. These conditions included cardiovascular disease, mental health, infection, respiratory, neurological disorders, cancer, and endocrine disorders. For emergency department attendance, a search was run to identify patients' EMIS datasets for the previous 12 months (October 2016 to 11 October 2017). Demographic data including age, sex, ethnicity, and smoking status were extracted. The World Health Organization definition of multimorbidity, *'the coexistence of two or more chronic conditions in the same individual'*, was used.¹¹

All data were stored on secure password-protected computers. Data were analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The comparison of prevalence data across age and sex was undertaken based on the evidence from international literature that health inequality is found to affect socially excluded females and older populations more than the male population.⁴ Comparative data relating to the English or UK general population were taken from a variety of sources including the QOF, national statistics, and published literature. In addition, comparison was made to prevalence data as available in the international literature that related to homeless populations. Binary logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify factors that were associated with patient emergency department attendance. Emergency department attendance in the previous 12 months was used as an outcome variable. Explanatory variables related to disease areas and any demographic characteristics that showed an association ($P \leq 0.25$)¹² with the outcome 'A&E attendance in the last 12 months' in the univariate analysis.

RESULTS

Datasets for all 928 registrants were available.

Demography characteristics

Most registrants were male ($n = 831$; 89.5%), with 97 (10.5%) female registrants. The mean age of registrants was 38.3 (SD = 11.5)

Table 1. Demographics of homeless registrants (N = 928)

Demographic characteristics		Female (n = 97), n (%) ^a	Male (n = 831), n (%) ^a	All registrants (n = 928), n (%)
Age	Mean age (SD), years	34.0 (10.1)	38.8 (11.6)	38.3 (11.5)
	Range	17–81	19–68	17–81
	10–19	5 (5.2)	7 (0.8)	12 (1.3)
	20–29	32 (33.0)	199 (23.9)	231 (24.9)
	30–39 ^b	37 (38.1) ^b	247 (29.7) ^b	284 (30.6) ^b
	40–49	13 (13.4)	224 (27.0)	237 (25.5)
	50–59	8 (8.2)	117 (14.1)	125 (13.5)
	60–69	2 (2.1)	32 (3.9)	34 (3.7)
	70–79	0 (0)	3 (0.4)	3 (0.3)
	80–89	0 (0)	2 (0.2)	2 (0.2)
Total		97 (100%)	831 (100%)	928 (100%)
Ethnicity	Asian/Asian British	3 (3.1)	44 (5.3)	47 (5.1)
	Bangladeshi	0 (0)	4 (0.5)	4 (0.4)
	Chinese	0 (0)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)
	Indian	0 (0)	6 (0.7)	6 (0.6)
	Other Asian	3 (3.1)	21 (2.5)	24 (2.6)
	Pakistani	0 (0)	12 (1.4)	12 (1.3)
	Black/African/Caribbean/black British	8 (8.2)	56 (6.7)	64 (6.9)
	African	4 (4.1)	31 (3.7)	35 (3.8)
	Caribbean	0 (0)	13 (1.6)	13 (1.4)
	Other black	4 (4.1)	12 (1.4)	16 (1.7)
	Mixed/multiple ethnic groups	8 (8.2)	44 (5.3)	52 (5.6)
	Other mixed	4 (4.1)	30 (3.6)	34 (3.7)
	White and Asian	1 (1.0)	3 (0.4)	4 (0.4)
	White and black African	1 (1.0)	1 (0.1)	2 (0.2)
	White and black Caribbean	2 (2.1)	10 (1.2)	12 (1.3)
	White	23 (23.7)	221 (26.6)	244 (26.3)
	White British	18 (18.6) ^b	187 (22.5) ^b	205 (22.1) ^b
	White Irish	1 (1.0)	9 (1.1)	10 (1.1)
	Other white	4 (4.1)	25 (3.0)	29 (3.1)
	Other ethnic group	0 (0)	11 (1.3)	11 (1.2)
	Arab	0 (0)	2 (0.2)	2 (0.2)
	'Any other'	0 (0)	9 (1.1)	9 (1.0)
	Unknown ethnicity or not recorded	55 (56.7)	455 (54.8)	510 (55.0)
Total		97 (100) ^c	831 (100) ^c	928 (100) ^c
Smoking prevalence (proportion of registrants that smoke, per age category)	10–19	3 (6.0)	1 (14.3)	4 (33.3)
	20–29	15 (46.9)	78 (39.0)	93 (40.1)
	30–39	22 (59.5)	134 ^c (54.3)	156 (54.9)
	40–49	5 (38.5)	134 ^c (59.8)	139 (58.6)
	50–59 ^b	5 (62.5) ^b	71 (59.2) ^b	76 (59.4) ^b
	60–69	0 (0)	19 (59)	19 (55.9)
	70–79	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Total	50 (51.5)	437 (52.6)	487 (52.5)

^a% reflects proportion in sex category. ^bModal categories. ^cThe totals are higher than expected as both categories and sub-categories are included here. For example, the number of individuals of a 'white' ethnicity is the total number of 'white British', 'white Irish', and other 'white individuals' added together. SD = standard deviation.

years, with a range of 17–81 years. White British constituted the largest ethnic category (Table 1). The ethnicity data of 510 (55%) registrants were not recorded.

A total of 487 (52.5%) were current smokers, which is more than three times the adult smoking rate of 15.5% in the English general population.¹³ There were no significant differences between the proportion of male ($n=437$; 52.6%) and female ($n=50$; 51.5%) registrants who smoked ($P=0.931$). The highest proportions (percentage within age groups) of male and female patients who smoked were in the age brackets 40–49 years and 50–59 years, respectively (although the number of smokers is greater in other groups, these are the groups with the highest proportion of smokers, Table 1).

Prevalence of health conditions

Mental health conditions. Prevalence data were available for depression (as a diagnosis), patients on the mental health register (which includes those diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder, and other psychoses, and other patients on lithium therapy), alcohol dependence, and substance dependence (Table 2). The highest prevalence was observed with alcohol dependence ($n=198$; 21.3%), followed by substance dependence ($n=125$; 13.5%). Prevalence rates were not associated with sex. Those with alcohol dependence were significantly older than those without the diagnosis.

Cardiovascular health conditions. Prevalence data for a total of four cardiovascular health conditions were available: coronary heart disease, stroke/transient ischaemic attack, hypertension, and atrial fibrillation. Those with a diagnosis of any of the four cardiovascular conditions were significantly older and predominantly male (Table 2).

Infectious diseases. Of the observed prevalence rates among three infectious diseases, hepatitis C had the highest prevalence rate of 6.3% ($n=58$) (Table 2). A total of six patients (0.6%) were diagnosed with HIV infection, and 87 (9.4%) with a sexually transmitted infection. No statistically significant differences in the prevalence rates were identified across sex groups.

Respiratory health conditions. Data were available for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma (Table 2). Prevalence rates of 1.5% and 4.2%,

respectively, were observed. In both disease areas, those with confirmed diagnosis were significantly older than those without a diagnosis. Female registrants had significantly higher prevalence rates for asthma than males. Even though the number of patients with asthma is greater in males than females, the proportion of females with asthma is significantly higher than the proportion of males because there are considerably more males than females in this population.

Neurological disorders. Prevalence rates of 1.4% and 1.1% were observed for epilepsy and migraine, respectively (Table 2).

Other chronic health conditions. Data were available for six other health conditions: diabetes, cancer, learning disabilities, rheumatoid arthritis, leg ulcers, and gastrointestinal ulcers or bleed. Low prevalence rates were observed for diabetes (2.8%) and cancer (0.4%) (Table 2).

Multimorbidity. A total of 452 (48.7%) patients had at least one chronic medical condition, with 198 (21.3%) patients having at least two chronic medical conditions. There was no difference in the mean (SD) of the number of chronic medical conditions across the sex groups.

Visits to emergency departments. A total of 302 (32.5%) registrants had visited an emergency department in the previous 12 months (Table 3).

Emergency department attendance data were linked to diagnoses of individual health conditions. In univariate analysis, (unadjusted odds ratios [OR]) alcohol dependence (OR 3.951, $P<0.001$), substance dependence (OR 2.688, $P<0.001$), epilepsy (OR 4.776, $P=0.013$), hepatitis C (OR 2.735, $P<0.001$), leg ulcers (OR 2.191, $P=0.004$), and sexually transmitted infections (OR 2.196, $P<0.001$) were significantly associated with emergency department visits (further data available from the authors on request). Patients who had these health conditions were significantly more likely to have visited the emergency department in the last 12 months. There were no significant differences in the mean ages of those attending and not attending the emergency department in the last 12 months. Emergency department attendance was not associated with sex (Table 3).

Table 2. Prevalence of health conditions among homeless registrants and comparison data with available literature (N= 928)

	Health conditions	Mean age (SD) with the condition, years	Mean age (SD) with no condition, years	Prevalence n (%)			All registrants n	Prevalence in English or UK general population	Prevalence in homeless population (UK or international literature)
				P-value	Male n (%)	Female n (%)			
Mental health conditions	Mental health register	40.0 (9.6)	38.2 (11.7)	0.169	54 (6.5)	6 (6.2)	60 (6.5)	0.9% ¹⁴	Not available
	Depression	39.6 (10.4)	38.2 (11.7)	0.172	95 (11.4)	13 (13.4)	108 (11.6)	9.1% ¹⁴	42.1% Glasgow ¹⁵ 36% England ¹⁶ 29.7% Leicester ¹⁷ 50% Dublin ¹⁸
	Alcohol dependence	43.3 (10.2)	37.0 (11.5)	<0.001	176 (21.2)	22 (22.7)	198 (21.3)	1.4% ¹⁹	29% Leicester ¹⁷ 56.4% Glasgow ¹⁵ 53% Dublin ¹⁸ 37.9% Western countries ²⁰
	Substance dependence	39.5 (7.9)	38.1 (12.0)	0.102	109 (13.1)	16 (16.5)	125 (13.5)	4.3% male, ²¹ 1.9% female ²¹	66% Leicester ¹⁷ 62.4% Glasgow ¹⁵ 33% Dublin ¹⁸ 24.4% Western countries ²⁰
Cardiovascular health conditions	Coronary heart disease register	53.0 (12.0) ^a	38.1 (11.4)	<0.001	14 (1.7)	0 (0.0)	14 (1.5)	3.2% ¹⁴	Not available
	Stroke/TIA register	62.0 (34.0) ^a	38.3 (11.5)	<0.001	3 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	3 (0.3)	1.7% ¹⁴	20% US ²² 2% Dublin ¹⁷
	Hypertension register	55.0 (13.0)	37.7 (11.2)	<0.001	37 (4.5)	2 (2.1)	39 (4.2)	13.8% ¹⁴	27% US ²³ 22% Dublin ¹⁷
	Atrial fibrillation register	69.5 (23.0) ^a	38.3 (11.5)	<0.001	2 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.2)	1.8% ¹⁴	Not available
Infectious diseases	Hepatitis C	42.0 (8.6)	38.1 (11.7)	0.002	50 (6.0)	8 (8.2)	58 (6.3)	0.67% ²⁴	24.8% Glasgow ¹⁵ 11.3% Leicester ¹⁷ 23% Dublin ¹⁸
	HIV	38.0 (17.0) ^a	38.3 (11.6)	0.833	4 (0.5)	2 (2.1)	6 (0.6)	0.16% ²⁵	0.5% Leicester ¹⁷ 6% Dublin ¹⁸
	Sexually transmitted infections	40.0 (9.4)	38.2 (11.7)	0.100	73 (8.8)	14 (14.4)	87 (9.4)	—	0.9–52.5% US ²⁶ 8% Dublin ¹⁷

... continued

Table 2 continued. Prevalence of health conditions among homeless registrants and comparison data with available literature (N = 928)

Health conditions	Mean age (SD) with the condition, years	Mean age (SD) with no condition, years	Prevalence n (%)			All registrants n	Prevalence in English or UK general population	Prevalence in homeless population (UK or international literature)
			P-value	Male n (%)	Female n (%)			
Respiratory illnesses								
COPD register	54.5 (13.0) ^a	38.1 (11.4)	<0.001	13 (1.6)	1 (1.0)	14 (1.5)	1.9% ¹⁴	1.7% Leicester ¹⁷ 3% Dublin ¹⁸
Asthma register	42.0 (8.8)	38.2 (11.6)	0.011	30 (3.6)	9 (9.3)	39 (4.2)	5.9% ¹⁴	4–5% UK, Europe, and US ^{27–29} 16% Leicester ¹⁷ 21% Dublin ¹⁸
Neurological disorders								
Epilepsy	38.0 (15.0)	38.3 (11.6)	0.279	11 (1.3)	2 (2.1)	13 (1.4)	0.8% ¹⁴	8.1% Paris ³⁰
Migraine	40.5 (24.0)	38.3 (11.5)	0.897	7 (0.8)	3 (3.1)	10 (1.1)	15% ³³	4% UK ³¹ 6% Canada ³² 8% Dublin ¹⁸ 25–36% Canada ^{34,35}
Diabetes	54.0 (14.0) ^a	37.9 (11.3)	<0.001	25 (3.0)	1 (1.0)	26 (2.8)	6.7% ¹⁴	8.0% Ireland ³⁶ 6.1% Paris ³⁷ 8.0–12.0% US ^{23,38} 4% Canada ³⁸ 8% Dublin ¹⁸ 3% Dublin ¹⁸ 12% England ³⁹ 36% Canada ⁴⁰ 29.5% Netherlands ⁴¹ 39% Japan ⁴² 6% Dublin ¹⁸
Other chronic health conditions								
Cancer	52.0 (10.0) ^a	38.3 (11.5)	0.043	3 (0.4)	1 (1.0)	4 (0.4)	2.6% ¹⁴	Not available
Learning disabilities	40.0 (29.0) ^a	38.3 (11.5)	0.763	3 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	3 (0.3)	0.5% ¹⁴	10% lifetime prevalence ⁴⁴ 0.12–15% yearly ⁴⁵
Rheumatoid arthritis	40.0 (NA) ^a	38.3 (11.6)	0.885	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	0.7% ¹⁴	
Leg ulcers	44.1 (10.6)	37.9 (11.5)	<0.001	51 (6.1)	9 (9.3)	60 (6.5)	1% ⁴³	
GI ulcers or bleed	43.0 (20.0) ^a	38.3 (11.6)	0.619	6 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	6 (0.6)	100%	11% Dublin ⁴⁶

^aMedian (interquartile range). ^bThe US has a much larger proportion of African Caribbean population with much higher rates of hypertension; a study from England has found the prevalence of hypertension in those aged <40 years to be just 3.3%,⁴⁷ and 40.9% in the current study of this (the current study's) participants are <40 years. COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. GI = gastrointestinal. TIA = transient ischaemic attack.

Table 3. Emergency department attendance by homeless registrants in past 12 months (N = 928)

Mean age (SD) of those attending ED, years	Mean age (SD) of those not attending ED, years	Prevalence n (%)				Prevalence data in English or UK general population	Data in homeless population (from other studies in the UK and Ireland, systematic reviews of international literature)
		P-value	Male n (%)	Female n (%)	All registrants n (%)		
38.8 (10.3)	38.1 (12.1)	0.352	264 (31.8)	38 (39.2)	302 (32.5)	200.2–552.7 per 1000 population (includes repeat attendances) ⁴⁸	48.1% Glasgow ¹⁵

ED = emergency department.

Table 4. Key variables associated with attendance at the emergency department by registrants (N = 928)

Variable	ED attendance, n (%)		Unadjusted			Adjusted		
	Yes	No	P-value	OR	95% CI	OR	P-value	95% CI
Alcohol dependence	106 (53.5)	92 (46.5)	<0.001	3.14	2.27 to 4.34	2.85	<0.001	1.96 to 4.15
	196 (26.8)	534 (73.2)						
Substance dependence	66 (52.8)	59 (47.2)	<0.001	2.69	1.83 to 3.94	2.31	0.001	1.41 to 3.78
	236 (29.4)	567 (70.6)						

ED = emergency department. OR = odds ratio.

In the binary regression analysis, alcohol dependence and substance dependence were associated with emergency department attendance, with adjusted OR 2.85 [95% confidence intervals (CI) = 2.27 to 4.34; $P < 0.001$] and 2.31 [95% CI = 1.83 to 3.94; $P = 0.001$], respectively (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Summary

This study aimed to explore the demographic characteristics, disease prevalence, multimorbidity, and visits to the emergency department by the registrants of a specialist primary healthcare centre for the homeless in the West Midlands. Datasets of all registered 928 patients were retrieved and analysed. Demographic characteristics, a range of health conditions, including alcohol and substance dependence, and emergency department attendance data were explored. This study adds to the limited evidence that exists around the prevalence of health conditions and multimorbidity in homeless people by using a large sample size. This study has demonstrated a high prevalence of multimorbidity, mental health conditions, particularly substance and drug misuse, and infectious diseases, notably hepatitis C, among the homeless population in the area studied compared with the general population.

A high rate of emergency department attendance was observed among the study population. Considering all emergency department visitors among study participants made a minimum of one visit to the emergency department, this translates to approximately 60 times the rate of emergency department attendance made by the general population (as measured in 2011).⁴⁸

Strengths and limitations

The datasets presented here represents a large sample size of a homeless population and hence adds to the literature. Rigorous methods of analyses were used to explore the link between demography, diagnosed health conditions, and emergency visits among the homeless population and provides extensive comparison with existing datasets from international literature.

Similar to other studies using routinely collected datasets in investigating disease prevalence and multimorbidity, this study relied on the diagnosis of the health conditions being accurately recorded in patient medical records. Therefore, the prevalence of the health conditions and multimorbidity, as identified in this

study, are likely to be an underestimation. Particularly, it was noted that health conditions such as coronary heart disease, stroke, diabetes, cancer, asthma, learning disabilities, and rheumatoid arthritis were found to be under-prevalent in the study participants compared with the findings in the literature.¹³

This study analysed datasets of those who presented at the specialist homeless healthcare centre. This study did not explore how much patients engaged with the practice, therefore, the actual prevalence of the included health conditions may have been under-estimated as patients may be missing scheduled appointments, which makes it likely for key health conditions to go undiagnosed and because of the inclusion of information of those who regularly attend the practice.

Comparison with existing literature

Substance and/or alcohol dependence have been cited as a cause and consequence of homelessness.⁴⁹ Previous studies have looked at the extent of self-harm,⁵⁰ and mortality linked to mental health conditions, including suicide, among homeless people.⁵¹ This study demonstrates that substance and alcohol dependence are important risk factors that make homeless populations seek emergency care.

This study has also demonstrated a high rate of multimorbidity among the homeless registrants. Given that the mean age of the registrants of the homeless healthcare centre was 38.3 (SD = 11.5) years, the proportion of patients with at least two long-term health conditions compares with those aged 60–69 years in the general population.⁵² The proportion of patients with multimorbidity was identified to be less than that reported in a Scottish study.⁵³ The reasons for these differences should be explored; however, it is likely that, despite a small sample size in the Scottish study,⁵³ researchers had access to individual patient medical notes. Similarly, in the current study, the prevalence of mental health conditions, particularly depression and alcohol and substance dependence, despite being higher than in the general population, was lower compared with other studies on the homeless population in the UK.^{16,18,20,21}

The prevalence of some cardiovascular health conditions such as hypertension, as well as respiratory health conditions, diabetes, and cancer, was also noted to be lower than other studies on the homeless population in the UK. However, the literature suggests that homeless and socioeconomically disadvantaged people

have higher mortality rates contributed by these health conditions than the general population and those with less deprived backgrounds.^{54,55} It is highly likely that some of these conditions were not appropriately coded in patient medical records or potentially underdiagnosed. Health conditions such as hypertension are asymptomatic and it may not be routine practice to record blood pressure in every consultation given the constrained resources that are available in these settings. Information on the length of time the registrants were registered at the practice was not available for this study. Registrants of similar services in other studies have demonstrated that participants also reported using mainstream general practices.¹⁸

The number of health conditions investigated for the multimorbidity analysis in this study compares favourably with other studies. There are no international standards on how many long-term conditions should feature in the measurement of multimorbidity; however, an average of 18.5 chronic health conditions was featured in a systematic review of the international literature that included 39 studies.⁵⁶ The prevalence of all cardiovascular health conditions, COPD, hepatitis C, diabetes, cancer, and leg ulcers was linked to older age, and this supports the epidemiological trend in the general population.^{23,57–65} Repeat emergency department attendance by the study population was not investigated. A previous study has identified that homeless people, including rough sleepers, constitute approximately 8% of all repeat users of the service.⁷ There is a lack of research investigating in depth the reasons for such repeat attendance. Repeat attendance could be linked to poor general health and lifestyle, as well as non-access to or non-use of available primary healthcare services.⁶⁶ Greater use of the emergency department may impact on patient care, as patients seeing a known and trusted clinician in primary care is imperative for ensuring the continuity of care.⁶⁷

Implications for research and practice

This study provides compelling evidence that there exists a high prevalence of key chronic health conditions and multimorbidity among the homeless population. Although data of only those registered with the specialist general practice were analysed, the data can be carefully extrapolated to those not registered with such services or hidden homeless who often do not declare their

fixed-abode status to their health services providers. Healthcare professionals seeing patients who are homeless are more likely to encounter multimorbidity than in mainstream healthcare centres. The extent of multimorbidity seen in this population is often only encountered in the older population and hence specialist clinical knowledge, alongside multidisciplinary management, is required for many of these patients. Diverse skill sets are imperative at these specialist healthcare centres. Patients with multimorbidity are often disadvantaged because of the fragmentation of care.⁵³

The high level of multimorbidity in this population could be linked to socioeconomic deprivation as well as to the uptake of behaviours such as smoking, alcohol, and substance dependence, or both.⁵³ Public health, NHS, and local government interventions, particularly preventive services in the community and primary care, can help prevent multimorbidity where such outcomes are linked to the implications of the uptake of risky behaviours. The groundwork for further collaboration between such public bodies is already being laid down in the UK, for example, through the Homelessness Reduction Act 2017.⁶⁸ The act places emphasis on multi-agency approaches to preventing homelessness and provides an opportunity for public bodies to work more closely with partners and co-produce an approach to homelessness prevention through collaboration and cooperation.

Future longitudinal studies are needed to identify the contribution of key factors linked to multimorbidity. There is a continued need to improve access to mental health including for those with substance and alcohol dependence.

Community screening of bloodborne viruses, particularly opportunistic screening when presenting for other services, as has been piloted in some areas of England,⁶⁹ is recommended.

The barriers associated with access and positive experiences around homeless people's use of primary care and wider community services also needs to be addressed, given the health inequalities as demonstrated by this study. Findings of the authors' recent study⁶⁶ shows that there are organisational barriers (such as difficulty in registering with a general practice, lack of

integration of services including suboptimal communications and transition of care across services) and patient-related barriers (including lack of knowledge and awareness of primary healthcare services, inadequate skills and capacity to navigate services, and level of health literacy) to access and encounter positive experiences of primary healthcare services among the homeless population. There appears to be confusion around eligibility of people who are homeless registering with a general practice, and patients have often been denied access, contrary to the guidelines that are available, which state that people do not need a fixed address or identification to register or access treatment at GP practices.⁷⁰ Awareness of such policy among frontline staff, homeless people, and any partner agencies should be strengthened. Patients are often less aware of specialist services for the homeless people existing in their areas. Provision of such specialist services are often temporary solutions and are mostly located in areas with high homelessness. Long-term planning could incorporate improving capacity in mainstream general practices. Such improvement will require skills in managing multimorbidity and the communication skills required to develop rapport with homeless people, along with minimising perceived stigma and discrimination for this group in the society and healthcare settings.

Emergency department attendance data as reported in this study should be treated with caution because of the possibility of unknown confounders and the chance that visits were not linked to the conditions. It is recommended that data should be supplemented from emergency departments to identify key reasons for repeat attendance.

Future studies should consider using multiple data sources in estimating disease burden. These include consideration of aggregated datasets as used in this study, access to individual medical notes, health-related data available from other partners including housing and the voluntary sector, datasets from outreach services, surveys of homeless populations to gather self-reported data, prescribing and medicines dispensing data, and inclusion of datasets from homeless populations using mainstream services.

Funding

This study was funded by an external grant received from Public Health England in the West Midlands and the West Midlands Combined Authority.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was granted by the University of Birmingham Research Ethics Committee. Birmingham and Solihull Mental Health NHS Foundations Trust also approved the study as a service evaluation and hence a detailed NHS ethical submission was not required.

Provenance

Freely submitted; externally peer reviewed.

Competing interests

The authors have declared no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to staff at the specialist primary healthcare centre for the homeless for help with extraction and anonymisation of the data.

Discuss this article

Contribute and read comments about this article: bjgp.org/letters

REFERENCES

- Fitzpatrick S, Pawson H, Bramley G, *et al*. *The homelessness monitor: England 2016*. London: Crisis, 2016. https://www.crisis.org.uk/media/236829/the_homelessness_monitor_england_2016_es.pdf [accessed 14 May 2019].
- Shelter England. *Life on the margins: over a quarter of a million without a home in England today*. 2016. https://england.shelter.org.uk/media/press_releases/articles/life_on_the_margins_over_a_quarter_of_a_million_without_a_home_in_england_today [accessed 14 May 2019].
- Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. *Rough sleeping statistics: autumn 2017, England (revised)*. 2018. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/682001/Rough_Sleeping_Autumn_2017_Statistical_Release_-_revised.pdf [accessed 14 May 2019].
- Aldridge RW, Story A, Hwang SW, *et al*. Morbidity and mortality in homeless individuals, prisoners, sex workers, and individuals with substance use disorders in high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2017; **391(10117)**: 241–250.
- Thomas B. *Homelessness kills: an analysis of the mortality of homeless people in early twenty-first century England. Summary*. 2012. https://www.crisis.org.uk/media/236799/crisis_homelessness_kills_es2012.pdf [accessed 14 May 2019].
- White MC, Tulskey JP, Dawson C, *et al*. Association between time homeless and perceived health status among the homeless in San Francisco. *J Community Health* 1997; **22(4)**: 271–282.
- Lynch RM, Greaves I. Regular attenders to the accident and emergency department. *J Accid Emerg Med* 2000; **17(5)**: 351–354.
- Crane M, Cetrano G, Joly L, *et al*. *Mapping of specialist primary health care services in England for people who are homeless*. 2018. <https://www.kcl.ac.uk/sspp/policy-institute/scwru/res/hrp/hrp-studies/HEARTH/HEARTH-study-Mapping-FullReport-2018.pdf> [accessed 14 May 2019].
- NHS Digital. *Quality and Outcomes Framework, achievements and exceptions report England, 2016–17*. 2017. <https://files.digital.nhs.uk/publication/c/r/qof-1617-rep.pdf> [accessed 20 May 2019].
- NHS England. *2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): guidance for GMS contract 2016/17*. 2016. <http://www.nhsemployers.org/-/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2016-17/2016-17%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf> [accessed 4 Apr 2019].
- World Health Organization. *Multimorbidity: technical series on safer primary care*. 2016. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/252275/9789241511650-eng.pdf;jsessionid=AC8442B67FCCDCCD3B29F2479173D9B1?sequence=1> [accessed 14 May 2019].
- Bursac Z, Gauss CH, Williams DK, Hosmer DW. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. *Source Code Biol Med* 2008; **3**: 17.
- Office for National Statistics. *Adult smoking habits in the UK: 2016*. 2017. <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/healthandlifeexpectancies/bulletins/adultsmokinghabitsingreatbritain/2016> [accessed 20 May 2019].
- NHS Digital. *Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) — 2016–17*. 2017. <http://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30124> [accessed 14 May 2019].
- Queen AB, Lowrie R, Richardson J, Williamson AE. Multimorbidity, disadvantage, and patient engagement within a specialist homeless health service in the UK: an in-depth study of general practice data. *BJGP Open* 2017; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgpopen17X100941>.
- Homeless Link. *The unhealthy state of homelessness. Health audit results 2014*. 2014. <https://www.homeless.org.uk/sites/default/files/site-attachments/The%20unhealthy%20state%20of%20homelessness%20FINAL.pdf> [accessed 4 Apr 2019].
- Hewett N, Hiley A, Gray J. Morbidity trends in the population of a specialised homeless primary care service. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp11X561203>.
- Keogh C, O'Brien KK, Hoban A, *et al*. Health and use of health services of people who are homeless and at risk of homelessness who receive free primary health care in Dublin. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2015; **15**: 58.
- Pryce R, Buykx P, Gray L, *et al*. *Estimates of alcohol dependence in England based on APMS 2014, including estimates of children living in a household with an adult with alcohol dependence. Prevalence, trends, and amenability to treatment*. 2017. https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/polopoly_fs/1.693546/file/Estimates_of_Alcohol_Dependence_in_England_based_on_APMS_2014.pdf [accessed 14 May 2019].
- Fazel S, Khosla V, Doll H, Geddes J. The prevalence of mental disorders among the homeless in western countries: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *PLoS Med* 2008; **5(12)**: e225.
- Roberts C, Lepps H, Strang J, Singleton N. Drug use and dependence. In: McManus S, Bebbington P, Jenkins R, Brugha T, eds. *Adult psychiatric morbidity survey: survey of mental health and wellbeing, England, 2014*. 2016: 265–293. <https://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB21748> [accessed 15 May 2019].
- Institute of Medicine [US] Committee on Health Care for Homeless People. *Homelessness, health, and human needs*. Washington: National Academies Press, 1988.
- Bernstein RS, Meurer LN, Plumb EJ, Jackson JL. Diabetes and hypertension prevalence in homeless adults in the United States: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Public Health* 2015; **105(2)**: e46–e60.
- Harris RJ, Ramsay M, Hope VD, *et al*. Hepatitis C prevalence in England remains low and varies by ethnicity: an updated evidence synthesis. *Eur J Public Health* 2012; **22(2)**: 187–192.
- Public Health England. *HIV in the UK. 2016 report*. 2016. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/602942/HIV_in_the_UK_report.pdf [accessed 15 May 2019].
- Williams SP, Caccamo AE, Kachur R, Bryant KL. P4.94 STI rates among homeless persons in the U.S. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017; **93(Suppl 2)**: A225–A227.
- Snyder LD, Eisner MD. Obstructive lung disease among the urban homeless. *Chest* 2004; **125(5)**: 1719–1725.
- Kanervisto M, Vasankari T, Laitinen T, *et al*. Low socioeconomic status is associated with chronic obstructive airway diseases. *Respir Med* 2011; **105(8)**: 1140–1146.
- Simpson CR, Hippisley-Cox J, Sheikh A. Trends in the epidemiology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in England: a national study of 51 804 patients. *Br J Gen Pract* 2010; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp10X514729>.
- Laporte A, Rouvel-Talleg A, Grosdidier E, *et al*. Epilepsy among the homeless: prevalence and characteristics. *Eur J Public Health* 2006; **16(5)**: 484–486.
- George SL, Shanks NJ, Westlake L. Census of single homeless people in Sheffield. *BMJ* 1991; **302(6789)**: 1387–1389.
- Khandor E, Mason K. *The Street Health report 2007*. Toronto, ON: Street Health, 2007. <http://www.streethealth.ca/downloads/the-street-health-report-2007.pdf> [accessed 15 May 2019].
- Steiner TJ, Scher AI, Stewart WF, *et al*. The prevalence and disability burden of adult migraine in England and their relationships to age, gender and ethnicity. *Cephalalgia* 2003; **23(7)**: 519–527.
- Hwang SW, Wilkins E, Chambers C, *et al*. Chronic pain among homeless persons: characteristics, treatment, and barriers to management. *BMC Fam Pract* 2011; **12**: 73.
- Topolovec-Vranic J, Schuler A, Gozdzik A, *et al*. The high burden of traumatic brain injury and comorbidities amongst homeless adults with mental illness. *J Psychiatr Res* 2017; **87**: 53–60.
- Scott J, Gavin J, Egan AM, *et al*. The prevalence of diabetes, pre-diabetes and the metabolic syndrome in an Irish regional homeless population. *QJM* 2013; **106(6)**: 547–553.
- Arnaud A, Fagot-Campagna A, Reach G, *et al*. Prevalence and characteristics of diabetes among homeless people attending shelters in Paris, France, 2006. *Eur J Public Health* 2010; **20(5)**: 601–603.
- Fazel S, Geddes JR, Kushel M. The health of homeless people in high-income countries: descriptive epidemiology, health consequences, and clinical and policy recommendations. *Lancet* 2014; **384(9953)**: 1529–1540.
- Oakes PM, Davies RC. Intellectual disability in homeless adults: a prevalence study. *J Intellect Disabil* 2008; **12(4)**: 325–334.
- Patterson ML, Moniruzzaman A, Frankish CJ, Somers JM. Missed opportunities: childhood learning disabilities as early indicators of risk among homeless adults with mental illness in Vancouver, British Columbia. *BMJ Open* 2012; **2(6)**: e001586.
- Van Straaten B, Schrijvers CT, Van der Laan J, *et al*. Intellectual disability among Dutch homeless people: prevalence and related psychosocial problems. *PLoS One* 2014; **9(1)**: e86112.
- Nishio A, Yamamoto M, Ueki H, *et al*. Prevalence of mental illness, intellectual

- disability, and developmental disability among homeless people in Nagoya, Japan: a case series study. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2015; **69**(9): 534–542.
43. Agale SV. Chronic leg ulcers: epidemiology, aetiopathogenesis, and management. *Ulcers* 2013; <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/413604>.
 44. Ford AC, Gurusamy KS, Delaney B, *et al*. Eradication therapy for peptic ulcer disease in *Helicobacter pylori*-positive people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; **(4)**: CD003840.
 45. Sung JJ, Kuipers EJ, El-Serag HB. Systematic review: the global incidence and prevalence of peptic ulcer disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009; **29**(9): 938–946.
 46. O'Carroll A, O'Reilly F. Health of the homeless in Dublin: has anything changed in the context of Ireland's economic boom? *Eur J Public Health* 2008; **18**(5): 448–453.
 47. Lane DA, Lip GY. Ethnic differences in hypertension and blood pressure control in the UK. *QJM* 2001; **94**(7): 391–396.
 48. Public Health England. *Map 62: rate of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances per population by PCT. Directly age-, sex- and deprivation-standardised rate 2010*. 2011. https://fingertips.phe.org.uk/documents/Atlas_2011_EmergencyCare.pdf [accessed 4 Apr 2019].
 49. Johnson G, Chamberlain C. Homelessness and substance abuse: which comes first? *Australian Social Work* 2008; **61**(4): 342–356.
 50. Bickley H, Kapur N, Hunt IM, *et al*. Suicide in the homeless within 12 months of contact with mental health services: a national clinical survey in the UK. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2006; **41**(9): 686–691.
 51. Hassanally K, Asaria M. Homeless mortality data from East London. *London J Prim Care* 2018; **10**(4): 99–102.
 52. Department of Health and Social Care. *Long term conditions compendium of information: third edition*. 2012. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/long-term-conditions-compendium-of-information-third-edition> [accessed 15 May 2019].
 53. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, *et al*. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2012; **380**(9836): 37–43.
 54. Hwang SW. Homelessness and health. *CMAJ* 2001; **164**(2): 229–233.
 55. Baggett TP, Chang Y, Porneala BC, *et al*. Disparities in cancer incidence, stage, and mortality at Boston Health Care for the Homeless Program. *Am J Prev Med* 2015; **49**(5): 694–702.
 56. Diederichs C, Berger K, Bartels DB. The measurement of multiple chronic diseases: a systematic review on existing multimorbidity indices. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2011; **66**(3): 301–311.
 57. Jones CA, Perera A, Chow M, *et al*. Cardiovascular disease risk among the poor and homeless — what we know so far. *Curr Cardiol Rev* 2009; **5**(1): 69–77.
 58. Jousilahti P, Vartiainen E, Tuomilehto J, Puska P. Sex, age, cardiovascular risk factors, and coronary heart disease: a prospective follow-up study of 14786 middle-aged men and women in Finland. *Circulation* 1999; **99**(9): 1165–1172.
 59. Nichols M, Townsend N, Scarborough P, Rayner M. Trends in age-specific coronary heart disease mortality in the European Union over three decades: 1980–2009. *Eur Heart J* 2013; **34**(39): 3017–3027.
 60. Kirkman MS, Briscoe VJ, Clark N, *et al*. Diabetes in older adults. *Diabetes Care* 2012; **35**(12): 2650–2664.
 61. Fukuchi Y. The aging lung and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: similarity and difference. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2009; **6**(7): 570–572.
 62. Driver JA, Djoussé L, Logroscino G, *et al*. Incidence of cardiovascular disease and cancer in advanced age: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2008; **337**: a2467.
 63. Karamichalakis N, Letsas KP, Vlachos K, *et al*. Managing atrial fibrillation in the very elderly patient: challenges and solutions. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2015; **11**: 555–562.
 64. Graham ID, Harrison MB, Nelson EA, *et al*. Prevalence of lower-limb ulceration: a systematic review of prevalence studies. *Adv Skin Wound Care* 2003; **16**(6): 305–316.
 65. High KP, Marcus E, Tur-Kaspa R. Chronic hepatitis C virus infection in older adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005; **41**(11): 1606–1612.
 66. Gunner E, Chandan SK, Marwick S, *et al*. Perspectives of homeless individuals on the provision and accessibility of primary healthcare services: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019; in press.
 67. Freeman G, Hughes J. *Continuity of care and the patient experience*. 2010. https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/sites/default/files/field/field_document/continuity-care-patient-experience-gp-inquiry-research-paper-mar11.pdf [accessed 15 May 2019].
 68. Paudyal V, Saunders K. Homeless reduction act in England: impact on health services. *Lancet* 2018; **392**(10143): 195–197.
 69. Hepatitis C Trust. *Pharmacy-based testing for hepatitis B and hepatitis C*. 2010. <http://www.hcvaction.org.uk/sites/default/files/resources/Pharmacy-based%20testing%20for%20hepatitis%20B%20and%20hepatitis%20C%20%28hep%20c%20trust%29.pdf> [accessed 15 May 2019].
 70. NHS England. Patient Registration. Standard Operating Principles for Primary Medical Care (General Practice). 3. Who can register for free primary care services? 2015. <http://d1c7lpjmvh0qr.cloudfront.net/uploads/d/c/n/pat-reg-sop-pmc-gp.pdf> [accessed 29 May 2019].