

Inequalities in the assessment of childhood short stature

WHY IS ASSESSMENT OF CHILDHOOD SHORT STATURE IMPORTANT?

Measurement of height is a key part of the assessment of a child's health. Short stature is pragmatically defined as height less than the second centile, plotted on sex-specific growth charts. Although the commonest cause of short stature is familial, it may be the earliest and/or only presenting feature of serious childhood illness and therefore requires investigation (see Clinical Practice article in this *BJGP* Issue).¹ In some cases, short stature is a marker of socioeconomic vulnerability; in England it strongly tracks to areas with higher levels of deprivation.² Early detection of short stature is key to optimising health outcomes. Delayed diagnosis can result in reduced adult height and failure to address the underlying pathology can have potentially serious consequences. Short stature in young children may also be an important predictor of future cognition.³

Short stature is often associated with significant child and caregiver anxiety. The child may experience low self-esteem, anxiety, and bullying at school, exacerbating the situation:

'Tragically, as an almost 7-year-old, he looked 4 years of age. Our son was facing bullying, name calling, jeering and regularly being laughed at which made us start the growth investigation journey again.' (Suma, carer)

Caregivers often report feeling something has been missed and their concerns are not taken seriously:

'If one more midwife, GP, nurse, paediatrician (etc) said "you aren't very tall" I would have screamed. I'm slim, but 50-75th centile for height and my son wasn't on the charts. I felt patronised ...' (Jayne, carer)

'We were told, "It's just a cosmetic issue!"' (Sara, carer)

The key clinical task in primary care is to distinguish those children with normal

"The gender, racial, and social disparities in the investigation and treatment of childhood short stature also exist in primary care, leading to disparate delays in referral and/or diagnosis."

variant short stature from those requiring investigation and referral, while remaining cognisant of the child and family's concerns.

There are significant social disparities in the assessment of childhood short stature. This presents additional challenges and it is crucial that clinicians recognise issues relating to gender, race, and poverty to improve timely diagnosis and reduce health inequalities.

HEIGHT AS A MARKER OF SOCIAL INEQUALITY

Inequalities in height exist between countries, for example, mean height differences of up to 20 cm has been observed between the tallest and the shortest populations internationally.⁴ Differences also exist within countries. Data from the National Child Measurement Programme in England found a fourfold difference in the prevalence of short stature between the highest and lowest prevalence areas and observed that short stature was highly related to area-level deprivation, ethnicity, and sex.²

INEQUALITIES IN THE ASSESSMENT OF CHILDHOOD SHORT STATURE

The initial assessment of short stature is typically performed in primary care following self-referral by the family. Inequalities in the assessment and management of short stature can be divided into factors relating to the caregiver, primary care, and specialist treatment.

Personal caregiver attitudes and societal pressures determine thresholds of concern regarding a child's height. Men and women can have different perceptions of the impact of short stature in adulthood.^{5,6} Short stature is often considered less of a problem in women.

So-called 'heightism' is generally a male phenomenon, leading to low self-esteem and creating barriers to success.⁷ Evidence from the US suggests that 'thresholds of concern' with respect to short stature vary between racial groups. Qualitative data suggests this may relate to poverty and access to care.^{7,8} Short stature may go unrecognised in communities where short children are more common, particularly where the child's parents also experienced childhood short stature.

The gender, racial, and social disparities in the investigation and treatment of childhood short stature also exist in primary care, leading to disparate delays in referral and/or diagnosis.

Girls are less frequently referred from primary care for assessment of short stature, yet often have more severe growth failure at presentation and have higher rates of underlying pathology compared with boys.⁹

'We were fobbed off for years, told she will grow and one doctor said "what do you want, invasive leg extension surgery?" At aged 14 years she was diagnosed with [a treatable genetic cause] but by then it was too late for her to have growth hormone which could have helped her so much.' (Nicky, carer)

'I was told so many times that "he would have a growth spurt" but it never came.' (Emma, carer)

'His mother has insisted on the referral; however, we are not concerned about growth currently. He was then diagnosed with GH [growth hormone] deficiency ...' (Pauline, carer)

Bias inherent in health professionals also contributes to disparities in the investigation and treatment of short stature. White children, especially those from higher socioeconomic groups, are not only more frequently referred for concerns about growth but are also more likely to be offered growth hormone

"Delayed diagnosis can result in reduced adult height and failure to address the underlying pathology can have potentially serious consequences."

"Clinicians should recognise their own implicit biases and reflect on how these may impact their management of short children ... ensure equal access to appropriate testing and GH [growth hormone] therapy, in particular for girls and children from ethnic minority groups."

(GH) stimulation testing than children of other ethnicities.⁹ Black children are shorter at the time of referral and, in a study in Philadelphia, US, had lower GH levels during GH stimulation testing compared with White children, reflecting racial delays in referral and investigation.¹⁰ The degree of short stature determined the likelihood of receiving GH therapy in girls but not in boys, implying a sex bias in the GH treatment decision making. These biases seem to originate from children, parents, and clinicians.^{11,12} Black children and girls of all ethnicities are underrepresented in children receiving GH therapy. In the UK, twice as many boys receive GH for short stature compared to girls.¹³

Although the assessment and treatment of short stature should be driven by clinical concern, biased clinical decision making is disadvantaging girls and some ethnic minority groups, especially Black children. Paradoxically, these groups have the greatest need.

These systematic racial and gender biases in clinicians and families are often unconscious, but must be acknowledged and tackled before healthcare inequalities can be addressed.

ADDRESSING INEQUALITIES IN THE ASSESSMENT OF CHILDHOOD SHORT STATURE

Raising awareness of the health disparities in the assessment of childhood short stature in caregivers, primary, secondary, and specialist clinicians is key to addressing these issues. Caregivers must be empowered to raise concerns about their child's growth. There should be equal access to accurate auxological assessment and culturally appropriate educational materials. Clinicians should recognise their own implicit biases and reflect on how these may impact their management of short children. Paediatricians should ensure equal access to appropriate testing and GH therapy, in particular for girls and children from ethnic minority groups. Electronic algorithms or apps that interpret height measurements can help to standardise childhood growth assessments and reduce the biased clinical decision making:

'The diagnosis has had a huge positive impact on our daily lives, as all the unanswered questions and uncertainty has been lifted. Having this diagnosis will enable my daughter to get the help she so needs and deserves in school.' (Suma, carer)

Justin H Davies,
(ORCID: 0000-0001-7560-6320), Professor and Consultant in Paediatric Endocrinology, Regional Centre for Paediatric Endocrinology, Southampton Children's Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton; Co-Chair, British Society of Paediatric Endocrinology Growth Disorders Special Interest group (BSPED GD-SIG).

Jenny Child,
Parent of a child with a growth disorder; member, BSPED GD-SIG; Global Registry For Novel Therapies In Rare Bone & Endocrine Conditions (GloBE-REG); Patient Advisor, Genomics England.

Joseph Freer,
(ORCID: 0000-0002-5114-7059), National Institute for Health and Care Research In-Practice Fellow, Wolfson Institute of Population Health, Queen Mary University of London, London.

Helen L Storr,
(ORCID: 0000-0002-9963-1931), Professor of Paediatric Endocrinology, Centre for Endocrinology, William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London; Chair, BSPED GD-SIG.

Patient consent

The parents and patients gave consent for publication of the quotes. Pseudonyms have been used for anonymity.

Provenance

Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Competing interests

The authors have declared no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the parents of children with growth disorders for recounting their experiences.

Open access

This article is Open Access: CC BY 4.0 licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp23X732309>

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE

Justin H Davies

Regional Centre for Paediatric Endocrinology, Southampton Children's Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK.

Email: justin.davies@uhs.nhs.uk

REFERENCES

1. Storr HL, Freer J, Child J, Davies JH. Assessment of childhood short stature: a GP guide. *Br J Gen Pract* 2023; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp23X732525>.
2. Orr J, Freer J, Morris JK, *et al*. Regional differences in short stature in England between 2006 and 2019: a cross-sectional analysis from the National Child Measurement Programme. *PLoS Med* 2021; DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003760.
3. Freer J, Orr J, Morris JK, *et al*. Short stature and language development in the United Kingdom: a longitudinal analysis of children from the Millennium Cohort Study. *BMC Med* 2022; DOI: 10.1186/s12916-022-02680-y.
4. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Height and body-mass index trajectories of school-aged children and adolescents from 1985 to 2019 in 200 countries and territories: a pooled analysis of 2181 population-based studies with 65 million participants. *Lancet* 2020; DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31859-6.
5. Hitt T, Ginsburg KR, Cousounis P, *et al*. Concerns and expectations of parents seeking subspecialist care for their child's short stature. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2019; DOI: 10.1159/000506739.
6. Grimberg A, Cousounis P, Cucchiara AJ, *et al*. Parental concerns influencing decisions to seek medical care for a child's short stature. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2015; DOI: 10.1159/000440804.
7. Lipman TH, McCurry IJ. Children with short stature and growth failure: heightism, gender and racial disparities. *Pediatr Endocrinol Rev* 2017; DOI: 10.17458/per.vol14.2017.lm.childrenshortstature.
8. Grimberg A, Lindberg A, Wajnrajch M, *et al*. Racial/ethnic disparities in US pediatric growth hormone treatment. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2018; DOI: 10.1159/000491793.
9. Grimberg A, Kutikov JK, Cucchiara AJ. Sex differences in patients referred for evaluation of poor growth. *J Pediatr* 2005; DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2004.09.009.
10. August GP, Lippe BM, Blethen SL, *et al*. Growth hormone treatment in the United States: demographic and diagnostic features of 2331 children. *J Pediatr* 1990; DOI: 10.1016/s0022-3476(05)80647-x.
11. Kamoun C, Hawkes CP, Gunturi H, *et al*. Growth hormone stimulation testing patterns contribute to sex differences in pediatric growth hormone treatment. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2021; DOI: 10.1159/000520250.
12. Finkelstein BS, Singh J, Silvers JB, *et al*. Patient attitudes and preferences regarding treatment: GH therapy for childhood short stature. *Horm Res* 1999; DOI: 10.1159/000053138.
13. Shepherd S, Saraff V, Shaw N, *et al*. Growth hormone prescribing patterns in the UK, 2013-2016. *Arch Dis Child* 2019; DOI: 10.1136/archdischild-2018-316262.