There is a danger here as we feel it’s right, but we must guard against a slide into righteousness. The evidence is there, it is strong, but I also think many GPs want it to be true. I’d go as far as to suggest that GPs are prone to a continuity bias — if we can attribute a positive outcome to continuity then we will. I agree with Phil Whitaker and continuity is sorely neglected, but, as the legendary Sergeant Phil Esterhaus from the 80’s cop show Hill Street Blues used to exhort, ‘let’s be careful out there’.

The analysis of doctor empathy on patient outcomes by Surchat et al may deflate some bubbles. Women do empathy better than men don’t they? The self-reported scores of empathy in the study confirmed that gender-based belief but, as it turned out, it wasn’t seen in most of the behaviourally-based empathy measures. The study may not have been sensitive enough to pick them up or it could just be that social stereotyping is hard to shake off and doesn’t reflect the true picture.

Locum doctor use is seldom given any attention, other than a knee-jerk pejorative reaction. There is no shortage of assumptions here. After all, surely locums are the very antithesis of continuity? Well, maybe. Grigoroglou et al’s study found that locum use didn’t increase between 2017 and 2020 in England. We also need to be careful about stereotyping locums, and the majority were employed in long-term positions.

You may feel more secure in the assumption that emergency risk prediction tools will prevent unnecessary admissions and are a Good Thing. In fact, the evidence isn’t there and Evans et al explored how an emergency risk prediction tool worked in Wales, or more accurately didn’t work as intended. It was associated with an increase in admissions. It’s a stone-cold exemplar of the need for careful evaluation of policies, parking our assumptions, and the sheer necessity of accruing good evidence.

Euan Lawson, Editor, BJGP
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