Adverse effects for patients in big group practices

Peter Edwards’ letter in your September issue is important.1 It is an irony that NHS policy continues to encourage GPs to form ever larger practices when the evidence is clear that these provide less good access for patients who have significantly lower satisfaction with them.

In addition, there is a third important feature of general practice that also generally reduces in quality as list sizes increase — continuity of GP care. Indeed, this may be the mechanism through which patient satisfaction falls as there is a significant association between increasing list size and reduced continuity received by patients.2,3 The association between continuity and patient satisfaction has also been established, particularly when patient-reported measures of continuity are used.4 Edwards describes Baker et al (1995)5 as a ‘seminal’ publication. We agree. In addition to reporting that patient satisfaction was decreased satisfaction and perceptions of access.

In a comparison of two methods using routinely collected data. Br Gen Pract 2022; DOI: https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp22X720521.
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Short-acting beta-agonists and asthma

Having initially worked in an era when we were told we were missing asthma [then the so-called cough-variant asthm], we move to a time of overdiagnosis and National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines to prove or disprove, such as unavailable FeNO concentrations, and risk of other labels such as silent reflux ...

There is no doubt that overuse of short-acting beta-agonists (SABAs)1 is dangerous, costly (especially if salbutamol is used or substituted by the pharmacy), and environmentally harmful, but what does the author suggest for a person who only wheezes on exposure to cats, such as when visiting a relative? A standby SABA or a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA)/inhaled corticosteroid (ICS), noting that they may not be used for months or years on end and then just once or twice?

John Sharvill,
GP, NHS.
Email: john.sharvill@nhs.net
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Author response

To respond to the letter from Dr Sharvill, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) asthma guideline published in 2017 is contentious.1 It is driven by cost-minimisation and not by clinical need, and does not recognise the limited availability of FeNO testing in the UK, let alone in primary care. It has caused much confusion as it differs markedly from other approaches.2 Recommendations written by clinicians, such as the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN)/British Thoracic Society (BTS)3 or Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA),4 are more relevant and clinically useful. GINA is updated annually.

With regards to the clinical conundrum presented, the allergy to cat dander, which is described here, is a classical Type 1 allergic response. Currently there appears to be no licensed allergen immunotherapy licensed for Fel d 1, the dominant allergen, in the UK. In the absence of immunotherapy, the best strategies are avoidance or pharmacotherapy. The patient described clearly has asthma in response to cat dander. Pre-emptive use of a rapid-acting/inhaled corticosteroid combination medication (not a medication containing salmeterol) prior to the visit and for any symptoms during and after the visit would probably be the most appropriate approach. The patient should probably be assessed when asymptomatic, by 2 weeks of twice-daily peak flow readings to determine whether there is a low-level background asthma, which if present should be addressed (personal view).

Dermot Ryan,
GP, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh.
Email: dermotryan@doctors.org.uk

REFERENCES