

# Editor's Briefing



Euan Lawson

## ASSUME NOTHING

It is all too easy, all too human, to fall prey to our biases. The research this month does an impressive job of poking us in the assumptions. It could be argued that continuity and communication are the two most important features of general practice. After all, they are the bedrock of relationship-based care.

The study by Sandvik *et al* on continuity in this month's issue feels right to GPs and fits with existing evidence. In Norway, the introduction of a named GP has been associated with startling improvements in emergency admissions and mortality with reductions of 25%–30%. As GP Phil Whitaker wrote in the *New Statesman*: 'If continuity were a pharmaceutical product, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) would be mandating its deployment ... The Care Quality Commission should be assessing continuity as a critical aspect of its inspections. The Department of Health should be devising policies to incentivise its provision.'

There is a danger here as we feel it's right, but we must guard against a slide into righteousness. The evidence is there, it is strong, but I also think many GPs want it to be true. I'd go as far as to suggest that GPs are prone to a continuity bias — if we can attribute a positive outcome to continuity then we will. I agree with Phil Whitaker and continuity is sorely neglected, but, as the legendary Sergeant Phil Esterhaus from the 80's cop show *Hill Street Blues* used to exhort, 'let's be careful out there'.

The analysis of doctor empathy on patient outcomes by Surchat *et al* may deflate some bubbles. Women do empathy better than men don't they? The self-reported scores of empathy in the study confirmed that gender-based belief but, as it turned out, it wasn't seen in most of the behaviourally-based empathy measures. The study may not have been sensitive enough to pick them up or it could just be that social stereotyping is hard to shake off and doesn't reflect the true picture.

Locum doctor use is seldom given any attention, other than a knee-jerk pejorative reaction. There is no shortage of assumptions here. After all, surely locums are the very antithesis of continuity? Well, maybe. Grigoroglou *et al*'s study found that locum use didn't increase between 2017 and 2020 in England. We also need to be careful about stereotyping locums, and the majority were employed in long-term positions.

You may feel more secure in the assumption that emergency risk prediction tools will prevent unnecessary admissions

## Issue highlights

Enjoy the research but don't forget to flip to the analysis articles. First up, there is Shah *et al*'s article on meaning in the consultation and the third in a series. Next, a critique of the new enhanced service specification for long COVID. And, will we ever see point-of-care testing in routine general practice? St John *et al* have some thoughts on that. Clinically, we also cover hepatitis C — a disease that can now, remarkably, be cured. What are we waiting for? *Life & Times* remains its usual opinionated self and shouldn't be missed.

and are a Good Thing. In fact, the evidence isn't there and Evans *et al* explored how an emergency risk prediction tool worked in Wales, or more accurately didn't work as intended. It was associated with an increase in admissions. It's a stone-cold exemplar of the need for careful evaluation of policies, parking our assumptions, and the sheer necessity of accruing good evidence.

Euan Lawson,  
Editor, *BJGP*

Further notes and commentary from the Editor on the February 2022 issue (with references and links to the articles) can be found at <https://www.bjgp.life.com/feb22>



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp22X718265>

© British Journal of General Practice 2022; 715: 49–96

### EDITOR

Euan Lawson, FRCGP  
Lancaster

### DEPUTY EDITOR

Andrew N Papanikitas, FRCGP, PhD, SFHEA  
Oxford

### ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Nada Khan, MSc, DPhil, MBBS  
Leeds

### ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Samuel Merriel, BMedSc, MSc, MRCGP  
Exeter

### ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Thomas Round, BSc, MRCGP, DRCOG  
London

### HEAD OF PUBLISHING

Catharine Hull

### SENIOR ASSISTANT EDITOR

Amanda May-Jones

### ASSISTANT EDITOR

Moira Davies

### ASSISTANT EDITOR

Tony Nixon

### ASSISTANT EDITOR

Thomas Bransby

### MULTIMEDIA EDITOR

Erika Niesner

### DIGITAL & DESIGN EDITOR

Simone Jemmott

### EDITORIAL ASSISTANT

Margaret Searle

### EDITORIAL ADMINISTRATOR

Mona Lindsay

### EDITORIAL BOARD

Luke Allen, MRCGP, BSc(Hons), PGDip, MPH  
London

Carolyn Chew-Graham, BSc, MD, FRCGP  
Keele

Hajira Dambha-Miller, PhD, MRCGP, FHEA  
Southampton

Graham Easton, MSc, MEd, FRCGP, SFHEA  
London

Adam Firth, MBChB, DTM&H, DipPalMed  
Stockport

### Benedict Hayhoe, MD, MRCGP, FHEA, FFCI

London

### Jennifer Johnston, PhD, MRCGP

Belfast

### Brennan Kahan, BSc, MSc

London

### Constantinos Koshiaris, BSc, MSc, DPhil

Oxford

### David Misselbrook, MSc, MA, FRCGP

London

### Peter Murchie, MSc, PhD, FRCGP

Aberdeen

### Obioha Ukoumunne, BSc, MSc, PhD, FHEA

Exeter

### Peter Schofield, BSc(Hons), MSc, PhD, PGCert

London

2020 impact factor: 5.386



### EDITORIAL OFFICE

30 Euston Square, London, NW1 2FB.

(Tel: 020 3188 7400, Fax: 020 3188 7401).

Email: [journals@rcgp.org.uk](mailto:journals@rcgp.org.uk) / [@BJGP](mailto:bjgp.org)journal

### PUBLISHED BY

The Royal College of General Practitioners.  
Registered charity number 223106. The BJGP is published by the RCGP, but has complete editorial independence. Opinions expressed in the BJGP should not be taken to represent the policy of the RCGP unless this is specifically stated. No endorsement of any advertisement is implied or intended by the RCGP.

ISSN 0960-1643 (Print)

ISSN 1478-5242 (Online)

